

NRC Minority Serving Institutions Program

FY 2010 Peer Reviewer Evaluation Form

Please fill in the following: (one for each proposal you are reviewing)

SBCR Number: 10589813

Institution Name: Fort Valley State University (FVSU)

The GAO recommends that your review should include both numerical ratings, and a written assessment that will help NRC make decisions concerning the proposal's value and funding priority relative to the NRC/MSIP commitment to implementing the White House Education Initiatives directed at HBCUs, HSIs, and TCUs. Please respond with substantive narrative addressing each proposal's strengths and weaknesses. Be sure to briefly state the rationale or basis for suggestions made or questions raised. We will need your preliminary notes and ratings completed **prior** to the panel meeting. Please refrain from making any final assessments until you've had the opportunity to participate in the panel discussion of each proposal.

	Total Points Possible	Your Score
Soundness of the proposed project or activity. Potential for Supporting or Advancing Nuclear Safety, Security, Environmental Protection, Educational Infrastructure, and other fields that the Commission determines to be critical to the NRC's MSIP mission. (see www.nrc.gov)	25	25
Proposed approach including aims and objectives, methodology, plan of operation, and timetable.	20	18
Qualifications of the Principal Investigator(s) and other collaborators	20	18
Applicant organization ability to perform and support project or activity (institutional support)	15	13
Expected products and/or results, potential problem areas and alternative tactics to achieve results (Budget and Cost-Effectiveness)	10	7
Evaluation and dissemination plans	10	10
	Total Score	91

Commentary: (Please provide comprehensive notes as to the proposal's strengths and weaknesses as your comments may be requested by applicants. Use extra paper as necessary). *I recommend funding this proposal. This proposal will benefit an area in the US where a new nuclear power plant is being constructed. The local community could benefit in terms of training for future jobs. For the budget, I believe some of the travel costs could be reduced, specifically travel costs to the American Society for Engineering Education (send 1) and the stipends for K-12 teachers for the summer workshops (\$900-\$1000 per person for 1 week) seem a bit steep. Adequate detail is provided for specific use of requested equipment and the correlation to the end product - nuclear education. For principal investigators, I'd like to see more involvement in nuclear related venues. I didn't see any mention of outside support.*

A-1