

# LANL-B Package Certification Test Plan

#### **AREVA Federal Services LLC**

under contract to Los Alamos National Security, LLC March 31, 2011



### Introductions

#### AREVA Federal Services LLC (AFS)

- Ron Burnham, LANL-B Project Manager
- Phil Noss, Licensing Manager
- Rick Migliore, Shielding Engineer
- Global Threat Reduction Initiative (GTRI, NA-21, NNSA)
  - Ioanna Iliopulos, Director North and South America Threat Reduction
  - Pete Tensmeyer, Deputy Director North and South America Threat Reduction
  - Abigail Cuthbertson, Federal Project Manager, OSRP
  - John Zarling, Staff, NNSA
  - Darcy Campbell, Staff, NNSA
- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL OSRP)
  - Julia Whitworth, OSRP Program Manager
  - Dwaine Brown, Staff Lead for Type B Container Development
  - Mike Pearson, Senior Technical Advisor
  - Randolph B. Vaughn, Project Manager for Type B Container Development



## **Meeting Objectives**

- Review of the LANL-B Packaging
- Discuss Certification Test Plan
- NRC Staff feedback



### **Package Design Summary**

#### Type B

- Leaktight containment of shielded sources (LTSS or devices) (<1.0 × 10<sup>-7</sup> std-cc/sec, air) for both NCT and HAC
- For transport by truck, rail, ship, and air
- 10,000 lb licensed maximum weight; approx. 4,870 lb empty
- Two payload types
  - Long Term Storage Shield (LTSS), a lead shield developed by IAEA
  - Intact devices (i.e., teletherapy heads or industrial irradiators) containing their sources ('shielded devices')



### **Package Design Summary**





- Containment boundary consists of a vertical cylinder with torispherical ends
- Massive closure joint near lower end
- Inner diameter 43.5 inches
- Thickness ½ inch
- Material: Type 304 austenitic stainless steel



ARE

- At each end, crushing tube energy absorbers (with ½-inch thick aluminum plates to distribute load) creates flat ended cavity 60 inches long
- 24, 1-1/4 inch diameter closure bolts made from ASTM A320 L43 & hardened washers





Internal absorber – top and bottom



- Containment seal and test seal <sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch diameter butyl rubber on 5° tapered bore
- Seal material made from Rainier Rubber R-0405-70
- Vent port and seal test port located on bolt circle, with brass port plugs, and sealing washers using same butyl elastomer



- Single impact limiter on bottom protects from direct impact on flange, provides fire protection
- Impact limiter is integral to lower head
- Polyurethane foam, 15 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>
- IL shell is ¼ inch thick, maximum use of rolled corners and full-thickness welds



ARE

- Upper component ("bell") has 2-1/4" I.D. tubes for access to closure bolts and ports
- Two layers of ¼-inch insulation reduce heat input to lower bell
- Tube sheet (¼-inch thick) holds tubes securely and improves puncture protection
- Dual thermal shield covers cylindrical outer surface





- Rain shield keeps bolt and port tubes dry and also serves as fire shield
- Rain shield attached using 10, ½-13 UNC bolts
- Port thermal shields used in vent and test port tubes
- Port thermal shields retained by rain shield



### **Payloads**







AREVA Federal Services LLC – LANL-B Cert Testing - 3/31/2011 - p.13

### **Payloads**

- LTSS lodgment fits with minimal clearance inside package cavity
- Aluminum weldment with upper and lower halves joined by bolts or pins
- Secures LTSS for transport
- LTSS max weight: 4,650 lb
- Max. gross weight of lodgment: 5,130 lb



### **Payloads**

- Inner Container (IC) fits with minimal clearance inside package cavity
- Type 304 SS reinforced shell weldment with bolted lid
- Inner cavity is 36" dia by 53" long
- Secures device for transport using blocking and bracing
- Max. device weight: 3,500 lb
- Max. gross weight: 5,130 lb





# **Certification Strategy**

- Demonstrate adequacy of package, LTSS lodgment, and IC design primarily by full scale test
- Certification Test Unit (CTU) will be leaktight after a worstcase series of free drop and puncture events
- Three full scale prototypic CTUs will be used
- Structural damage to package will be accounted for in thermal analysis
- Structural dynamics finite element model will be benchmarked by test results for use in orientations not tested



# **Certification Strategy**

#### Each test will consist of sequence:

- NCT, 4-ft free drop
- HAC, 30-ft free drop (in same orientation)
- HAC, 40-in puncture (in same or different orientation, cumulative with free drop damage)
- Active accelerometers will be used for free drops
- Polyurethane foam impact limiter will be chilled or heated as required for free drops
- Puncture drops at prevailing temperature
- Design pressure = 25 psig, resulting stress is small
  - Pressure in test will be ambient
- Fire by analysis

# **Significant Damage Scenarios**

#### Closure joint

- Excessive loading of the closure bolts
- Puncture deformation of closure joint
- Deformation from the payload
- Excessive fire temperatures due to excessive damage of impact limiter or bolt tube region
- Containment boundary excessive material strain
- Lodgment or IC failure to control payload
- LTSS damage to shielding ability by interaction with lodgment
- Shielded device damage to shielding or movement of source

LS-Dyna model used to compare package behavior in different orientations

Model results can be used to identify worst-case orientations

- Model is prototypic with minor exceptions:
  - Most runs use 13 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam density; prototype is 15 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>
  - All runs use early version of lodgment
- These differences do not have a significant effect on comparative results (and will be corrected in application)



AREV







#### The largest impact is vertical, bottom-down (cold)

- Greatest challenge to impact limiter attachment to lower flange
- Greatest challenge to energy absorbing tubes
- Greatest challenge to lodgment or IC along vertical axis

Second largest impact is the simultaneous side drop (cold)

- Knuckle and impact limiter hit simultaneously
- Slapdowns show significantly lower impact
- Greatest challenge to lodgment or IC perpendicular to axis

Consequently, these two orientations will be tested





- The greatest foam strain occurs in simultaneous side drop (warm)
  - Non-bounding impact
  - Maximum foam crush near the flange
  - May represent the worst thermal damage case in HAC fire
- Consequently, this orientation will be tested





- Since no impact limiter is used on the top end, the containment boundary will need to deform in a head-first drop
- CG-over-knuckle orientation provides greatest material strain
  - Non-bounding impact
  - No impact limiter involvement
  - Combined with puncture, represents greatest plastic strain in containment boundary
- Consequently, this orientation will be tested





- From the model results, the CG-over-lower corner (impact limiter corner) represents the greatest closure bolt loads
- Since the bolts and surrounding flange region remain elastic, the model can be relied upon with good confidence
- The maximum bolt load will be calculated using the benchmarked LS-dyna model and bolt stresses compared to elastic allowables
- Consequently, this orientation will be evaluated by analysis
- Other orientations evaluated by analysis in SAR:
  - Vertical top-down
  - Slapdowns
  - Do not have bounding impacts, foam strain, or bolt loadings

- Puncture drops will occur on prior free drop damage
- Predicted free drop damage has been evaluated to determine the worst-case puncture drop that could be applied

#### On bottom-down free drop damage

- Risk of perforation of impact limiter shell and loss of foam
- Could expose lower head to fire





- On side free drop damage to knuckle
  - Could damage containment boundary
  - Most damage occurs if puncture is through CG
- On CG-over-knuckle free drop damage
  - Could damage containment boundary
  - Puncture through CG in same orientation as free drop



#### On warm side free drop damage to impact limiter

- Oblique will maximize possibility of perforation, and direct puncture through CG
- Puncture occurs on minimum foam thickness near flange

#### On warm side free drop damage to upper bolt tube region

- Aims at tube sheet/rain shield area, near CG, avoids support from impact limiter
- Could deform flange area or expose vent port to fire







#### Puncture on the package side

- According to Bechtel Power Corporation Topical Report BC-TOP-9A, Design of Structures for Missile Impact:
- the required wall thickness to prevent perforation is 0.25 inches
- The containment wall is twice that thick (0.50 inches), and is not subject to free drop damage due to its recessed location
- Puncture will be tested on head and knuckle of same thickness
- Therefore, a puncture on the wall does not need to be performed

### **Free Drop Test Summary**



### **Puncture Drop Test Summary**





### **Acceptance Criteria**

- At conclusion of all drop and puncture testing, each CTU shall be leaktight per definition of ANSI N14.5
- Damage relevant to thermal performance shall be bounded by thermal analysis damage assumptions
- Any damage to the LTSS relevant to shielding performance shall be bounded by shielding analysis assumptions
- At conclusion of testing, the vent port thermal shield must be retained by the rain shield or by deformed structure

#### CTUs are fully prototypic except for the following:

- Foam density is adjusted to facilitate temperature extremes
- Thermal shield is omitted
- Cold free drop tests will consider TS-R-1 requirement of -40 °F
- Obtaining foam temperature of -40 °F at test site is difficult and dangerous
- To facilitate the cold test, the polyurethane foam density is adjusted upward by 1 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and cooled to 0 °F
- Behavior of 16 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam at 0 °F is essentially identical to behavior of 15 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam at -40 °F – see plot





AREVA Federal Services LLC - LANL-B Cert Testing - 3/31/2011 - p.35

- The warm free drop test must be consistent with the NCT warm case foam temperature of 150 °F
- Obtaining foam temperature of 150 °F at test site is difficult and dangerous
- To facilitate the warm test, the polyurethane foam density is adjusted downward by 1 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> and warmed to 110 °F
- Behavior of 14 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam at 110 °F is essentially identical to behavior of 15 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> foam at 150 °F – see plot





AREVA Federal Services LLC - LANL-B Cert Testing - 3/31/2011 - p.37

- Thermal shields consist of two thin layers of sheet steel (0.060" and 0.105") separated by two windings of 0.105" diameter wire
- Since their structural effect will be negligible and their absence is conservative, CTUs do not include thermal shields
- To make up for mass, a single wrap of 0.105" thick sheet is placed around the CTU in the same position using low strength intermittent attachment welds
- This sheet is non-structural weight only



# **Payload Configuration**

#### LTSS will be prototypic regarding important structure

- Door hinges and internal magazine will be weight-simulated
- Overall weight, lead weight, outer shell & structural load paths are prototypic
- Damage affecting shielding function is not expected

Device inside IC test article will be simulated (dummy device)

- Devices are more robust than LTSS (less weight and thicker steel)
- Devices will be blocked by wood or other dunnage material (more forgiving for local damage compared to lodgment of LTSS)
- Consequently, the resulting LTSS test damage (if any none expected) will be bounding for devices





# NRC Staff Comments & Suggestions



AREVA Federal Services LLC – LANL-B Cert Testing - 3/31/2011 - p.40