

CCNPP3COLA PEmails

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2010 8:51 AM
To: Huang, Eugene
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Eugene:

The new RAI needs to go into the [eRAI system](#) for review and approval. Once you create a new RAI and add the question, you need to click on Workflow and submit it to your branch chief for approval.

In other chapters for EPR, we have been referencing approved topical reports in the FSAR. As long as the FSAR is referencing a topical report we can reference the topical report information in the SER. They will be submitting a new Revision of the FSAR in December, 2010, but if there were updates to the FSAR as a result of RAI 200 they should be included in the response.

Let me know if you need any further assistance.

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

From: Huang, Eugene
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Carneal, Jason
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason,

I have a referencing question for our section in the SER. I'm not sure how to reference the QAPD program descriptions in the topical report because I think we're not supposed to reference the topical report directly in the SER. Do you know if this topical report revision updated their FSAR or anything? For example, in the Vogtle SER, we referenced BLN's SER since BLN was the reference plant example. Since this is the first EPR SER, how do we go about referencing the QAPD in the SER?

Also, we need to open an additional RAI and I have the wording for it, but I'm not sure how to submit it. I'm a little new to this whole process...

Eugene

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Tuesday, November 09, 2010 10:47 AM
To: Huang, Eugene
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Eugene:

Attached is a clean version of the current Chapter 17 P4 SER for Calvert. Please track any changes so we can easily integrate your changes into the file. Once you're done with the SER, you can deliver the SER via memo to projects and send me a copy with tracked changes.

Also, please let me know what date you will need to complete your SER input and I will get the correct date implemented in EPM

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

From: Huang, Eugene
Sent: Tuesday, November 09, 2010 9:54 AM
To: Carneal, Jason
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason,

The review has been completed and we are working on putting together the SER. Is there an updated template we can use? Also, we may need to extend the December 3rd deadline if possible.

Eugene

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2010 10:14 AM
To: EPM Resource
Cc: Arora, Surinder; Huang, Eugene; CCNPP3COL Resource; Jacobson, Jeffrey
Subject: FW: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

EPM staff:

Please update the task in EPM for the Calvert Cliffs RCOL - P4 - Chapter 17 OI Closure, currently assigned to Samantha Crane and due 11/19/2010, with the following information:

Change due date for the task from November 19, 2010 to December 3, 2010.
Add (re-assign) resources to: Eugene Huang (40 hours) and Jeffrey Jacobson (40 hours).

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

From: Huang, Eugene
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 2:11 PM
To: Carneal, Jason
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason,

That's a good question. It should just be Jeff and I performing the review. I guess the best thing to do is to transfer whatever hours was allotted for Samantha to the both of us. Where does/will this show up in EPM?

Eugene

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 1:58 PM
To: Huang, Eugene
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Eugene:

The TAC is **RN5061**. For some reason, Samantha is the only resource showing in EPM.

I would like to add all the necessary resources for this task. How many hours each should I add for you, Kerri, and Jeff?

Thanks,

Jason

From: Huang, Eugene
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 1:55 PM
To: Carneal, Jason
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason,

Looks like I'll be assisting with this effort. Is there a TAC assigned to this task? We may need to push the date out since we just received the documents.

Eugene

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 1:27 PM
To: Huang, Eugene; Arora, Surinder
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Eugene:

The Calvert response to RAI 200 that I located in ADAMS is attached, dated September 30, 2010.

It is contained in **ML102780317**.

Please advise if we need to push the 11/19/2010 date out to complete the review of this response.

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

From: Huang, Eugene
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2010 1:10 PM
To: Carneal, Jason; Arora, Surinder
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason/Surinder,

Any updates on this? Our branch has not received anything yet.

Eugene

From: Crane, Samantha
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 4:10 PM
To: Carneal, Jason
Cc: Rasmussen, Richard; Huang, Eugene
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

That should work for now.

Samantha Crane
Reactor Operations Engineer
Quality & Vendor Branch B
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-6380
Samantha.Crane@nrc.gov

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 3:45 PM
To: Crane, Samantha
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Samantha:

What date do you think I should put in for Calvert 17.5? Your previous email estimated 120-160 hours for the review of the new QAPD, but I'm not sure what our workload looks like in the next couple of months.

Should I put in 11/19/2010 to leave a few weeks padding for now and we can re-adjust if necessary?

Thanks,

Jason

From: Crane, Samantha
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 1:31 PM
To: Carneal, Jason
Cc: Rasmussen, Richard
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

I thought that was when the new QAPD from UniStar was coming in. Either way, EPM still has the wrong date and I'm showing as late for Calvert 17.5. Can we fix that?

Samantha Crane
Reactor Operations Engineer
Quality & Vendor Branch B
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-6380
Samantha.Crane@nrc.gov

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 11:57 AM
To: Crane, Samantha
Subject: FW: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Samantha:

FYI: The new date for RAI 200 is 9/30/2010 and it will be based on Revision 9. I will check when the new QAPD from Unistar will come in.

Thanks,

Jason

From: Arora, Surinder
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 9:33 AM
To: Carneal, Jason
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason,

I asked Rob Poche about the Rev. of the NEI-06-14 which their submittal will be based on. He confirmed that that it will be based on Rev. 9 and the new submittal date is 9/30/2010. So RAI 200 response date is 9/30/10.

Surinder

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Tuesday, September 07, 2010 11:26 AM
To: Arora, Surinder
Subject: FW: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Surinder:

Please see the information below regarding RAI 200 on Calvert regarding QAPD. The staff just approved revision 9 of NEI-06-14 and issued the SER (ML101800497) and since Mark Harvey of UniStar is on the NEI task force, NRC staff is expecting Calvert to use the current revision.

Can we ask Unistar if this is consistent with their current plans for response to RAI 200? If necessary, we could have a teleconference on this topic with NRC staff.

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

From: Crane, Samantha
Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:30 AM
To: Carneal, Jason
Cc: Rasmussen, Richard; Kavanagh, Kerri; Jacobson, Jeffrey
Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200

Good morning Jason,
Sorry it took so long to get back to you. Even though UniStar told us in the RAI response that they would submit the new UniStar QAPD based on revision 8 of NEI-06-14, we expect them to submit it based on revision 9. We just approved revision 9 and issued the SER and since Mark Harvey of UniStar is on the NEI task force, we expect him to use the current revision. It's important to note the QAPD is a UniStar QAPD (corporate) and not a Calvert QAPD. Once the new QAPD comes in, it will need to be reviewed against the new NEI template and an SER will need to be written for the new QAPD. Then Calvert will IBR revision 2 of the UniStar QAPD and we can close the open item. The review of the new QAPD should take approximately 120-160 hours. That task is currently assigned to Jeff Jacobson, who I have cc-ed on this email. Based on this information, I think it is unlikely that we will be able to meet the September due date for the OI resolution.

Samantha Crane
Reactor Operations Engineer
Quality & Vendor Branch B
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-6380
Samantha.Crane@nrc.gov

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2010 5:09 PM
To: Crane, Samantha
Subject: FW: Unistar schedule for response to RAI 200

Samantha:

It looks like their current date is July 30, 2010 for the response, but this one is based on NEI 06-14, Rev. 8.

Did they tell us they are waiting for Rev. 9 at an ACRS meeting?

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

From: Arora, Surinder
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2010 3:58 PM
To: Carneal, Jason
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: Unistar schedule for response to RAI 200

Jason,

Are you talking about this one? We received their forecast of July 30, 2010 recently; please see attached. However, it is contingent upon staff's review and endorsement of NEI 06-14, Revision 8. Can you find what is the status of their review now?

Thanks.

Surinder

From: Carneal, Jason
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2010 2:20 PM
To: Arora, Surinder
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: Unistar schedule for response to RAI 200

Surinder:

Could we ask Unistar for a current schedule for response to RAI 200? The technical branch has related that this response is contingent on Revision 9 of the NEI QAPD template based on discussions with Unistar. We need to evaluate the P4 schedule for Chapter 17 accordingly.

Thanks,

Jason

Jason Carneal
Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRO/DNRL/NARP (T-6J4)
301-415-3813

Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Cola_Public_EX
Email Number: 1755

Mail Envelope Properties (77BCCD26C6050B42A72FE3939CF492ED34EE89C6F7)

Subject: RE: UniStar schedule for response to RAI 200
Sent Date: 11/10/2010 8:50:35 AM
Received Date: 11/10/2010 8:50:36 AM
From: Carneal, Jason

Created By: Jason.Carneal@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"CCNPP3COL Resource" <CCNPP3COL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Huang, Eugene" <Eugene.Huang@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	11597	11/10/2010 8:50:36 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: