NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(06-2010)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Midwest Division – RBH, LLC (d/b/a Research Belton Hospital) 17065 South 71 Highway Belton, MO 64012 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-001	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4351

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-18606	24-24405-01	March 16, 2011

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied

_____Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Deborah A. Piskura	DAPiskura	3/16/2011
Branch Chief	Tamara E. Bloomer	TE Bloomer	3/25/11

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3 (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Midwest Division – RBH, LLC (d/b/a Research Belton Hospital) 17065 South 71 Highway Belton, MO 64012 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-001	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4351

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-18606	24-24405-01	March 16, 2011

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87130 & 87131	03.01-03.08

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1.PROGRAM	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
02120	3	Barry A. Gubin, M.D., RSO	816-348-1224

⊘ Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: March 2014

Field Office Inspection

☐ Temporary Job Site Inspection _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

This licensee was a small community hospital and authorized to use licensed material permitted by Sections 35.100, 35.200, and 35.300. The nuclear medicine department was staffed with one full-time and two part-time technologists who performed approximately 100+ diagnostic procedures monthly which included a full spectrum of studies. The licensee received unit doses from a licensed radiopharmacy. The licensee administered no I-131 procedures requiring a written directive since the previous inspection. The hospital retained the services of a consulting physicist who audited the radiation safety program on a quarterly basis (last 1/18/2011).

This inspection consisted of interviews with select licensee personnel; a review of select records; a tour of the nuclear medicine department; and independent measurements. The inspector observed the administration of several cardiac resting and stress testing procedures. The inspection included observations of dose calibrator QA checks, security of byproduct material, use of personnel monitoring, package receipts and surveys.