



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 9, 2011

Mr. Mano Nazar
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNIT 1– ACCEPTANCE FOR REVIEW OF LICENSE
AMENDMENT REQUEST FOR EXTENDED POWER UPRATE
(TAC NO. ME5091)

Dear Mr. Nazar:

By letter dated November 22, 2010, Florida Power & Light Company submitted a license amendment for St. Lucie, Unit No. 1. The proposed amendment would increase the licensed core power level of St. Lucie, Unit No. 1, from 2700 megawatts thermal (MWt) to 3020 MWt. The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there was sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

M. Nazar

- 2 -

At this time, the NRC staff needs additional clarification to establish a review schedule and is scheduling a meeting with your staff to discuss its development.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-2788 or by email at tracy.orf@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tracy J. Orf". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Tracy" being the most prominent part.

Tracy J. Orf, Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-335

cc: Distribution via Listserv

M. Nazar

- 2 -

At this time, the NRC staff needs additional clarification to establish a review schedule and is scheduling a meeting with your staff to discuss its development.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I may be reached at 301-415-2788 or by email at tracy.orf@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Tracy J. Orf, Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-335

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

LPL2-2 r/f

RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR

RidsNrrDorl

RidsNrrDorlDpr

RidsNrrDorlLpl2-2

RidsNrrLABClayton

RidsNrrPMStLucie

RidsOgcRp

RidsRgn2MailCenter

ADAMS Accession No. ML110590663

OFFICE	LPL2-2/PM	LPL2-2/LA	LPL2-2/BC	LPL2-2/PM
NAME	TOrf	BClayton	DBroaddus	TOrf
DATE	03/03/11	03/03/11	03/03/11	03/09/11

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY