

Docket

JUL 27 1973

Docket Nos. 50-438
and 50-439

Tennessee Valley Authority
ATTN: Mr. James E. Watson
Manager of Power
818 Power Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

Gentlemen:

This letter is in regard to the establishment of a schedule for our review of your application for a construction permit for your Bellefonte Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. We have evaluated our workload, and based on this evaluation we have targeted the issuance of our Safety Evaluation Report for May 30, 1974. Our target dates for issuance of our Draft and Final Environmental Statements are November 26, 1973 and April 15, 1974, respectively. The radiological safety portion of the construction permit review of your application is controlling, in terms of schedule.

A summary of the more important schedule milestones is enclosed for your information and review, including our best estimates for hearing dates. It should be realized that the enclosed dates are targets that may require some changes as the review process proceeds. We will inform you by letter of all significant changes to the schedule.

Many of these milestones require specific input, preparation, or participation from you, such as responses to questions and attendance at ACRS meetings. For this reason, we believe that you should critically review the dates associated with these important milestones and advise us whether you believe the dates are realistic or should be adjusted. After you have reviewed these milestones and associated dates, I suggest that, if you feel it would be advantageous, we meet with a representative of your corporate organization to discuss the schedule within the next two weeks.

OFFICE ▶						
SURNAME ▶						
DATE ▶						

James E. Watson

- 2 -

If, during the course of our review of the Bellefonte Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 application you believe there is a need to bring to the attention of the Director of Licensing matters which involve a disagreement with a staff position relating to your application, you may have the opportunity to bring the matter to the attention of the Director, the Deputy Director for Technical Review, or me. This may be done either orally or in writing, but you should specify the matters to be discussed and indicate your reasons for disagreement with the staff reviewers. The matters to be discussed will be the subject of a meeting held by the Director of Licensing. Your Agency should be represented by a responsible management representative. Staff representatives will include the Deputy Directors of Reactor Projects and Technical Review or their Assistant Deputy Directors. This procedure is an informal one, designed to allow opportunity for applicants to discuss, with Licensing management, areas of disagreement in the case review.

Sincerely,

A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:


Review Schedule for Bellefonte
Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc: Mr. R. H. Marquis
General Counsel
629 New Sprakle Building
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

DISTRIBUTION

- AEC PDR
- Local PDR
- Dockets
- PWR-4 Rdg
- RCDeYoung
- JHendrie
- AKenneke
- RWKlecker
- OGC
- RO (3)
- DKDavis
- ASchwencer
- EIGoulbourne
- AGiambusso
- RSBoyd
- G. D. Hman

OFFICE ▶	PWR-4	LCC/PWR-4	L:AD/PWRs	L:DD/RE	
SURNAME ▶	DKDavis:kmf	ASchwencer	KRG/For RCDeYoung	AGiambusso	kppl
DATE ▶	71 27 173	71 27 173	71 27 173	71 27 173	



UNITED STATES
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

JUL 27 1973

Docket Nos. 50-438
and 50-439

Tennessee Valley Authority
ATTN: Mr. James E. Watson
Manager of Power
818 Power Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

Gentlemen:

This letter is in regard to the establishment of a schedule for our review of your application for a construction permit for your Bellefonte Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. We have evaluated our workload, and based on this evaluation we have targeted the issuance of our Safety Evaluation Report for May 30, 1974. Our target dates for issuance of our Draft and Final Environmental Statements are November 26, 1973 and April 15, 1974, respectively. The radiological safety portion of the construction permit review of your application is controlling, in terms of schedule.

A summary of the more important schedule milestones is enclosed for your information and review, including our best estimates for hearing dates. It should be realized that the enclosed dates are targets that may require some changes as the review process proceeds. We will inform you by letter of all significant changes to the schedule.

Many of these milestones require specific input, preparation, or participation from you, such as responses to questions and attendance at ACRS meetings. For this reason, we believe that you should critically review the dates associated with these important milestones and advise us whether you believe the dates are realistic or should be adjusted. After you have reviewed these milestones and associated dates, I suggest that, if you feel it would be advantageous, we meet with a representative of your corporate organization to discuss the schedule within the next two weeks.


James E. Watson

- 2 -

JUL 27 1973

If, during the course of our review of the Bellefonte Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 application you believe there is a need to bring to the attention of the Director of Licensing matters which involve a disagreement with a staff position relating to your application, you may have the opportunity to bring the matter to the attention of the Director, the Deputy Director for Technical Review, or me. This may be done either orally or in writing, but you should specify the matters to be discussed and indicate your reasons for disagreement with the staff reviewers. The matters to be discussed will be the subject of a meeting held by the Director of Licensing. Your Agency should be represented by a responsible management representative. Staff representatives will include the Deputy Directors of Reactor Projects and Technical Review or their Assistant Deputy Directors. This procedure is an informal one, designed to allow opportunity for applicants to discuss, with Licensing management, areas of disagreement in the case review.

Sincerely,


A. Giambusso, Deputy Director
for Reactor Projects
Directorate of Licensing

Enclosure:
Review Schedule for Bellefonte
Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc: Mr. R. H. Marquis
General Counsel
629 New Sprakle Building
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

BELLEFONTE NUCLEAR PLANT
UNITS 1 AND 2

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT REVIEW SCHEDULE

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATES</u>	<u>TIME ELAPSED (WEEKS)</u>
<u>Application Docketed</u>	6-21-73	
<u>Safety Review Schedule</u>		
Begin Review	6-21-73	0
Issue Requests for Information (RFI) (issue in several groups)	9-13-73 to 10-15-73	12 to 16
Receive applicant's response to RFI	10-10-73 to 11-26-73	16 to 22
Issue Staff Positions	12-19-73 to 1-21-74	26 to 30
Receive Applicant's Response to Staff Positions	1-30-74 to 2-25-74	31 to 35
Issue Safety Evaluation Report (SER)	5-30-74	49
Issue Supplement to SER	9-6-74	63
<u>Environmental Review Schedule</u>		
Site Visit	9-4-73 to 9-7-73	10 to 11
Environmental Questions Issued to Applicant	9-14-73	12
Receive Applicant's Response to Questions	9-21-73	13
Issue Draft Environmental Statement	11-26-73	22
End Public Comment Period	1-21-74	30
Issue Final Environmental Statement	4-15-74	42
<u>Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards</u>		
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee Meetings		
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Meeting	7-12-74	55
Receive Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Letter	7-19-74	56

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>DATES</u>	<u>TIME ELAPSED (WEEKS)</u>
<u>Antitrust Review and Hearing Schedule</u>		
Publish Attorney General's Advice in Federal Register	12-24-73	27
Antitrust Prehearings and Hearings	--	
<u>Public Hearing Process*</u>		
<u>Environmental Hearing</u>		
First Prehearing Conference	--	
Start Hearing	5-7-74	46
End Hearing	7-5-74	54
<u>Safety Hearing</u>		
First Prehearing Conference	--	
Start Hearing	10-8-74	68
End Hearing	12-6-74	76
Prospective Decision Date	2-8-75	85

*Public Hearing Process scheduling will be at the discretion of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, yet to be appointed. The listed dates are estimates using standard assumptions.