

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

<p>1 LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Vicky Savas, M.D., P.C. 29201 Telegraph Road, Suite 420 Southfield, MI 48034 REPORT NUMBER(S): 11-01</p>	<p>2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532</p>
--	---

<p>3 DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-36886</p>	<p>4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-32561-01</p>	<p>5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION March 23, 2011</p>
---	--	--

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.
_____ Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):
- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11

Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Andrew M. Bramnik	<i>Andrew M. Bramnik</i>	3/23/2011
Branch Chief	Tamara E. Bloomer	<i>T. Bloomer</i>	3/31/11

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Vicky Savas, M.D., P.C. REPORT NUMBER(S) 11-01	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532
--	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-36886	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-32561-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION March 23, 2011
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87130	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 – 03.07
-----------------------------------	--

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM 2201	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Laura Smith, M.S., RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 586-215-5947
--------------------	------------------	---	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection
 Field Office Inspection
 Temporary Job Site Inspection

Next Inspection Date: March 2016

PROGRAM SCOPE

This was a routine inspection of a private clinic that performed approximately 5-7 diagnostic nuclear medicine procedures per day. One full time nuclear medicine technologist performed all patient procedures Monday through Thursday, as well as alternating Saturdays. The licensee obtained licensed material as unit doses from an area nuclear pharmacy, and did not use xenon-133, bulk doses, or molybdenum/technetium generators. The licensee performed exclusively cardiac scans, as well as occasional MUGA scans, and was not authorized to perform or administer therapeutic doses.

Performance Observations

The inspector observed one resting dose of technetium-99m being administered during the inspection. This observation, combined with interviews of available staff, revealed an adequate level of understanding of emergency and material handling procedures and techniques. Dose calibrator constancy checks, package receipt, daily surveys, and waste handling and disposal procedures were successfully demonstrated. An outside consultant performed quarterly program audits that were adequate to oversee the program, and also served as the Radiation Safety Officer.

Licensed material was adequately secured and not readily accessible to members of the general public. The licensee possessed a radiation survey meter that was calibrated, operational, and performed well in side-by-side comparison with an NRC instrument.

Independent measurements did not indicate readings in excess of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 20 limits in restricted or unrestricted areas. Personal whole body and extremity dosimetry were observed worn by the staff during the inspection, and records did not indicate doses in excess of 10 CFR Part 20 limits. Dosimetry records indicated that the highest annual whole body and extremity readings for the past four years were 290 millirem (mrem) and 564 mrem, respectively.

No violations were identified during this inspection.