

[Get Email Updates](#)[Home](#) • [The Administration](#) • [Office of Management and Budget](#)[Search OMB](#)

Office of Management and Budget

[About](#) | [OMB Blog](#) | [The Budget](#) | [Management](#) | [Regulation & Information Policy](#) | [Legislative Information](#) | [Join OMB](#)

ABOUT

[Leadership Bios](#)
[OMB Organization Chart](#)
[Open Government Plan](#)
[OMB News Releases](#)
[RSS Feeds](#)
[Intellectual Property](#)
[Agency Info](#)
[Bulletins](#)
[Circulars](#)
[Budget](#)
[State and Local Governments](#)
[Educational and Non-Profit Institutions](#)
[Federal Procurement](#)
[Federal Financial Management](#)
[Federal Information Resources / Data Collection](#)
[Other Special Purpose](#)
[Memoranda](#)
[Privacy Guidance](#)
[Reports](#)
[Federal Register](#)
[FOIA](#)
[No FEAR](#)
[OMB Locator](#)

Circular No. A-94 Revised

(Transmittal Memo No. 64)

October 29, 1992

MEMORANDUM FOR HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ESTABLISHMENTS

SUBJECT: Guidelines and Discount Rates for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Federal Programs

Table of Contents

1. Purpose
2. Rescission
3. Authority
4. Scope
5. General Principles
 - a. Net Present Value and Related Outcome Measures
 - b. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis
 - c. Elements of Benefit-Cost or Cost-Effectiveness Analysis
6. Identifying and Measuring Benefits and Costs
 - a. Identifying Benefits and Costs
 - b. Measuring Benefits and Costs
7. Treatment of Inflation
 - a. Real or Nominal Values
 - b. Recommended Inflation Assumption
8. Discount Rate Policy
 - a. Real versus Nominal Discount Rates
 - b. Public Investment and Regulatory Analyses
 - c. Cost-Effectiveness, Lease-Purchase, Internal Government Investment, and Asset Sale Analyses
9. Treatment of Uncertainty
 - a. Characterizing Uncertainty
 - b. Expected Values
 - c. Sensitivity Analysis
 - d. Other Adjustments for Uncertainty
10. Incidence and Distributional Effects
 - a. Alternative Classifications
 - b. Economic Incidence
11. Special Guidance for Public Investment Analysis
 - a. Analysis of Excess Burdens
 - b. Exceptions
12. Special Guidance for Regulatory Impact Analysis
13. Special Guidance for Lease-Purchase Analysis

3. Multiplier Effects. Generally, analyses should treat resources as if they were likely to be fully employed.

Employment or output multipliers that purport to measure the secondary effects of government expenditures on employment and output should not be included in measured social benefits or costs.

7. Treatment of Inflation. Future inflation is highly uncertain. Analysts should avoid having to make an assumption about the general rate of inflation whenever possible.

a. Real or Nominal Values. Economic analyses are often most readily accomplished using *real* or *constant-dollar* values, i.e., by measuring benefits and costs in units of stable purchasing power. (Such estimates may reflect expected future changes in relative prices, however, where there is a reasonable basis for estimating such changes.) Where future benefits and costs are given in *nominal* terms, i.e., in terms of the future purchasing power of the dollar, the analysis should use these values rather than convert them to constant dollars as, for example, in the case of lease-purchase analysis.

Nominal and real values must not be combined in the same analysis. Logical consistency requires that analysis be conducted either in constant dollars or in terms of nominal values. This may require converting some nominal values to real values, or vice versa.

b. Recommended Inflation Assumption. When a general inflation assumption is needed, the rate of increase in the Gross Domestic Product deflator from the Administration's economic assumptions for the period of the analysis is recommended. For projects or programs that extend beyond the six-year budget horizon, the inflation assumption can be extended by using the inflation rate for the sixth year of the budget forecast. The Administration's economic forecast is updated twice annually, at the time the budget is published in January or February and at the time of the Mid-Session Review of the Budget in July. Alternative inflation estimates, based on credible private sector forecasts, may be used for sensitivity analysis.

8. Discount Rate Policy. In order to compute net present value, it is necessary to discount future benefits and costs. This discounting reflects the time value of money. Benefits and costs are worth more if they are experienced sooner. All future benefits and costs, including nonmonetized benefits and costs, should be discounted. The higher the discount rate, the lower is the present value of future cash flows. For typical investments, with costs concentrated in early periods and benefits following in later periods, raising the discount rate tends to reduce the net present value. (Technical guidance on discounting and a table of *discount factors* are provided in Appendix B.)

a. Real versus Nominal Discount Rates. The proper discount rate to use depends on whether the benefits and costs are measured in real or nominal terms.

1. A real discount rate that has been adjusted to eliminate the effect of expected inflation should be used to discount constant-dollar or real benefits and costs. A real discount rate can be approximated by subtracting expected inflation from a nominal interest rate.

2. A nominal discount rate that reflects expected inflation should be used to discount nominal benefits and costs. Market interest rates are nominal interest rates in this sense.

b. Public Investment and Regulatory Analyses. The guidance in this section applies to benefit-cost analyses of public investments and regulatory programs that provide benefits and costs to the general public. Guidance related to cost-effectiveness analysis of internal planning decisions of the Federal Government is provided in Section 8.c.

In general, public investments and regulations displace both private investment and consumption. To account for this displacement and to promote efficient investment and regulatory policies, the following guidance should be observed.

1. **Base-Case Analysis.** Constant-dollar benefit-cost analyses of proposed investments and regulations should report net present value and other outcomes determined using a real discount rate of 7 percent. This rate approximates the marginal pretax rate of return on an average investment in the private sector in recent years. Significant changes in this rate will be reflected in future updates of this Circular.

2. **Other Discount Rates.** Analyses should show the sensitivity of the discounted net present value and other outcomes to variations in the discount rate. The importance of these alternative calculations will depend on the specific economic characteristics of the program under analysis. For example, in analyzing a regulatory proposal whose main cost is to reduce business investment, net present value should also be calculated using a higher discount rate than 7 percent.

Analyses may include among the reported outcomes the *internal rate of return* implied by the stream of benefits and costs. The internal rate of return is the discount rate that sets the net present value of the program or project to zero. While the internal rate of return does not generally provide an acceptable decision criterion, it does provide useful information, particularly when budgets are constrained or there is uncertainty about the appropriate discount rate.

3. Using the *shadow price of capital* to value benefits and costs is the analytically preferred means of capturing the effects of government projects on resource allocation in the private sector. To use this method accurately, the analyst must be able to compute how the benefits and costs of a program or project affect the allocation of private consumption and investment. OMB concurrence is required if this method is used in place of the base case discount rate.

c. Cost-Effectiveness, Lease-Purchase, Internal Government Investment, and Asset Sales Analyses. The Treasury's borrowing rates should be used as discount rates in the following cases:

1. **Cost-Effectiveness Analysis.** Analyses that involve constant-dollar costs should use the real Treasury borrowing rate on marketable securities of comparable maturity to the period of analysis. This rate is computed using the Administration's economic assumptions for the budget, which are published in January of each year. A table of discount rates based on the expected interest rates for the first year of the budget forecast is presented in Appendix C of this Circular. Appendix C is updated annually and is available upon request from OMB. Real Treasury rates are obtained by removing expected inflation over the period of analysis from nominal Treasury interest rates. (Analyses that involve nominal costs should use nominal Treasury rates for discounting, as described in the following paragraph.)
2. **Lease-Purchase Analysis.** Analyses of nominal lease payments should use the nominal Treasury borrowing rate on marketable securities of comparable maturity to the period of analysis. Nominal Treasury borrowing rates should be taken from the economic assumptions for the budget. A table of discount rates based on these assumptions is presented in Appendix C of this Circular, which is updated annually. (Constant dollar lease-purchase analyses should use the real Treasury borrowing rate, described in the preceding paragraph.)
3. **Internal Government Investments.** Some Federal investments provide "internal" benefits which take the form of increased Federal revenues or decreased Federal costs. An example would be an investment in an energy-efficient building system that reduces Federal operating costs. Unlike the case of a Federally funded highway (which provides "external" benefits to society as a whole), it is appropriate to calculate such a project's net present value using a comparable-maturity Treasury rate as a discount rate. The rate used may be either nominal or real, depending on how benefits and costs are measured.
Some Federal activities provide a mix of both Federal cost savings and external social benefits. For example, Federal investments in information technology can produce Federal savings in the form of lower administrative costs and external social benefits in the form of faster claims processing. The net present value of such investments should be evaluated with the 7 percent real discount rate discussed in Section 8.b. unless the analysis is able to allocate the investment's costs between provision of Federal cost savings and external social benefits. Where such an allocation is possible, Federal cost savings and their associated investment costs may be discounted at the Treasury rate, while the external social benefits and their associated investment costs should be discounted at the 7 percent real rate.
4. **Asset Sale Analysis.** Analysis of possible asset sales should reflect the following:
 - (a) The net present value to the Federal Government of holding an asset is best measured by discounting its future earnings stream using a Treasury rate. The rate used may be either nominal or real, depending on how earnings are measured.
 - (b) Analyses of government asset values should explicitly deduct the cost of expected defaults or delays in payment from projected cash flows, along with government administrative costs. Such analyses should also consider explicitly the probabilities of events that would cause the asset to become nonfunctional, impaired or obsolete, as well as probabilities of events that would increase asset value.
 - (c) Analyses of possible asset sales should assess the gain in social efficiency that can result when a government asset is subject to market discipline and private incentives. Even though a government asset may be used more efficiently in the private sector, potential private-sector purchasers will generally discount such an asset's earnings at a rate in excess of the Treasury rate, in part, due to the cost of bearing risk. When there is evidence that government assets can be used more efficiently in the private sector, valuation analyses for these assets should include sensitivity comparisons that discount the returns from such assets with the rate of interest earned by assets of similar riskiness in the private sector.
9. **Treatment of Uncertainty.** Estimates of benefits and costs are typically uncertain because of imprecision in both underlying data and modeling assumptions. Because such uncertainty is basic to many analyses, its effects should be analyzed and reported. Useful information in such a report would include the key sources of uncertainty; expected value estimates of outcomes; the sensitivity of results to important sources of uncertainty; and where possible, the probability distributions of benefits, costs, and net benefits.
 - a. **Characterizing Uncertainty.** Analyses should attempt to characterize the sources and nature of uncertainty. Ideally, probability distributions of potential benefits, costs, and net benefits should be presented. It should be

Get Email Updates

Home • The Administration • Office of Management and Budget

Search OMB



About | OMB Blog | The Budget | Management | Regulation & Information Policy | Legislative Information | Join OMB

ABOUT

- Leadership Bios
- OMB Organization Chart
- Open Government Plan
- OMB News Releases
- RSS Feeds
- Intellectual Property
- Agency Info
 - Bulletins
 - Circulars
 - Budget
 - State and Local Governments
 - Educational and Non-Profit Institutions
 - Federal Procurement
 - Federal Financial Management
 - Federal Information Resources / Data Collection
 - Other Special Purpose
- Memoranda
- Privacy Guidance
- Reports
- Federal Register
- FOIA
- No FEAR
- OMB Locator

Circular A-94 Appendix C

Revised December 2010

OMB Circular No. A-9-

Click [here](#) for PDF assistance

DISCOUNT RATES FOR COST-EFFECTIVENESS, LEASE PURCHASE, AND RELATED ANALYSES

Effective Dates. This appendix is updated annually. This version of the appendix is valid for calendar year 2011. A copy of the updated appendix can be obtained in electronic form through the OMB home page at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars_a094/a94_appx-c/ the text of the main body of the Circular is found at http://whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars_a094/, and a table of past years' rates is located at <http://whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/a94/dischist.pdf>. Updates of the appendix are also available upon request from OMB's Office of Economic Policy (202-395-3381).

Nominal Discount Rates. A forecast of nominal or market interest rates for 2011 based on the economic assumptions for the Fiscal Year 2012 Budget are presented below. These nominal rates are to be used for discounting nominal flows, which are often encountered in lease-purchase analysis.

Nominal Interest Rates on Treasury Notes and Bonds of Specified Maturities (in percent)

3-Year	5-Year	7-Year	10-Year	20-Year	30-Year
1.4	1.9	2.4	3.0	3.9	4.2

Real Discount Rates. A forecast of real interest rates from which the inflation premium has been removed and based on the economic assumptions from the 2012 Budget is presented below. These real rates are to be used for discounting constant-dollar flows, as is often required in cost-effectiveness analysis.

Real Interest Rates on Treasury Notes and Bonds of Specified Maturities (in percent)

3-Year	5-Year	7-Year	10-Year	20-Year	30-Year
0.0	0.4	0.8	1.3	2.1	2.3

Analyses of programs with terms different from those presented above may use a linear interpolation. For example, a four-year project can be evaluated with a rate equal to the average of the three-year and five-year rates. Programs with durations longer than 30 years may use the 30-year interest rate.

Other Documents