

Facility: Hope Creek Generating Station		Date of Examination: 02/03/2011		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	TF	2	TF
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	TF	2	TF
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	TF	2	TF
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	TF	2	TF
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.			
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.		NA	
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.			
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.			
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations			
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.			
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	TF	2	TF
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	TF	2	TF
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	TF	2	TF
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	TF	2	NA
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	TF	2	TF
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	TF	2	TF
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Supervisor		Printed Name/Signature TIMOTHY J. CATALANO Timothy J. Catalano Larry D. Sinclair Larry D. Sinclair Todd Fish Todd Fish Sam Howell Sam Howell		Date 11/10/10 11/14/10 11/22/10 11/22/10
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week of 01/31/2011 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week of 01/31/2011. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. TIMOTHY J. CATALANO	EXAM AUTHOR	<i>Timothy J. Catalano</i>	11/01/10	<i>Timothy J. Catalano</i>	01/27/11	
2. STEVEN DENNIS	EXAM AUTHOR	<i>Steven Dennis</i>	11/1/10	<i>Steven Dennis</i>	01/27/11	
3. Andrew E. Fauth	Exam Author	<i>Andrew E. Fauth</i>	11/1/10	<i>Andrew E. Fauth</i>	1/31/11	
4. L. Sinclair	FACILITY REPRESENTATIVE	<i>L. Sinclair</i>	11/14/10		1/27/11	
5. JOSEPH MURRAY	TRAINING PROGRAM SPECIALIST	<i>Joseph Murray</i>	12/18/10	<i>Joseph Murray</i>	1/31/11	
6. Sidney Bevilacqua	CRS/SRO	<i>Sidney Bevilacqua</i>	12/19/10	<i>Sidney Bevilacqua</i>	1/27/11	
7. Michael Ouellette	NCO/RB	<i>Michael Ouellette</i>	12/16/10	<i>Michael Ouellette</i>	01/31/11	
8. CLIFF BOXER III	RO	<i>Cliff Boxer III</i>	12/9/10	<i>Cliff Boxer III</i>	01/31/11	
9. JOE WITINSKI	CRS/SRO	<i>Joe Witinski</i>	12/9/10	<i>Joe Witinski</i>	01/31/11	
10. SCOTT C. MAJOR	CRS/SRO	<i>Scott C. Major</i>	12-9-10	<i>Scott C. Major</i>	01/31/11	
11. Jim Swanson	CRS/SRO	<i>Jim Swanson</i>	12-9-10	<i>Jim Swanson</i>	01/31/11	
12. Jim Priest	CRS/SRO	<i>Jim Priest</i>	12/9/10	<i>Jim Priest</i>	1/27/11	
13. Bob Boesch	HC- OPS Trng Mgr	<i>Bob Boesch</i>	12/9/10	<i>Bob Boesch</i>	01/31/11	
14. Mark Prescott	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Mark Prescott</i>	12/13/10	<i>Mark Prescott</i>	1/27/11	
15. ADAMS	P.S.	<i>Adams</i>	1/4/11	<i>Adams</i>	1/27/11	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Feb 1, 2011 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Edwin Bowles	Exam Developer	<i>Edwin Bowles</i>	11/1/10	Gen. Supervisor	01/27/11	
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

Facility: <b>Hope Creek Generating Station</b>		Date of Exam: <b>02/03/2011</b>	Exam Level: <b>RO</b>	SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	TJC	2	TF			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	TJC	2	TF			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	TJC	2	TF			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			TF			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	TJC	2	TF			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	TJC	2	TF
	0 / 15	0 / 0	0 / 10			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	TJC	2	TF
	0 / 9		0 / 16			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distracters.	TJC	2	TF			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	TJC	2	TF			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	TJC	2	TF			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	TJC	2	TF			
Printed Name / Signature				Date		
a. Author	TIMOTHY J. CATALANO <i>Timothy J. Catalano</i>			01/14/11		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	LARRY D. SINCLAIR <i>Larry D. Sinclair</i>			01/14/11		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	TODD FISH <i>Todd Fish</i>			1/18/11		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	SAM HANSELL <i>Sam Hansell</i>			1/20/11		
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: <u>H/C - written retake</u>		Date of Exam: <u>1/22/11</u>		Exam Level: RO <u>(SRO)</u>	
Item Description		Initials			
		a	b	c	
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	TGC	2	TF	BTF
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	TGC	2	NA	
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	TGC	2	TF	
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	TGC	2	NA	
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	NA	
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	TGC	2	TF	
		Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a.	Grader	<u>TIMOTHY CATALANO / <i>T. Catalano</i></u>		<u>01/27/11</u>	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Larry D. Sinclair / <i>L. Sinclair</i></u> <u>Brian Fuller / <i>Brian Fuller</i></u>		<u>1/27/11</u> <u>1/31/11</u>	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>TODD FISH / <i>Todd Fish</i></u>		<u>1/31/11</u>	
d.	NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>Sam Hansell / <i>SEH</i></u>		<u>1/31/11</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					