

#### Fourth Paragraph under Technical Quality of Licensing

Overall, the review team concluded that licensing actions were adequate to protect public health and safety; however, based on the evaluation of casework, the review team noted repeated examples of issues with thoroughness, ~~technical quality,~~ and adherence to existing licensing ~~procedures and~~ guidance. ~~Issues with the technical quality of some reviews was also noted.~~ Specific examples included in part, the addition of a complex, new technology to a license without a thorough technical review; ~~issuance of a radiography license erroneously listing a fixed site for possession and storage as a temporary job site for possession, storage, and use;~~ inconsistent implementation of the Bureau's licensing procedures; and inconsistent implementation of the licensing guidance in the NRC NUREG-1556 series. Supervisory reviews did not identify the technical or administrative issues identified by the review team. Documentation of licensing actions was often split between the paper license file and NJEMS, and in some cases it appeared that records of deficiency calls or e-mails to licensees were not retained.