

**From:** Francis, Woody NAB [WOODY.FRANCIS@usace.army.mil]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 28, 2011 11:21 AM  
**To:** Burkman, Jim  
**Cc:** jmorris@eaest.com; Kelly Neff  
**Subject:** Non Tidal wetlands and stream Mitigation summary tables for CC3 (UNCLASSIFIED)  
**Attachments:** FW: Status of the Environmental Review for Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 3, Combined License Application (UNCLASSIFIED); Calvert Cliffs NTW tables wf 26 jan 11.docx

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

All: please see my effort at what I'd like to see in the FEIS as supplemental to what was provided by applicant by email 1 Dec 2010, attached. You will see that I have captured things somewhat differently and am using term surplus rather than credits. I have also noted that the open water losses are being mitigated as forested losses and have added in the emergent and forested surpluses(credits) to show how they were captured. I am hoping that what I have can stand on its own as a supplement to what we have already received. While I have made a distinction in using surplus not credits, we recognize that more mitigation is being proposed than required. I would also use my summary in our permit packet. I do not see my summaries being in contradiction, just how the Corps has captured it.

Let me know if stomach distress hits...not my intent, but be happy to discuss or review any thoughts in reply.

woody

<<FW: Status of the Environmental Review for Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 3, Combined License Application (UNCLASSIFIED)>> <<Calvert Cliffs NTW tables wf 26 jan 11.docx>>

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE