NRC FORM 591M PART 1 U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION 1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Providence Hospital U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Providence Cancer Center 22301 Foster Winter Drive 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Southfield, MI 48075 Lisle, IL 60532-4351 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 030-33776 21-26632-01 February //, 2011 LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified. 2. Previous violation(s) closed. 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied _Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s): 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11 Statement of Corrective Actions I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested. Title **Printed Name** Signature Date LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE

Chief

NRC INSPECTOR

TAMARRA BLOOMER

Deborah A. Piskura

It Sloom 0/15

NRC FORM 591 M PART 3 (06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE	
Providence Hospital			U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
Providence Cancer Center			Region III	
22301 Foster Winter Drive			2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210	
Southfield, MI 48075			Lisle, IL 60532-4351	
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2011-001				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 4. LICENSE NUM		BER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION	
030-33776 21-26632-		21-26632-01		Feb. 11, 2011
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 7. INSPECTIO		7 INSPECTION F	COCHE ADEAS	L
87132		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.08		
				and the special state of the speciment o
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION				
1.PROGRAM	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CO		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
02230	2	Vrinda Narayana, Ph.D., RSO 248-849-8622		248-849-8622
Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: Feb. 2013				
⊗ Field Office Inspection				
Temporary Job Site Inspection				

PROGRAM SCOPE

This licensee was a free standing cancer treatment center associated with the Providence Hospital. This licensee's authorization was limited to Ir-192 within an HDR unit with DU shielding. The clinic administered 50 patient treatments annually; these treatments consisted of gynecological, mammosite, endobronchial and esophageal cases. The radiation oncology department staff directly involved with the HDR treatments included 4 AMPs and 3 authorized users; the authorized users operated the HDR unit during patient treatments.

At the time of this inspection, the licensee was in the process of replacing its HDR unit and source and relocating its HDR treatment room. Note these activities were authorized by Amendment No. 14 issued on 11/23/2010. This inspection consisted of interviews with selected licensee personnel; a review of selected records; a tour of the old and new treatment rooms and the source storage room; and independent measurements. The inspection included observations of safety features/equipment, security of byproduct material, use of personnel monitoring, and package receipts.