

**Allen, William**

---

**From:** Michael.Conroy@dot.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, February 14, 2011 8:28 AM  
**To:** Allen, William  
**Subject:** RE: Proprietary Classification Questions

Chris – I just got this response from Areva:

"There is proprietary information on Page 3 of 12 of the RSI response from the 8/5/10 submittal. We will reference that letter in the upcoming RAI response, provide an affidavit, and provide a proprietary and non proprietary version of the RSI response enclosure."

Since the Japanese authority did not mark anything proprietary and since it would not be customary to do so, I believe you are correct in your assumption about the Japanese certificate.

---

**From:** Allen, William [<mailto:William.Allen@nrc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 20, 2011 2:39 PM  
**To:** Conroy, Michael (PHMSA)  
**Subject:** Proprietary Classification Questions

I've done a very bad job of getting information submittals into ADAMS for this application, and I'm trying to play catch up. Consequently, I have two questions.

First, since none of the pages were marked "Proprietary" and since I can't find anything which identifies proprietary information in the document, I assume the RSI response letter, dated August 5, 2010 and signed by Mr. Bondre, does not contain proprietary information. Please confirm.

Second, I assume the Japanese Competent Authority Certificate signed by Izuru Hanaki which was transmitted as part of the application does not contain proprietary information. Please confirm.

Thanks, Chris Allen