PMVictoriaESPPEm Resource

From: Jessie, Janelle
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2011 2:32 PM
To: david.distel@exeloncorp.com
Cc: VictoriaESP Resource
Subject: Response Requested: Draft RAIs for Victoria County Station ESP Application 11.2
Attachments: Draft RAI 11.2 revised.pdf

Good Afternoon David,

Attached is the draft RAI for 11.2. Please take a look at the working to see if the revised language clarifies the staff's request a bit better.

I will still make arrangements with the staff to hold a clarification call to discuss all of the RAIs, including this one.

Thanks
Janelle

Hearing Identifier: Victoria_ESP_Public
Email Number: 184

Mail Envelope Properties (65FB43187ED87C46B3F00CB97D081E6026D189CCD4)

Subject: Response Requested: Draft RAIs for Victoria County Station ESP Application 11.2
Sent Date: 2/17/2011 2:32:13 PM
Received Date: 2/17/2011 2:32:16 PM
From: Jessie, Janelle

Created By: Janelle.Jessie@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"VictoriaESP Resource" <VictoriaESP.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"david.distel@exeloncorp.com" <david.distel@exeloncorp.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	319	2/17/2011 2:32:16 PM
Draft RAI 11.2 revised.pdf	64163	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

VICTORIA COUNTY STATION EARLY SITE PERMIT APPLICATION
COURTESY RAI for 11.2 (revised)

Title	Victoria County Station ESP - 11.02 - Liquid Waste Management System - Question 19660
RAI ID	5289
Acceptance Criteria	SRP 11.2 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix I, RG 1.109
Question Type	Application Specific
Description	10 CFR Part 50 Appendix I, SRP 11.2, and RG 1.109 require that main parameters to calculate the liquid effluent off site dose to the public be identified for review and evaluation. The liquid effluent flow rate utilized in performing liquid effluent dose calculations identified in section 11.2 does not match the confirmatory calculations. Table 11.2.3-3 of the SSAR indicates in the notes at the bottom of the table that an effluent flow rate of 480 cfs was used. Based on staff independent verification of the radionuclide concentrations calculated in Table 11.2-3, it appears that 240 cfs or one half the value noted at the bottom of the table was used. Please confirm that the current values in Table 11.2.3-3 are correct, or change this table or the note to be in agreement and update the liquid effluent dose calculations as necessary.