

## ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

---

**From:** WELLS Russell (AREVA) [Russell.Wells@areva.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2011 12:51 PM  
**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew  
**Cc:** BENNETT Kathy (AREVA); DELANO Karen (AREVA); ROMINE Judy (AREVA); RYAN Tom (AREVA)  
**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 6  
**Attachments:** RAI 442 Supplement 6 Response US EPR DC.pdf

Getachew,

On November 19, 2010, AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA NP) provided a schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the questions in RAI 442. Supplement 1 response was sent on January 7, 2011 to provide a revised schedule for four of the questions. Supplement 2 response was sent on February 9, 2011 to provide a revised schedule. Supplement 3 response was sent on February 18, 2011 to provide technically correct and complete responses to four questions. Supplement 4 response was sent on February 25, 2011 to provide technically correct and complete response to one question. Supplement 5 response was sent on March 2, 2011 to provide technically correct and complete responses to three of the 12 remaining questions. Based on discussions with NRC, the attached file, "RAI 442 Supplement 6 Response US EPR DC.pdf" provides technically correct and complete responses to two of the 9 questions, as committed.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 442 Supplement 6 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject questions.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 442 07.01-32	2	3
RAI 442 07.09-67	4	5

AREVA NP's schedule for providing a technically correct and complete response to the remaining questions in RAI 442 remains unchanged and is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	April 21, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	April 14, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	April 28, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	April 14, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	April 28, 2011

*Sincerely,*

*Russ Wells*

*U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager*

*AREVA NP, Inc.*

*3315 Old Forest Road, P.O. Box 10935*

*Mail Stop OF-57*

Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935  
Phone: 434-832-3884 (work)  
434-942-6375 (cell)  
Fax: 434-382-3884  
[Russell.Wells@Areva.com](mailto:Russell.Wells@Areva.com)

---

**From:** WELLS Russell (RS/NB)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 02, 2011 4:52 PM  
**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew  
**Cc:** BENNETT Kathy (RS/NB); DELANO Karen (RS/NB); ROMINE Judy (RS/NB); RYAN Tom (RS/NB)  
**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 5

Getachew,

On November 19, 2010, AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA NP) provided a schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the questions in RAI 442. Supplement 1 response was sent on January 7, 2011 to provide a revised schedule for four of the questions. Supplement 2 response was sent on February 9, 2011 to provide a revised schedule. Supplement 3 response was sent on February 18, 2011 to provide technically correct and complete responses to four questions. Supplement 4 response was sent on February 25, 2011 to provide technically correct and complete response to one question. Based on discussions with NRC, the attached file, "RAI 442 Supplement 5 Response US EPR DC.pdf" provides technically correct and complete responses to three of the 12 questions, as committed.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 442 Supplement 5 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject question.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 442 07.03-33	2	2
RAI 442 07.03-34	3	4
RAI 442 07.09-61	5	8

AREVA NP's schedule for providing a technically correct and complete response to all questions in RAI 442 remains unchanged and is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	April 21, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	April 14, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	April 28, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	April 14, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	April 28, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	April 7, 2011

*Sincerely,*

*Russ Wells*

U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager

AREVA NP, Inc.

3315 Old Forest Road, P.O. Box 10935

Mail Stop OF-57

Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Phone: 434-832-3884 (work)

434-942-6375 (cell)

Fax: 434-382-3884

[Russell.Wells@Areva.com](mailto:Russell.Wells@Areva.com)

---

**From:** WELLS Russell (RS/NB)

**Sent:** Friday, February 25, 2011 8:07 AM

**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew

**Cc:** BRYAN Martin (External RS/NB); BENNETT Kathy (RS/NB); DELANO Karen (RS/NB); ROMINE Judy (RS/NB); RYAN Tom (RS/NB)

**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 4

Getachew,

On November 19, 2010, AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA NP) provided a schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the questions in RAI 442. Supplement 1 response was sent on January 7, 2011 to provide a revised schedule for four of the questions. Supplement 2 response was sent on February 9, 2011 to provide a revised schedule. Supplement 3 response was sent on February 18, 2011 to provide technically correct and complete responses to four questions. Based on discussions with NRC, the attached file, "RAI 442 Supplement 4 Response US EPR DC.pdf" provides technically correct and complete responses to one of the 13 questions, as committed.

Appended to this file are affected pages of the U.S. EPR Final Safety Analysis Report and Technical Report ANP-10309P, in redline-strikeout format which support the response to RAI 442 Question 07.09-63.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 442 Supplement 4 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject question.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 442 07.09-63	2	2

Based upon the information presented to the NRC during the February 15, 2011, Public Meeting, the schedule for the remaining questions has been changed.

AREVA NP's schedule for providing a technically correct and complete response to all questions in RAI 442 is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	April 21, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	April 14, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	April 28, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	April 7, 2011

RAI 442 — 7.3-32	April 14, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-33	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-34	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-61	April 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	April 28, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	April 7, 2011

*Sincerely,*

*Russ Wells*

*U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager*

*AREVA NP, Inc.*

*3315 Old Forest Road, P.O. Box 10935*

*Mail Stop OF-57*

*Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935*

*Phone: 434-832-3884 (work)*

*434-942-6375 (cell)*

*Fax: 434-382-3884*

*[Russell.Wells@Areva.com](mailto:Russell.Wells@Areva.com)*

---

**From:** BRYAN Martin (External RS/NB)

**Sent:** Friday, February 18, 2011 12:21 PM

**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew

**Cc:** DELANO Karen (RS/NB); ROMINE Judy (RS/NB); BENNETT Kathy (RS/NB); RYAN Tom (RS/NB)

**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 3

Getachew,

On November 19, 2010, AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA NP) provided a schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the questions in RAI 442. Supplement 1 response was sent on January 7, 2011 to provide a revised schedule for four of the questions. Supplement 2 response was sent on February 9, 2011 to provide a revised schedule. Based on discussions with NRC, the attached file, "RAI 442 Supplement 3 Response US EPR DC.pdf" provides technically correct and complete responses to four of the 17 questions, as committed.

Appended to this file are affected pages of the U.S. EPR Final Safety Analysis Report and Technical Report ANP-10281P, in redline-strikeout format which support the response to RAI 442 Question 07.01-29.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 442 Supplement 3 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject questions.

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 442 07.01-29	2	2
RAI 413 07.09-62	3	4
RAI 413 07.09-65	5	5
RAI 413 07.09-66	6	6

The schedule for technically correct and complete responses to the remaining 13 questions is unchanged and provided below:

AREVA NP's schedule for providing a technically correct and complete response to all questions in RAI 442 is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-33	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-34	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-61	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-63	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	March 15, 2011

Sincerely,

Martin (Marty) C. Bryan  
U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager  
AREVA NP Inc.  
Tel: (434) 832-3016  
702 561-3528 cell  
[Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com](mailto:Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com)

---

**From:** BRYAN Martin (External RS/NB)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 09, 2011 5:07 PM  
**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew  
**Cc:** DELANO Karen (RS/NB); ROMINE Judy (RS/NB); BENNETT Kathy (RS/NB); RYAN Tom (RS/NB)  
**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 2

Getachew,

On November 19, 2010, AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA NP) provided a schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the questions in RAI 442. Supplement 1 response was sent on January 7, 2011 to provide a revised schedule for four of the questions. To allow additional time to interact with the staff and to process the responses a revised schedule is provided below. It should be noted that the dates below may need to be adjusted following the February 15, 2011 public meeting between AREVA and the NRC on digital instrumentation and controls.

AREVA NP's schedule for providing a technically correct and complete response to all questions in RAI 442 is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-29	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-33	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-34	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-61	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-62	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-63	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-65	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-66	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	March 15, 2011

Sincerely,

Martin (Marty) C. Bryan  
U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager  
AREVA NP Inc.  
Tel: (434) 832-3016  
702 561-3528 cell  
[Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com](mailto:Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com)

---

**From:** BRYAN Martin (External RS/NB)  
**Sent:** Friday, January 07, 2011 11:15 AM  
**To:** Tesfaye, Getachew  
**Cc:** DELANO Karen (RS/NB); ROMINE Judy (RS/NB); BENNETT Kathy (RS/NB); RYAN Tom (RS/NB); PANNELL George (CORP/QP)  
**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 1

Getachew,

On November 19, 2010, AREVA NP Inc. (AREVA NP) provided a schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the questions in RAI 442. To allow additional time to interact with the staff a revised schedule is provided below for questions 7.1.29, 7.1.32, 7.9-65 and 7.9-67. The schedule for the other questions remains unchanged.

AREVA NP's schedule for providing a technically correct and complete response to all questions in RAI 442 is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	March 15, 2011

RAI 442 — 7.1-29	<b>February 9, 2011</b>
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	<b>February 9, 2011</b>
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-33	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-34	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-61	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-62	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-63	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-65	<b>March 15, 2011</b>
RAI 442 — 7.9-66	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	<b>February 9, 2011</b>

Sincerely,

Martin (Marty) C. Bryan  
U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager  
AREVA NP Inc.  
Tel: (434) 832-3016  
702 561-3528 cell  
[Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com](mailto:Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com)

---

**From:** BRYAN Martin (External RS/NB)  
**Sent:** Friday, November 19, 2010 5:12 PM  
**To:** 'Tesfaye, Getachew'  
**Cc:** DELANO Karen (RS/NB); ROMINE Judy (RS/NB); BENNETT Kathy (RS/NB); PANNELL George (CORP/QP)  
**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7

Getachew,

Attached please find AREVA NP Inc.'s response to the subject request for additional information (RAI). The attached file, "RAI 442 Response US EPR DC.pdf" provides a schedule since a technically correct and complete response to the 17 question (s) is not provided.

The following table indicates the respective pages in the response document, "RAI 442 Response US EPR DC.pdf," that contain AREVA NP's response to the subject questions.

<b>Question #</b>	<b>Start Page</b>	<b>End Page</b>
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	2	2
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	3	3
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	4	4
RAI 442 — 7.1-29	5	5
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	6	6
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	7	8
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	9	9
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	10	10
RAI 442 — 7.3-33	11	11
RAI 442 — 7.3-34	12	12

RAI 442 — 7.9-61	13	13
RAI 442 — 7.9-62	14	14
RAI 442 — 7.9-63	15	15
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	16	16
RAI 442 — 7.9-65	17	17
RAI 442 — 7.9-66	18	18
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	19	19

A complete answer is not provided for the 17 questions. The schedule for a technically correct and complete response to these questions is provided below.

Question #	Response Date
RAI 442 — 7.1-26	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-27	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-28	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-29	January 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-30	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-31	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.1-32	January 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-32	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-33	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.3-34	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-61	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-62	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-63	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-64	March 15, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-65	January 7, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-66	February 9, 2011
RAI 442 — 7.9-67	January 7, 2011

Sincerely,

Martin (Marty) C. Bryan  
U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager  
AREVA NP Inc.  
Tel: (434) 832-3016  
702 561-3528 cell  
[Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com](mailto:Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com)

---

**From:** Tesfaye, Getachew [mailto:Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 20, 2010 8:09 AM

**To:** ZZ-DL-A-USEPR-DL

**Cc:** Zhao, Jack; Morton, Wendell; Mott, Kenneth; Spaulding, Deirdre; Truong, Tung; Zhang, Deanna; Jackson, Terry; Canova, Michael; Colaccino, Joseph; ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

**Subject:** U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442(4295,5076,5068,5067), FSAR Ch. 7

Attached please find the subject requests for additional information (RAI). A draft of the RAI was provided to you on September 10, 2010, and discussed with your staff on October 13, 2010. Drat RAI Questions 07.01-26 and 07.03-33 were modified as a result of that discussion. The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs. For any RAIs that cannot be answered within 30 days, it is expected that a date for receipt of this information will



be provided to the staff within the 30 day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the published schedule.

Thanks,  
Getachew Tesfaye  
Sr. Project Manager  
NRO/DNRL/NARP  
(301) 415-3361

**Hearing Identifier:** AREVA\_EPR\_DC\_RAIs  
**Email Number:** 2704

**Mail Envelope Properties** (1F1CC1BBDC66B842A46CAC03D6B1CD410419D8D3)

**Subject:** Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 442, FSAR Ch. 7, Supplement 6  
**Sent Date:** 3/15/2011 12:50:56 PM  
**Received Date:** 3/15/2011 12:51:03 PM  
**From:** WELLS Russell (AREVA)

**Created By:** Russell.Wells@areva.com

**Recipients:**  
"BENNETT Kathy (AREVA)" <Kathy.Bennett@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"DELANO Karen (AREVA)" <Karen.Delano@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"ROMINE Judy (AREVA)" <Judy.Romine@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"RYAN Tom (AREVA)" <Tom.Ryan@areva.com>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Tsfaye, Getachew" <Getachew.Tsfaye@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** AUSLYNCMX02.adom.ad.corp

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	17066	3/15/2011 12:51:03 PM
RAI 442 Supplement 6 Response US EPR DC.pdf		66619

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

**Response to**  
**Request for Additional Information No. 442(4295, 5076, 5068, 5067), Revision 1,**  
**Supplement 6**

**10/20/2010**

**U.S. EPR Standard Design Certification**  
**AREVA NP Inc.**  
**Docket No. 52-020**  
**SRP Section: 07.01 - Instrumentation and Controls - Introduction**  
**SRP Section: 07.03 - Engineered Safety Features Systems**  
**SRP Section: 07.09 - Data Communication Systems**

**Application Section: FSAR Ch 7**

**QUESTIONS for Instrumentation, Controls and Electrical Engineering 1**  
**(AP1000/EPR Projects) (ICE1)**

**Question 07.01-32:**

**Follow-up RAI to 321, Question 07.01-19.**

The staff requests that the following additional information be provided:

AREVA NP addressed the issue concerning the language “without further NRC review” in its Software Program Manual (SPM). How will AREVA NP address this language in the EPR FSAR, Tier 2?

In the Question 07.01-19, the staff requested the following:

Describe the software development process (SDP) used for the video display that will be used in the safety information and control systems (SICS), particularly with respect to identification of aspects that differ from the SDP used for the TELEPERM XS.

The applicant needs to provide a description of the differences in the SDP for the safety-related video display. The staff needs to be able to determine acceptability with regards to the quality requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(1); 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria 1; 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B; and 10 CFR 50.55a(h) are met.

The staff reviewed the AREVA NP response to RAI 321, Question 07.01-19, and found that the proposed FSAR markup (Tier 2, Revision 2 Interim, Page 7.1-5) contains language which is problematic. The problematic phrase is “without further NRC review.” AREVA NP addressed this issue in its Software Program Manual (SPM). How will AREVA NP address this language in the EPR FSAR, Tier 2?

**Response to Question 07.01-32:**

To address this question and to reflect recent changes to the software program manual (SPM), U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.1.1.2.1 will be replaced in its entirety with the following:

**TXS Platform Design**

The TXS platform is described in the Reactor Protection System Topical Report (EMF-2110NP(A)) (Reference 3). Because of advances in technology and rapid obsolescence of components, the various modules described in Reference 3 will be modified and upgraded over time, and new modules will be developed. The design and qualification of new or upgraded TXS hardware and system software used in U.S. EPR plants will be performed consistent with the methods described in Reference 3.

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 1, Section 2.4.1, Section 2.4.2, and Section 2.4.4 will be revised to remove the ITAAC commitments corresponding to the information removed from U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.1.1.2.1.

To support submittal of complete and consistent information, and considering multiple RAI responses and design changes communicated to the NRC staff, U.S. EPR FSAR markups will be submitted with a corresponding RAI response.

The U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.1 revisions described in this response will be submitted with the Response to RAI 442, Question 07.01-26.

The U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 1, Section 2.4.1, Section 2.4.2, and Section 2.4.4 revisions described in this response will be submitted with the Response to RAI 442, Question 07.03-36.

**FSAR Impact:**

The U.S. EPR FSAR will not be changed as a result of this question.

**Question 07.09-67:**

Describe the communication pathway used to initiate a turbine trip upon an automatic or manual reactor trip to meet 10 CFR Part 50, General Design Criterion (GDC) 20.

GDC 20 requires, in part, that the protection system is designed to initiate automatically the operation of the appropriate systems and to sense accident conditions and to initiate the operation of systems and components important to safety. Section 7.1.1.4.7 of the U.S. EPR, Tier 2, FSAR states that the TG I&C system regulates the operation of the turbine-generator for power generation. Demonstrate that upon a reactor trip, initiated either manually by the operator or automatically by the PS or the DAS, reliable communication pathways between the PS and the TG I&C system, and between the DAS and the TG I&C system, exist to ensure that the TG I&C system receives the reactor trip signal to meet the requirements of GDC 20.

**Response to Question 07.09-67:**

The turbine generator (TG) instrumentation and controls (I&C) system section that was located in U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.1.1.4.7 (Revision 1) is now located in U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.1.1.5.13 (Revision 2).

For the protection system (PS), an automatic or manual reactor trip results in a turbine trip (TT) signal being sent to the TG I&C system via four isolated hardwired outputs (one per division). U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Figure 7.3-29 shows the TT logic for the PS, and U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.3.1.2.17 describes this logic.

For the diverse actuation system (DAS), an automatic or manual reactor trip generates a TT sent directly to the TG I&C system via four hardwired output (one per division). U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.8.1.2.1 and Section 7.8.1.1.3 addresses the TT logic for the DAS.

The TG I&C performs two-out-of-four voting on the TT signals received from the PS or the DAS to verify high reliability of the trip function.

There is no data communication path between the PS and TG I&C or the DAS and TG I&C.

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.8.1.1.3 will be revised to change the statement "Outputs for turbine trip are sent directly to the turbine generator I&C" to "Outputs for turbine trip are sent directly to the turbine generator I&C via a hardwired connection (one per division). The TG I&C performs two-out-of-four voting logic on the turbine trip signals."

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.3.1.2.17 will be revised add the following:

"Each divisional TT signal from the PS is sent to TG I&C via hardwired, isolated connections. Two-out-of-four voting logic is performed in TG I&C on the four PS divisional signals."

To support submittal of complete and consistent information, and considering multiple RAI responses and design changes communicated to the NRC staff, the U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.3 revisions described in this response will be submitted with the Response to RAI 442, Question 07.03-32.

The U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 7.8 revisions described in this response will be submitted with the Response to RAI 413, Question 07.08-13.

**FSAR Impact:**

The U.S. EPR FSAR will not be changed as a result of this question.