

Metzger, Brian

From: Frumkin, Daniel, *NRR*
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 3:02 PM
To: Metzger, Brian, *NRR*
Subject: RE: OC Exemptions

I don't have a preference. Since it is just cutting and pasting the common sections, there likely isn't much burden to do two.

Dan

-----Original Message-----

From: Metzger, Brian, *NRR*
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 2:39 PM
To: Frumkin, Daniel
Subject: FW: OC Exemptions

Do you have an opinion or preference on this? It seems like it would be easier in the long run to combine them into one SE especially since they're both for OMAs for the same purpose.

-----Original Message-----

From: Miller, Ed, *NRR*
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 2:37 PM
To: Metzger, Brian
Subject: RE: OC Exemptions

My personal preference is to generate two separate exemptions since we have two separate EAs (or will once I publish the second). You guys can combine into one internal SE if you wish, but I would prefer to issue two separate exemptions.

-----Original Message-----

From: Metzger, Brian, *NRR*
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 11:14 AM
To: Miller, Ed
Subject: OC Exemptions

Ed,

Can you remind me what the conclusion was as to whether the Oyster Creek exemption requests (TACs ME0756 & ME0780) needed to be two separate exemptions or if we can combine them into a single exemption?

Regards,

Brian Metzger
Fire Protection Engineer, NRR/DRA/AFPB,
Phone: 301-415-3972
Location: O-10G13
Mail Stop: O-10C15