NRC FORM 591M PAR	T 1			U.S NUCLEAR R	EGULATORY COMMISSION		
(06-2010)							
10 CFR 2.201	SAFETY	INSPECTION REP	PORT AND COMPLIAN		ON		
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
The Curators of the University of Missouri			U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission				
311 Jesse Hall Columbia, MO	65211		Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210		า		
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-001			Lisle, IL 60532-4351	L 60532-4351			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S	S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER		5. DATE(S) OF IN	SPECTION		
030-02278		24-00513-32		May 23-27,	2011		
LICENSEE:							
The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:							
1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.							
2. Previous violation(s) closed.							
3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied							
	_Non-cited violation(s) we	re discussed involving the	following requirement(s):				
4. During this	inspection certain of your	activities, as described belo	ow and/or attached, were in violati	on of NRC			
requiremen with 10 CFF	ts and are being cited. Th R 19.11	is form is a NOTICE OF VI	OLATION, which may be subject t	o posting in accorda	nce		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Statement of	Corrective Actions				
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken,							
date when full complian	ice will be achieved). I u	nderstand that no further	written response to NRC will b	e required, unless s	specifically requested.		
Title	P	rinted Name	Signa	ture	Date		
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE							
NRC INSPECTOR	Deborah A. Piski	ura/Geoffrey M. Wa	arren 1047. Rume	242-	5/27/2011		
Branch Chief	Tamara E. Bloon	ner	H.Slow	na	6/4/11		

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3 (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201

## U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE The Curators of the Ur Columbia, MO REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-0		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-02278	4. LICENSEE NUI 24-00513-3	. ,	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION May 23-27, 2011			
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87126		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07				
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION						
1.PROGRAM 2. PRIORIT   02110 2	Y 3. LICENSEE CO Jack Craw		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 573-882-7221			
Main Office Inspection		Nex	xt Inspection Date: May 2013			
Field Office Inspection						
Temporary Job Site Inspection						

## PROGRAM SCOPE

The University of Missouri had an enrollment of approximately 31,000 students and 2,000 faculty members at the Columbia campus. The radiation safety department was staffed with a full-time Radiation Safety Officer (RSO), three health physicists, three health physics technicians, one assistant, and clerical staff shared with other programs. The University operated a Type A broad scope medical and research program with 160 individuals acting as principle investigators. Approximately 830 individuals acting as researchers used licensed material under the supervision of the authorized users. Licensed material was used for research and development including animal studies in 400 labs. The majority of the licensee's studies involved standard research isotopes (CHIPS), but some protocols included work with depleted uranium and other isotopes. The University possessed numerous sealed sources including a calibration source as described on the license. Waste materials were decayed in storage or disposed through incineration and sewerage disposal.

This inspection focused on research and development activities, including activities at the veterinary hospital. The next routine inspection should focus on the medical program, including the satellite hospitals.

## **Performance Observations**

The inspectors observed administration of a diagnostic veterinary dose, including dose preparation and disposal; laboratory research activities; laboratory surveys; tracking of waste materials; laboratory audits, surveys, and wipe tests by radiation safety staff; and survey meter calibration; and licensee personnel demonstrated surveys and waste incineration activities. The inspectors toured a large number of laboratories and the veterinary hospital and reviewed licensee records concerning radiation safety committee meetings, audits, and dosimetry. Interviews with licensee personnel indicated adequate knowledge of radiation safety concepts and procedures. The inspectors performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated results consistent with licensee survey records and postings.