Docket No. 52-021 MHI Ref: UAP-HF-11045

# Enclosure 3

UAP-HF-11045 Docket No. 52-021

Responses to Request for Additional Information No. 676-5209, Revision 0

February, 2011 (Non-Proprietary)

#### RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2/23/2011

US-APWR Design Certification
Mitsubishi Heavy Industries

Docket No. 52-021

RAI NO.:

NO. 676-5209 REVISION 0

**SRP SECTION:** 

03.08.03 - Concrete and Steel Internal Structures of Steel or

**Concrete Containments** 

**APPLICATION SECTION:** 


3.8.3


DATE OF RAI ISSUE:


12/21/10


#### **QUESTION NO. RAI 03.08.03-33:**


The staff has reviewed MHI's response to Question 03.08.03-21 (RAI No. 491-3733) and finds that the information presented to show the steps in the fabrication, shipment, and installation of the SC modules provides, overall, sufficient detail to satisfy the staff's request. However, MHI's response does not provide any information related to portion of the question which ask the applicant for information describing how voids, honeycombing, etc. will be minimized in the concrete that fills the interior of the prefabricated steel modules. MHI is requested to provide more information on the stated use of the tell-tale holes in the faceplates, such as size and spacing of these holes, and a discussion that shows this technique will effectively assures virtually total absence of voids or honeycombing in the concrete matrix.











# Impact on DCD

There is no impact on the DCD.

# Impact on COLA

There is no impact on the COLA.

## Impact on PRA

There is no impact on the PRA.

03.08.03-8

#### RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2/23/2011

US-APWR Design Certification

Mitsubishi Heavy Industries

Docket No. 52-021

RAI NO .:

NO. 676-5209 REVISION 0

**SRP SECTION:** 

03.08.03 - Concrete and Steel Internal Structures of Steel or

**Concrete Containments** 

**APPLICATION SECTION:** 

3.8.3

DATE OF RAI ISSUE:

12/21/10

#### QUESTION NO. RAI 03.08.03-34:

MHI's response to Question 03.08.03-24 (RAI No. 491-3733) presents a clear and complete description of the planned steps necessary to achieve a sound contact between the final grout in the air space between the top of the last concrete pour and the bottom of the thick base plates at the lower end of the SC modules. By always having sufficient free air space around this grouting area assures that the number of voids in the grout will be minimal and that they will be small. Further, MHI shows that in areas away from the SC module footprints the 8 inch thick fill concrete will be placed before installation of the ¼ in. steel liner plates. The plates will then be placed onto steel structural shapes embedded in this fill concrete and welded.

The staff finds that this description provides a sound and practical approach to the achievement of the soundness of the grout under the SC module base plates. However, not addressed in the response is the nature and extent of QC inspection to be done on the welded joints in the thin, ¼ in. liner plates away from the SC modules. MHI is requested to provide this information.

Impact on DCD	
There is no impact on the DCD.	
Impact on COLA	
There is no impact on the COLA.	
Impact on PRA	
There is no impact on the PRA.	

#### RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

2/23/2011

# US-APWR Design Certification Mitsubishi Heavy Industries Docket No. 52-021

RAI NO.: NO. 676-5209 REVISION 0

SRP SECTION:

03.08.03 - Concrete and Steel Internal Structures of Steel or

**Concrete Containments** 

APPLICATION SECTION:3.8.3 DATE OF RAI ISSUE:12/21/10

#### QUESTION NO. RAI 03.08.03-35:

The staff does not agree with MHI's statement related to Question 03.08.03-25(b) (RAI No. 491-3733) that not considering any cracked sectional stiffness leads to conservative member forces. Changes in the SC sectional stiffness can affect the seismic response and alter the values of the calculated member forces and moments in other structural members. MHI is requested to furnish numerical data supporting their position that ignoring cracked concrete in the SC modules is conservative with respect to determination of member forces and moments in other structural members. The staff the staff finds MHI's commitment to develop appropriate stiffness reduction factors for each load combination to be an acceptable approach. However, since MHI will document these new calculations in a forthcoming Technical Report that was promised for April 2010, the staff reserves final acceptance of these approaches pending receipt and acceptance of the promised Technical Report.

ANSWER:		
		_

## Impact on DCD

The DCD is to be updated to be consistent with the approach described in this response and with the analysis results documented in Technical Report CIS-13-05-160-003.

### Impact on COLA

There is no impact on the COLA.

## Impact on PRA

There is no impact on the PRA.

Attachment 1
:
J