

From: [Kalman, Kenneth](#)
To: [Lux, Jeff J.](#); [Halliburton, Bill](#)
Cc: [Przygodzki, Roman](#); [Kline, Kenneth](#); [Michalak, Paul](#)
Subject: NRC staff comments on Cimarron final budget
Date: Thursday, June 09, 2011 4:49:00 PM

Jeff/Bill,

The NRC staff reviewed your Cimarron final budget and has these comments:

- With respect to labor rates, we are having difficulty matching up many of the job categories and rates to a GSA table. Could EPM provide a comparison of their labor rates to the GSA rates, so that we can be comfortable with the labor rates?
- For some costs, EPM split the cost 93.2% and 6.8% Federal/State. Please explain how EPM determined this split.
- Task 7 does not have a budgeted amount. We recognize that for many subtasks, the cost may be dependent on the groundwater remediation technology. However, is it possible for a budget to be put together for subtasks 1 and 2? We recall that during the conference call, EPM said that estimates could be developed for some subtasks, but it doesn't appear that an estimate was generated for parts of task 7.
- Task 9: Please verify calculation for subtask 4. Total for Task 9 also does not appear to sum to \$29,600
- Task 9, subtask 5: "The remaining 93.2% of the cost of Enercon support will be allocated to Task 9..." Clarify if "Task 9" should be replaced with "Task 5."
- Clarify the connection between "Associate 13" and the project breakdown tables on pages. Additionally, clarify the connection between the labor hours on page 19 of the pdf file and the cost estimating tables on pages 11-16 of the pdf file (e.g. which task numbers correspond to each labor hour estimate).

We can arrange a telecom for next week to discuss these comments.

Thanks,

Ken