

From: dolores.adams@exeloncorp.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2011 7:44 AM
To: Harris, Paul
Cc: vicki.farbo@exeloncorp.com
Subject: RE: Please confirm & reply for the follow SPTFs - Byron/Clinton/LaSalle/Limerick Plants

Paul,
The records listed below are the "originals" and the form inadvertently auto-populated the "Submission Update" field.
Thank You,
Dolly

From: Harris, Paul [<mailto:Paul.Harris@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2011 3:11 PM
To: Adams, Dolores E:(GenCo-Nuc); Farbo, Vicki L.:(GenCo-Nuc)
Subject: Please confirm & reply for the follow SPTFs - Byron/Clinton/LaSalle/Limerick Plants
Importance: High

Dolly and Vicky, this is a bit long, but I think that all of the majority of items fall under **[B] Instructions, Option 1.**

+++++

For the following SPTFs, we note that the "Submission Update" block was checked; however, we do not have an "original" version provided to the NRC.

Upon review, we note that the NRC SPTF auto-populates the "Submission Update" block with an "X" if the SPTF was submitted on or after March 1 for the previous calendar year.

Therefore, I believe these are not real "Submission Updates," since I have not found the "original" submittal in the NRC's official recordkeeping files.

To ensure that the NRC official record is accurate, we need to do the following:

If the particular submission was a REAL "submission update," (i.e., you have an original submission and an updated submission), we need the original version submitted to the NRC Document Control Desk. See **[A] Instructions** below.

If the particular submission was NOT a "submission update" (i.e., meaning that it is the "original" and that it auto-populated the block by itself), please indicate as such. See **[B] Instructions** below.

For **Byron**: we have 3 clicked as "Submission Updates."

2010-BYS-91	ML110690900
2010-BYS-94	ML110690902
2010-BYS-105	ML110690903

For **Clinton**: we have 2 clicked as "Submission Updates."

2010-CPS-119	ML110700312
2010-CPS-98	ML110700311

For **LaSalle**: we have 8 clicked as “Submission Updates”

2010-LSC-32	ML110700339
2010-LSC-93	ML110700347
2010-LSC-95	ML110700349
2010-LSC-104	ML110700350
2010-LSC-106	ML110700351
2010-LSC-108	ML110700353
2010-LSC-116	ML110700354
2010-LSC-118	ML110700355

For **Limerick**: we have 8 clicked as “Submission Updates”

2010-LGS-89	ML110700420
2010-LGS-99	ML110700427
2010-LGS-100	ML110700430
2010-LGS-109	ML110700433
2010-LGS-110	ML110700435
2010-LGS-122	ML110700438
2010-LGS-123	ML110700441
2010-LGS-129	ML110700443

[A] Instructions – NRC does not possess the “original” version

In your email response, annotate each applicable record above as “original needed,” if you need to provide the NRC an “original submission.”

Then:

Open your Exelon official version of the original SPTF

“Unlock” the form

Since the form will auto-populate the “Submission Update” block with an “X,” allow that to occur.

Make sure that the SPTF form data represents the original occurrence

Under “Management Actions,” click “Other” to add a comment.

In the comment field, add a comment, such as: “This SPTF was resubmitted because the original was not provided to the NRC.” or “This SPTF was resubmitted because the original was submitted, but cannot be located in ADAMs.”

“Lock” the form.

Save the form to your Exelon official location (e.g., c:\drive), using the Unique Reference Number with a qualifier (e.g., 2010-BYS-91, original submittal)

Submit the form to the NRC as per below.

[B] Instructions – The version submitted is the “original” submission and the form auto-populated the “Submission Update” block

Option 1 (recommended)

In your email response, state that the record(s) is the “original” and that the form inadvertently auto-populated the “Submission Update” field.

Option 2

In your email response, annotate each record as original and state that another record will be submitted for clarification.

Open your Exelon official version of the SPTF

“Unlock” the form

Maintain the “X” on “Submission Update”

Under “Management Actions,” click “Other” to add a comment.

Add a comment, such as: “This SPTF was resubmitted to clarify that that the form under the same Unique Reference Number and Collection Date is the one-and-only original submission and that the form auto-populated the “Submission Update” block.”

“Lock” the form.

Save the form to your Exelon official location (e.g., c:\drive), using the Original Unique Reference Number with a qualifier (e.g., 2010-BYS-91, official validation)

Submit the form to the NRC as per below.

If re-Submitting Forms to NRC

If resubmitting forms:

Enter weblink: <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ops-experience/fitness-for-duty-programs/submit-ffd-reports.html>

Resubmit using the “EIE General Submission Portal.”

Attach the forms.

Resubmit.

FINALLY,

Please respond to this email with your annotation and I will place this email in ADAMS as our correspondence.

If you have any questions, or you see any errors in the above, please give me a call.

Thank you.

Paul

Paul Harris, Senior Program Manager
10 CFR Part 26, Fitness for Duty (Drug & Alcohol Testing)
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(w) 301-415-1169
(c) 240-498-9691

***** This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain Exelon Corporation proprietary information, which is privileged, confidential, or subject to copyright belonging to the Exelon Corporation family of Companies. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or action taken in relation to the contents of and attachments to this e-mail is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout. Thank You. *****