

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 05/04/11 EDO CONTROL: G20110249  
DOC DT: 03/29/11  
FINAL REPLY:

Julie Menin  
State of New York  
Manhattan Community Board 1

TO:

Chairman Jaczko

FOR SIGNATURE OF : \*\* GRN \*\* CRC NO: 11-0190

Leeds, NRR

DESC:

Independent Evaluation of Safety, Security and  
Environmental Standards of Indian Point  
(EDATS: SECY-2011-0204)

ROUTING:

Borchardt  
Weber  
Virgilio  
Ash  
Muessle  
OGC/GC  
Wiggins, NSIR  
Dean, RI  
Burns, OGC  
Merzke, OEDO

DATE: 04/06/11

ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT:  
NRR Leeds

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

**EDATS Number:** SECY-2011-0204

**Source:** SECY

**General Information**

**Assigned To:** NRR

**OEDO Due Date:** 5/4/2011 11:00 PM

**Other Assignees:**

**SECY Due Date:** NONE

**Subject:** Independent Evaluation of Safety, Security and Environmental Standards of Indian Point

**Description:**

**CC Routing:** NRR; RegionI; OGC

**ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming:**  
ML110970351

**Response/Package:** NONE

**Other Information**

**Cross Reference Number:** G20110249, LTR-11-0190

**Staff Initiated:** NO

**Related Task:**

**Recurring Item:** NO

**File Routing:** EDATS

**Agency Lesson Learned:** NO

**OEDO Monthly Report Item:** NO

**Process Information**

**Action Type:** Letter

**Priority:** Medium

**Signature Level:** NRR

**Sensitivity:** None

**Urgency:** NO

**Approval Level:** No Approval Required

**OEDO Concurrence:** NO

**OCM Concurrence:** NO

**OCA Concurrence:** NO

**Special Instructions:**

**Document Information**

**Originator Name:** Julie Menin

**Date of Incoming:** 3/29/2011

**Originating Organization:** Manhattan Community Board  
1, State of New York

**Document Received by SECY Date:** 4/6/2011

**Addressee:** Chairman Jaczko

**Date Response Requested by Originator:** NONE

**Incoming Task Received:** Letter

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

*Date Printed: Apr 05, 2011 10:41*

---

**PAPER NUMBER:** LTR-11-0190

**LOGGING DATE:** 04/04/2011

**ACTION OFFICE:** EDO

**AUTHOR:** Julie Menin

**AFFILIATION:** NY

**ADDRESSEE:** Gregory Jaczko

**SUBJECT:** Community Board #1 Manhattan resolution...Independent safety and security review of Indian Point nuclear power plant

**ACTION:** Appropriate

**DISTRIBUTION:** RF

**LETTER DATE:** 03/29/2011

**ACKNOWLEDGED** No

**SPECIAL HANDLING:**

**NOTES:**

**FILE LOCATION:** ADAMS

**DATE DUE:**

**DATE SIGNED:**

EDO --G20110249



The City of New York

# Manhattan Community Board 1

Julie Menin CHAIRPERSON | Noah Pfefferblit DISTRICT MANAGER

March 29, 2011

Chairman Gregory B. Jaczko  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Chairman Jaczko:

Attached please find a resolution passed unanimously by Community Board One at our meeting on March 22, 2011 calling on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to independently and objectively evaluate the safety, security, and environmental standards of Indian Point nuclear power plant, as well as its evacuation plan, before renewing its reactor licenses. We believe that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission should not renew the licenses for the reactors at Indian Point should an evaluation determine that it is inherently unsafe or that evacuation plans for the surrounding area are impractical or insufficient.

Thank you for your consideration of our resolution. We look forward to learning the results of the safety evaluation that we have requested.

Sincerely,

Julie Menin  
Chairperson

cc: Senator Charles Schumer  
Senator Kirsten Gillibrand  
U.S. Rep. Jerrold Nadler

49 Chambers Street, Suite 715, New York, NY 10007-1209

Tel. (212) 442-5050 Fax (212) 442-5055

[man01@cb.nyc.gov](mailto:man01@cb.nyc.gov)

[www.nyc.gov/html/mancb1](http://www.nyc.gov/html/mancb1)

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN  
RESOLUTION

DATE: MARCH 22, 2011

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: NEW BUSINESS

BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Independent safety and security review of Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant

WHEREAS: Indian Point nuclear power plant is situated only approximately 35 miles from New York City; and

WHEREAS: Indian Point has suffered from management and mechanical problems since the first of its reactors was opened nearly forty years ago; and

WHEREAS: There have been incidents involving minor explosions and coolant leaks as recently as a few years ago, the most serious of which involved a radioactive leak that led to an eleven month closure of the plant in 2000; and

WHEREAS: In 2010, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation denied Entergy Corporation, the entity that owns the plant, a water permit on the grounds that the plants antiquated non-closed cycle cooling system was in violation of the federal Clean Water Act; and

WHEREAS: The plant cycles through 2.5 billion gallons of Hudson River water a day, dumping the water after heating it back into the river to significant adverse effect on river life; and

WHEREAS: New York City is grossly underprepared for a citywide evacuation should a nuclear crisis on the scale of that ongoing at the Fukushima Daiichi plant in Japan occur at Indian Point; and

WHEREAS: The licenses for the two nuclear reactors still operating in Indian Point are due to expire in 2013 and 2015; and

WHEREAS: Historically, the federal Nuclear Regulatory Commission's license renewal for nuclear reactors has been near automatic; and

WHEREAS: The only study that has been conducted regarding the safety of the plant from terrorist attack was authored by a pro-nuclear group, the Nuclear Energy Institute; and

WHEREAS: Indian Point Nuclear Power Plant is situated on two active fault lines; and

WHEREAS: According to the 9/11 Commission Report, Khalid Sheikh Mohammed considered nuclear power plants as a target; and

WHEREAS: A Sandia National Laboratories study submitted to Congress estimated the potential death toll from radiation exposure for Indian Point could be 50,000 initially, and a study by the environmental group, the RiverKeeper suggested that a terrorist attack on Indian Point could cause up to 44,000 initial deaths and 518,000 in the long-term; now

THEREFORE  
BE IT  
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 calls on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to independently and objectively evaluate the safety, security, and environmental standards of Indian Point nuclear power plant, as well as its evacuation plan, before it considers renewing its reactor licenses; and

BE IT  
FURTHER  
RESOLVED

THAT: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission should deny renewals of the licenses for the reactors should an evaluation determine that the power plant is inherently unsafe or that its evacuation plans are impractical or insufficient.