

From: Polickoski, James [James.Polickoski@nrc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2011 4:31 PM
To: 'Baldwin, Thomas (DCPP)'; Wang, Alan
Cc: Klimczak, Richard; Sharp, Loren; Soenen, Philippe R; Abrahamson, Norman; David, Steven; Peters, Kenneth; Markley, Michael; Miller, Geoffrey; Peck, Michael
Subject: RE: Attendees - DCP/PP/NRC telecon on proposed LTSP Seismic Methodology License Amendment
Signed By: james.polickoski@nrc.gov

Tom,

As discussed, we appreciate the opportunity to further the process discussions between PG&E and the NRC staff regarding your anticipated license amendment request submittal.

After reviewing your overview of the Friday, May 27, 2011 teleconference between the NRC staff and members of the PG&E staff, email clarification is necessary on a couple of key points:

1. Upon review of meeting notes and meeting summaries from the previous three pre-application public meetings between the NRC staff and PG&E dated December 9, 2010, January 26, 2011, and March 31, 2011 (ML103610074, ML110420183, and ML111320637 respectively) and the official meeting transcript from the March 31, 2011 public meeting webcast (ML111020379), the NRC staff does not find evidence that PG&E had proposed the Hosgri Spectra and analysis methodology as the Safe Shutdown Earthquake. We look forward to discussing this proposal at future meetings, but the NRC does not support the PG&E assertion that this aspect of the PG&E proposal was discussed at the aforementioned meetings.
2. Regarding the plant design and licensing basis, the NRC understanding is that the OBE (DE), SSE (DDE), and the Hosgri (HE) are explicit, different, and mutually exclusive equipment performance criteria with respect to each other (as defined in the FSAR) and with respect to SSC equipment qualification.

We understand PG&E will request a meeting to discuss the proposal to elevate the Hosgri spectra as the SSE in the near future and are awaiting the next steps to discuss and review your submittals within the license amendment pre-application and regulatory review process.

James Polickoski
Project Manager – Callaway, Diablo Canyon
US NRC/NRR/DORL/LPL4
james.polickoski@nrc.gov
301-415-5430

From: Baldwin, Thomas (DCPP) [<mailto:TRB1@pge.com>]
Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2011 12:21 AM
To: Wang, Alan; Polickoski, James
Cc: Klimczak, Richard; Sharp, Loren; Soenen, Philippe R; Abrahamson, Norman; David, Steven; Peters, Kenneth
Subject: Attendees - DCP/PP/NRC telecon on proposed LTSP Seismic Methodology License Amendment

Alan & Jim
Per today's telecon.

Attendees:

PG&E

Ken Peters	Engineering Vice President
Loren Sharp	Engineering Director
Norm Abrahamson	Geosciences
Steve David	Director, Site Services
Tom Baldwin	Manager, Regulatory Services
Philippe Soenen	Supervisor, Licensing

NRC

Alan Howe	Deputy Director - NRR/DORL
Mike Markley	Chief, Branch IV Plant Licensing - NRR/DORL
Jim Polickoski	Project Manager - DCPD - NRR/DORL
Kamal Manoly	NRR/DE
Yong Li	NRR/DE/EMCB
Michael Peck	Region IV, DCPD SRI
Geoff Miller	Region IV, DCPD Branch Chief
Bob Latta	Region IV, acting for Tom Farnholtz

Purpose: 1) Clarify PG&E's scope of a proposed LAR that had been discussed at three previous public meetings.

2) Establish whether there is a need for another precicensing meeting

PG&E stated that the proposed LAR had 3 purposes:

1. Establish the HOSGRI spectra and analysis methodology as the Safe Shutdown Earthquake
2. Specify the details of the Long Term Seismic Plan (LTSP) methodology for approval as the method of assessing new seismic information with respect to existing design and licensing basis information.
3. Clarify the LTSP commitments.

There was initially some misunderstanding that the DCPD HOSGRI evaluation was limited to a single train. PG&E clarified that all Reg Guide 1.29 SSCs were qualified to meet the HOSGRI analysis. PG&E further clarified that the qualification of all the SSCs was done prior to obtaining the operating license and that the qualification was reviewed and accepted by the NRC.

The NRC stated that they had been ready to receive the LAR with items 2 and 3, but that their learning of item 1 posed additional scope that would be a challenge for their planned review. The NRC encouraged PG&E to hold another public meeting to discuss this additional scope and the specifics of the request prior to submittal. The

NRC also stated that if PG&E submitted without another public meeting they would follow their process with the LAR.

The NRC stated that they had been working with the NEI LATF to establish a pre-application process to reduce the need for RAIs and were very encouraged about the possible improvements in the overall licensing process. They encouraged PG&E to use the process.

PG&E thanked the NRC for the telecon and stated they would discuss whether PG&E would request another public meeting prior to submittal. PG&E stated they would contact the DCPD project manager Jim Polickoski next week regarding how they would proceed.

Thomas R Baldwin, P. E.

Regulatory Services Manager
Diablo Canyon Power Plant

(805) 545-4720 (w)

(805) 441-3227 (cel)