

Dante C Huntsman/DHUN/CC01/INEE L/US

06/28/2005 09:58 AM

To Dante C Huntsman/DHUN/CC01/INEEL/US@INEL

СС

bcc

Subject Fw: Information request for NMED item 050237

----- Forwarded by Dante C Huntsman/DHUN/CC01/INEEL/US on 06/28/2005 09:58 AM -----



"Kevin Null" <KGN@nrc.gov>

06/28/2005 07:53 AM

To <NMED@inel.gov>

CC

Subject Re: Information request for NMED item 050237

1. Corrective actions include: staff re-training, development of new forms, and designation of after hours on-call physics/dosimetry staff support.

Co-60 Teletherapy Manufacturer and Model Number -

_

Serial Number 21

Co-60 Source , Serial Number S-5336 Original Activity 12,250 Ci (12/7/2001) Activity on April 9, 2005 - 7900 Ci

>>> dante.huntsman@inl.gov 06/16/05 01:47PM >>>

We need additional information to complete the NMED record identified below. To promptly complete the NMED record, we request a reply at your earliest convenience, but no later than 60 days from the date of this request.

NMED Item No.: 050237

Licensee/Reporting Party: V.A., DEPARTMENT OF

License Number: 03-23853-01VA Event Date: 4/9/2005

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUESTED

Event Notification contained two sentences; "The intent was to give a total dose of about 2,900 cGy, with another directive for the remainder of the fractions to be written on 4/11/2005. And; "This would not have met the definition of a medical event had a single directive, instead of two, been written for the entire treatment series. These sentences were confusing and were left out until further clarification is provided. With the four fractions the patient was administered, it constituted a reportable event.

What corrective action(s) were taken by the licensee to prevent a recurrence?

What is the model serial numbers of the teletherapy device?

Who was the manufacturer of the teletherapy device?

What was the activity of the Co-60 source?

Thank you for your help,

Dante Huntsman NMED Project dhun@inel.gov