

ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From: WELLS Russell (AREVA) [Russell.Wells@areva.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 5:51 PM
To: Tesfaye, Getachew
Cc: LENTZ Tony (EXTERNAL AREVA); BENNETT Kathy (AREVA); DELANO Karen (AREVA); ROMINE Judy (AREVA); RYAN Tom (AREVA)
Subject: Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 478 (5434), FSAR Ch. 5
Attachments: RAI 478 Response US EPR DC.pdf

Getachew,

Attached please find AREVA NP Inc.'s response to the subject request for additional information (RAI). The attached file, "RAI 478 Response US EPR DC.pdf" provides a schedule since technically correct and complete responses to the one question is not provided.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 478 Response US EPR DC.pdf" that contain AREVA NP's responses to the subject question.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 478 — 05.02.05-13	2	2

A complete answer is not provided for the one question. The schedule for technically correct and complete responses to this question is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 478 — 05.02.05-13	May 25, 2011

Sincerely,

Russ Wells

U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager

AREVA NP, Inc.

3315 Old Forest Road, P.O. Box 10935

Mail Stop OF-57

Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Phone: 434-832-3884 (work)

434-942-6375 (cell)

Fax: 434-382-3884

Russell.Wells@Areva.com

From: Tesfaye, Getachew [<mailto:Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 8:34 PM
To: ZZ-DL-A-USEPR-DL
Cc: Li, Chang; Segala, John; Lee, Samuel; Hearn, Peter; Colaccino, Joseph; ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource
Subject: U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 478 (5434), FSAR Ch. 5

Attached please find the subject request for additional information (RAI). A draft of the RAI was provided to you on March 4, 2011, and on the same day on March 4, 2011, you informed us that the RAI is clear and no further clarification is needed. As a result, no change is made to the draft RAI. The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs. For any RAIs that cannot be answered within 30 days, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will be provided to the staff within the 30 day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

Thanks,
Getachew Tesfaye
Sr. Project Manager
NRO/DNRL/NARP
(301) 415-3361

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs
Email Number: 2815

Mail Envelope Properties (1F1CC1BBDC66B842A46CAC03D6B1CD41042EF3C0)

Subject: Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 478 (5434),
FSAR Ch. 5
Sent Date: 4/5/2011 5:51:25 PM
Received Date: 4/5/2011 5:51:28 PM
From: WELLS Russell (AREVA)

Created By: Russell.Wells@areva.com

Recipients:

"LENTZ Tony (EXTERNAL AREVA)" <Tony.Lentz.ext@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"BENNETT Kathy (AREVA)" <Kathy.Bennett@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"DELANO Karen (AREVA)" <Karen.Delano@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"ROMINE Judy (AREVA)" <Judy.Romine@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"RYAN Tom (AREVA)" <Tom.Ryan@areva.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Tsfaye, Getachew" <Getachew.Tsfaye@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: AUSLYNCMX02.adom.ad.corp

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2275	4/5/2011 5:51:28 PM
RAI 478 Response US EPR DC.pdf		58047

Options

Priority: Standard

Return Notification: No

Reply Requested: No

Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date:

Recipients Received:

Response to

Request for Additional Information No. 478(5434), Revision 0

3/07/2011

U. S. EPR Standard Design Certification

AREVA NP Inc.

Docket No. 52-020

SRP Section: 05.02.05 - Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Leakage Detection

Application Section: 5.2.5

QUESTIONS for Balance of Plant Branch 2 (ESBWR/ABWR) (SBPB)

Question 05.02.05-13:

OPEN ITEM

This RAI is related to RAI No. 431 Question 05.02.05-12. In the response to RAI No. 431, the applicant clarified that the leakage detection requirement for leak-before-break (LBB) of the main coolant loop piping (MCL) and pressurizer surge line (SL) is 0.5 gpm, and the leakage detection requirement for the main steam line (MSL) is 0.1 gpm. The initial testing and ITAAC acceptance criteria were revised accordingly. However, current Technical Specifications (TS) 3.4.12.b specifies a criterion of 1 gpm for unidentified RCS leakage. In addition, TS 3.7.18 specifies a criterion of 1 gpm for the main steam line leakage. Similar to the revision of ITAAC and initial testing acceptance criteria, revise the TS criteria in the FSAR for the above two TS limits to be consistent with the functional requirement of supporting LBB or justify the 1 gpm criterion to be adequate to support LBB.

Response to Question 05.02.05-13:

A response to this question will be provided by May 25, 2011.