CHAPTER 14
INITIAL TEST PROGRAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
14.1	SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN PRELIMINARY/ FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORTS	14.1-1
14.2	SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN STANDARD SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORTS	14.2-1
14.2.1	SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM AND OBJECTIVES	14.2-1
14.2.1.4	Testing of First of a Kind Design Features	14.2-1
14.2.1.5	Credit for Previously Performed Testing of First of a Kind Design Features	14.2-1
14.2.2	ORGANIZATION, STAFFING, AND RESPONSIBILITIES	14.2-1
14.2.2.1	PT&O Organization	14.2-2
14.2.2.1.1	Manager In Charge of PT&O	14.2-2
14.2.2.1.2	Functional Manager In Charge of PT&O Support	14.2-2
14.2.2.1.3	PT&O Engineers	14.2-3
14.2.2.1.4	Functional Manager In Charge of Startup	14.2-3
14.2.2.1.5	Startup Engineers	14.2-3
14.2.2.2	PT&O Organization Personnel Qualifications and Training	14.2-4
14.2.2.3	Joint Test Working Group	14.2-4
14.2.2.4	Site Construction Group (Architect Engineer)	14.2-8
14.2.2.5	Site Preoperational Test Group	14.2-9
14.2.2.6	Site Startup Test Group	14.2-10
14.2.3	TEST SPECIFICATIONS AND TEST PROCEDURES	14.2-10
14.2.3.1	Conduct of Test Program	14.2-11
14.2.3.1.1	Procedure Verification	14.2-11
14.2.3.1.2	Work Control	14.2-13
14.2.3.1.3	System Turnover	14.2-13
14.2.3.1.4	Conduct of Modifications During the Initial Test Program	14.2-14
14.2.3.1.5	Conduct of Maintenance During the Initial Test Program	14.2-14
14.2.3.2	Review of Test Results	14.2-14
14.2.3.2.1	Review and Approval Responsibilities	14.2-14
14.2.3.2.2	Technical Evaluation	14.2-15
14.2.3.3	Test Records	14.2-15
14.2.3.3.1	Startup Test Reports	14.2-16
14.2.5.1	Use of OE During Test Procedure Preparation	14.2-16
14.2.5.2	Sources and Types of Information Reviewed for ITP Development	14.2-16
14.2.5.3	Conclusions from Review	14.2-17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

Section	Title	Page
14.2.5.4	Summary of Test Program Features Influenced by the Review	14.2-17
14.2.5.5	Use of OE during Conduct of ITP	14.2-17
14.2.6	USE OF PLANT OPERATING AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES	14.2-17
14.2.6.1	Operator Training and Participation during Certain Initial Tests (TMI Action Plan Item I.G.1, NUREG-0737)	14.2-18
14.2.8	TEST PROGRAM SCHEDULE	14.2-18
14.2.9	PREOPERATIONAL TEST DESCRIPTIONS	14.2-20
14.2.9.2.22	Pressurizer Surge Line Testing (First Plant Only)	14.2-20
14.2.9.4.15	Seismic Monitoring System Testing	14.2-22
14.2.9.4.22	Storm Drains	14.2-22
14.2.9.4.23	Off-site AC Power Systems	14.2-22
14.2.9.4.24	Raw Water System	14.2-24
14.2.9.4.25	Sanitary Drainage System	14.2-24
14.2.9.4.26	Fire Brigade Support Equipment	14.2-25
14.2.9.4.27	Portable Personnel Monitors and Radiation Survey Instruments	14.2-26
14.2.10	STARTUP TEST PROCEDURES	14.2-26
14.2.10.4.29	Cooling Tower(s)	14.2-27
14.3	CERTIFIED DESIGN MATERIAL	14.3-1
14.3.2.3	Site-Specific ITAAC (SS-ITAAC)	14.3-1
14.3.2.3.1	Emergency Planning ITAAC (EP-ITAAC)	14.3-2
14.3.2.3.2	Physical Security ITAAC (PS-ITAAC)	14.3-2
14.3.2.3.3	Other Site-Specific Systems	14.3-3
14.3.3	CDM SECTION 3.0, NON-SYSTEM BASED DESIGN DESCRIPTIONS AND ITAAC	14.3-3
14.3.3.1	Pipe Rupture Hazard Analysis ITAAC	14.3-3
14.3.3.2	Piping Design ITAAC	14.3-4
14.4	COMBINED LICENSE APPLICANT RESPONSIBILITIES	14.4-1
14.4.1	ORGANIZATION AND STAFFING	14.4-1
14.4.2	TEST SPECIFICATIONS AND PROCEDURES	14.4-1
14.4.3	CONDUCT OF TEST PROGRAM	14.4-1
14.4.4	REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF TEST RESULTS	14.4-1
14.4.5	INTERFACE REQUIREMENTS	14.4-2
14.4.6	FIRST-PLANT-ONLY AND THREE-PLANT-ONLY TESTS	14.4-2
APP. 14A	DESIGN ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA/ITAAC CLOSURE PROCESS	14A-1

LIST OF TABLES

Number	Title
14.3-201	ITAAC Screening Summary

CHAPTER 14
INITIAL TEST PROGRAM

14.1 SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN PRELIMINARY/FINAL SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORTS

This section of the referenced DCD is incorporated by reference with no departures or supplements.

14.2 SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN STANDARD SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORTS

This section of the referenced DCD is incorporated by reference with the following departures and/or supplements

---

14.2.1 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM AND OBJECTIVES

Add the following subsection at the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.1:

STD COL 14.4-3 FSAR Section 14.2 provides the requirements to be included in the Startup Administrative Manual (Procedures), as discussed in DCD Subsection 14.4.3. The information referenced in this section meets the Initial Test Program (ITP) criteria of NUREG-0800 and is formatted to follow Regulatory Guide 1.206, Part I, Section C.I.14.2.

The ITP is applied to structures, systems, and components that perform the functions described in the Regulatory Guide 1.68 evaluation in FSAR Section 1.9. The ITP is also applied to other structures, systems and components. The Startup Administrative Manual includes a list of the AP1000 structures, systems and components to which the ITP is applied.

Add the following Subsections after DCD Subsection 14.2.1.3

STD COL 14.4-3 #### 14.2.1.4 Testing of First of a Kind Design Features

First of a kind (FOAK) testing may occur in any of the phases, depending on the nature of the testing and required sequencing of the tests. When testing FOAK design features, applicable operating experience from previous test performance on other AP1000 plants is reviewed, where available, and the ITP modified as needed based on those lessons learned.

14.2.1.5 Credit for Previously Performed Testing of First of a Kind Design Features

In some cases, FOAK testing is required only for the first of a new design or for the first few plants of a standard design. In such cases, credit may be taken for the previously performed tests. A discussion is included in the startup test reports of the results of those tests that are credited.

14.2.2 ORGANIZATION, STAFFING, AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Replace the existing information in DCD Subsection 14.2.2 with the following new paragraph and subsections.

STD COL 14.4-1 The AP1000 plant test and operations (PT&O) organization is described in Subsection 14.2.2.1. The organization for operating and maintaining the AP1000 plant is described in Section 13.1.

The PT&O organization structure (organizational chart) is included in the Startup Administrative Manual.

Table 13.4-201 provides milestones for initial test program implementation.

14.2.2.1 PT&O Organization

The Initial Test Program (ITP) is the responsibility of the PT&O Organization. The ITP includes three phases of testing:

- Construction and Installation Testing
- Preoperational Testing
- Startup Testing

14.2.2.1.1 Manager In Charge of PT&O

The manager in charge of PT&O reports directly to the plant manager. The manager in charge of PT&O manages the ITP. The manager in charge of PT&O is responsible for:

- Staffing the PT&O Organization.
- Developing, reviewing, and approving the administrative and technical procedures associated with the preoperational and startup phases.
- Managing the ITP and personnel.
- Implementing the ITP schedule.
- Managing contracts associated with the ITP.

14.2.2.1.2 Functional Manager In Charge of PT&O Support

The functional manager in charge of PT&O support reports directly to the manager in charge of PT&O. The functional manager in charge of PT&O support plans and schedules procedure development to support startup. The functional

manager in charge of PT&O support verifies that the test documents conform to the approved project procedures.

The functional manager in charge of PT&O support reviews and approves test procedures. These procedures are used to demonstrate that a system and its components meet the design and performance criteria.

14.2.2.1.3 PT&O Engineers

The PT&O engineers report directly to the functional manager in charge of PT&O support. The PT&O engineers are responsible for developing system test procedures.

14.2.2.1.4 Functional Manager In Charge of Startup

The functional manager in charge of startup reports directly to the manager in charge of PT&O. The functional manager in charge of startup manages the preoperational and startup testing. The functional manager in charge of startup is responsible for:

- Participating in the Joint Test Working Group (JTWG) and ensuring that the JTWG reviews and approves administrative and test procedures. The JTWG structure and responsibilities are defined in Subsection 14.2.2.3.
- Preparing a detailed preoperational and startup testing schedule.
- Coordinating construction turnover to the PT&O organization.
- Informing the functional manager in charge of PT&O when vendor support essential to preoperational and startup testing is required, and coordinating vendor participation.
- Supervising and directing the startup engineers.
- Involving operations personnel in testing activities. Utilizing operations personnel, to the extent practical, as test witnesses or test performers to provide the operations personnel with experience and knowledge.
- Developing and implementing administrative controls to address system and equipment configuration control.
- Maintaining the startup schedule.
- Maintaining a daily startup log and issuing periodic progress reports that identify overall progress and potential challenges.

14.2.2.1.5 Startup Engineers

The startup engineers report directly to the functional manager in charge of startup.

The startup engineers are responsible for:

- Complying with administrative controls.
- Identifying any special or temporary equipment or services needed to support testing.
- Coordinating testing with involved groups.
- Reviewing and evaluating test results.

14.2.2.2 PT&O Organization Personnel Qualifications and Training

Procedures are prepared to confirm that test personnel have adequate training, qualification and certification. Records are kept for extent of experience, involvement in procedure and test development, training programs, and level of qualification. The training organization qualifies Test Personnel as applicable, in accordance with the requirements of the applicable Quality Assurance Program. Training is performed as agreed between Westinghouse and the Licensee. Westinghouse test personnel training is per certified design.

Acceptable qualifications of non-supervisory test engineers follow the guidance provided in Regulatory Guide 1.28 as discussed in Appendix 1AA, i.e., ASME NQA-1-1994, Appendix 2A-1, Nonmandatory Guidance on the Qualification of Inspection and Test Personnel.

The training program/procedures shall include:

- The education, training, experience, and qualification requirements of supervisory personnel, test personnel, and other major participating organizations responsible for managing, developing, or conducting each test phase, or development of testing, operating, and emergency procedures.
- The establishment of a training program for each organizational unit, with regard to the scheduled preoperational and initial startup testing. This training program provides meaningful technical information beyond that obtained in the normal startup test program and provide supplemental operator training. This program also satisfies the criteria described in TMI Action Plan Item I.G.1 of NUREG-0660 and NUREG-0737.

The Startup Administrative Manual (Procedure) shall include:

- The implementation of measures to verify that personnel formulating and conducting test activities are not the same personnel who designed or are responsible for satisfactory performance of the system(s) or design features(s) being tested. This provision does not preclude members of the design organization from participating in test activities. This description also includes considerations of staffing effects that could result from overlapping initial test programs at multi-unit sites.

14.2.2.3 Joint Test Working Group

The Joint Test Working Group (JTWG) consists of an organizational group of authorized representative personnel from the Plant's operations and support group functions, Westinghouse Electric Company (WEC), Architect Engineer and other test support groups as identified below.

The Licensee has the overall responsibility for conduct of the Startup Test Program. The Westinghouse Startup Manager may be assigned overall responsibility and authority for technical direction of the Startup Test Program and may act as the JTWG Chairman.

The JTWG Chairman reports to the Chairman of the Plant Owner's Operations Review Committee (PORC) or qualified designee for matters of Startup test authority and acceptance.

The JTWG provides the following administrative oversight activities associated with the Startup Test Program:

- Review, evaluate and approve Startup Test Program administrative and test procedures.

- Oversee the implementation of the Preoperational Test Program and the Startup Test Program, including planning, scheduling and performance of Preoperational and Startup testing.

- Review and evaluate Construction, Preoperational and Startup test results and test turnover packages.

At a minimum, the JTWG is composed of qualified representatives provided from the following organizations:

- Licensee's Operations Group

- Licensee's Maintenance Group

- Site Preoperational Test Group

- Site Startup Test Group

- Licensee's Engineering Group

- Licensee's Corrective Action Organization
- Westinghouse Site Engineering Group
- Licensee's Health Physics/Chemistry Group
- Licensee's Quality Assurance Group

The following are additional generic details of the key responsibilities, authorities and interfaces of the Licensee Organizations delineated above:

- Operations Group

  The Operations Group has the overall responsibility for Plant Operations, including administrative control and tag-outs subsequent to system turnover. Their primary interfaces are with the Licensee Engineering and Technical Support organizations as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Preoperational and Startup Testing Teams and Construction Services Group.

- Maintenance Group

  The Maintenance Group has the overall responsibility for the Maintenance of Plant systems and components subsequent to System Turnover. They are key participants and maintainers of system maintenance control and tag-outs. Their primary interfaces are with the Licensee Operations Group and Technical Support organizations, as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Preoperational and Startup Testing Teams and Construction Services Group.

- Corrective Action Organization

  The Corrective Action Organization may be an organization specific to itself or may be a part of the Performance Assessment organization, the Quality Organization or another organization. This organization, together with every other site organization, is responsible for the administration and management of the corrective action program, as well as the identification of conditions adverse to quality. This organization interfaces with site organizations and identifies and documents conditions which need to be documented in the corrective action program.

- Engineering Group

  This group has the primary responsibility for site engineering and design oversight of the plant components and systems, as well as interfacing with the vendor engineering organization. This organization primarily interfaces with the Operations Group as well as the Westinghouse Site Engineering Organization, Preoperational and Startup Testing Teams and Construction Services Group. The responsibility for training the testing personnel in

accordance with applicable Quality Assurance Program is delegated and implemented as agreed to by Westinghouse. Westinghouse test personnel training is per certified design.

- Health Physics/Chemistry Group

  This Technical Support organization has the responsibility and authority to maintain Health Physics and system chemistry conditions at the plant, particularly after system turnover. This organization primarily interfaces with the Licensee Operations Group, as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Preoperational and Startup Testing Teams and Construction Services Group.

- Quality Assurance Group

  This group has the responsibility to verify that the applicable site Quality commitments are met within the scope of work performed at the site. This includes meeting the Criteria of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B. The primary interfaces for this group are the Licensee Operations Group and Technical Support organizations, including Quality Control and other quality organizations, as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Preoperational and Startup Testing Teams and Construction Services Group.

- Site Preoperational Test Group

  This group has the primary responsibility for the development, maintenance and performance of the site preoperational procedures at the site. The primary interfaces for this group are the Licensee Operations Group and Technical Support organizations, as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Startup Testing Teams and the Construction Services Group. Additional specific information regarding this organization's responsibilities and interfaces is described in Subsection 14.2.2.5, below. Once preoperational testing is complete, this group turns systems over to the Startup Group.

- Site Startup Test Group

  This group has the primary responsibility for the development, maintenance and performance of the site startup procedures at the site. The primary interfaces for this group are the Licensee's Operations Group and Technical Support organizations, as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Preoperational Testing Team and the Construction Services Group. Additional specific information regarding this organization's responsibilities and interfaces is described in Subsection 14.2.2.6, below. The Startup Test Group turns over systems to the licensee when testing is complete.

- Westinghouse Site Engineering Group

  This group has the primary responsibility for the vendor interface between the site and the vendor's home offices, as well as the design authority for the primary vendor's components and systems. The various Westinghouse site leads for specific disciplines are a part of this organization. This organization primarily interfaces with Licensee Operations Group, as well as the Westinghouse Engineering Organization, Preoperational and Startup Testing Teams and Construction Services Group. The responsibility for training the testing personnel in accordance with the applicable Quality Assurance Program is delegated and implemented as agreed to by Westinghouse and the Licensee. Westinghouse test personnel training is per certified design.

14.2.2.4 Site Construction Group (Architect Engineer)

The Site Construction Group consists of the following, as necessary to support the Site Startup Test Program:

- Construction Group

  The Construction group has the primary responsibility for the construction and construction testing of the Balance of Plant (BOP) engineering systems and components. During Construction and Construction Testing, this group has authority over administrative control and tagouts of these systems. Their main interface is with the System Preoperational and Startup Testing Groups, as well as the Licensee Operations Group. The Construction Group is responsible for addressing open items in the system turnover punch lists to address turnover acceptability of the system.

- Construction Services Group

  The Construction Services Group primarily supports the Construction Group with activities necessary to support construction of systems and testing of the BOP systems and components, including the construction of scaffolding, installation and removal of insulation, and similar activities. With agreement between the necessary parties, this group may also support the Westinghouse Site Engineering Group with similar activities on the primary side. The primary interfaces of this group are the Construction Group and the organizations of the JTWG.

- Construction Services Procurement Group

  The Construction Services Procurement Group is responsible for the quality procurement of components and equipment necessary to support plant construction and testing. The primary interfaces of this group include the Construction Services Group and the Construction Services Quality Group.

- Construction Services Quality Group

  The Construction Services Quality Group is responsible for the oversight of the Quality Program during Construction Activities, including those pertinent to 10 CFR 50 Appendix B and the disposition of Significant Construction Deficiencies, 10 CFR 50.55(e) reports as necessary. This group primarily interfaces with the Construction and Services groups as well as the Westinghouse Site Engineering group and the JTWG.

- Construction Services Training Group

  This group is primarily responsible for the training and qualification of Site Construction Personnel in accordance with the applicable Quality Assurance Program. Their primary interface is with the qualified Construction personnel.

The Site Construction Group performs the following functions and scope of work, as necessary to support the Site Startup Test Program:

- Construction Installation and Testing, including management of construction testing documentation.

- Construction and Installation activities required to support Preoperational and Startup Test Programs.

- Vendor interface and procurement associated with supporting testing activities.

- Provide staffing as needed to support the testing activities.

- Turnover of Construction and Installation tested equipment, systems, and testing documentation to the Site Preoperational Test Group.

14.2.2.5 Site Preoperational Test Group

The Site Preoperational Test Group consists of the following, as necessary to support the Site Startup Test Program:

- Engineering Leads

- Preoperational Test Teams

The Site Preoperational Test Group performs the following functions and scope of work, as necessary to support the Site Startup Test Program:

- Coordinate tagging and maintenance prior to turnover to the Licensee to support system acceptance testing.

- Accept systems for turnover from the installation organization.

- Plan, scope and schedule plant systems for test to support the plant Preoperational Test Program.
- Manage and oversee the testing of plant systems to support the Plant Hot-Functional Test Program.
- Resolve open items and exceptions identified during implementation of the Preoperational Test Program.
- Accept and turn over Preoperational Test Packages to the Site Licensee.
- Support completion of Hot-Functional Test Program.
- Coordinate other support tasks required during Startup Testing activities with responsible groups (e.g., Licensee's Organization).

14.2.2.6 Site Startup Test Group

The Site Startup Test Group consists of the following, as necessary to support the Site Startup Test Program:

- Engineering Leads
- Startup Test Teams

The Site Startup Test Group performs the following functions and scope of work, as necessary to support the Site Startup Test Program:

- Coordinate tagging and maintenance as required to support system and equipment acceptance testing.
- Accept systems, structures and components from the Licensee for integrated testing.
- Plan, scope and schedule plant systems, structures and components for testing, to support Plant Startup.
- Manage and oversee the testing of plant systems, structures and components to support the Plant Power Ascension Test Program.
- Resolve open items and exceptions identified during implementation of the Startup Test Program.
- Accept and turn over Startup Test Packages to the Site Licensee.
- Coordinate other support tasks required during Startup Testing activities with responsible groups (e.g., Licensee's Organization).

14.2.3 TEST SPECIFICATIONS AND TEST PROCEDURES

Add the following text at the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.3:

STD COL 14.4-3 The Startup Administrative Manual shall include the following controls:

- Controls to provide test procedures that include appropriate prerequisites, objectives, safety precautions, initial test conditions, methods to direct and control test performance, and acceptance criteria by which the test is evaluated.
- Controls for the format of individual test procedures to provide consistency with the guidance contained in RG 1.68; or provide justifications for any exceptions.
- Controls to provide for participation of the principal design organizations in establishing test objectives, test acceptance criteria, and related performance requirements during the development of detailed test procedures. Each test procedure should include acceptance criteria that account for the uncertainties used in transient and accident analyses. The participating system designers should include the nuclear steam supply system vendor, architect-engineer, and other major contractors, subcontractors, and vendors, as applicable.
- Controls to provide for personnel with appropriate technical backgrounds and experience to develop and review test procedures. Persons filling designated management positions should perform final procedure review and approval.
- Controls to make the approved test procedures for satisfying FSAR testing commitments are made available to the NRC inspectors approximately 60 days prior to their intended use.

14.2.3.1 Conduct of Test Program

Add the following text and Subsection at the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.3.1:

STD COL 14.4-3 The Startup Administrative Manual (procedure) governs the initial testing and is issued no later than 60 days prior to the beginning of the pre-operational phase. Testing during all phases of the test program is conducted using approved test procedures.

14.2.3.1.1 Procedure Verification

Since procedures may be approved for implementation weeks or months in advance of the scheduled test date, a review of the approved test procedure is required before commencement of testing. The test engineer is responsible for verifying:

- Drawing and document revision numbers listed in the reference section of the test procedure agree with the latest revisions.
- The procedure text reflects any design and licensing (i.e., FSAR and Technical Specifications) changes made since the procedure was originally approved for implementation.
- Any new (since preparation of the procedure) Operating Experience lessons learned are incorporated into individual test procedures.

Procedures require signoff verification for prerequisites and instruction steps. This signoff includes identification of the person doing the signoff and the date and time of completion.

Test engineers maintain chronological logs of test status to facilitate turnover and aid in maintaining operational configuration control. These logs become part of the test documentation.

There is a documented turnover process to make known the test status and equipment configuration when personnel transfer responsibilities, such as during a shift change.

Test briefings are conducted for each test in accordance with administrative procedures. When a shift change occurs before test completion, another briefing occurs before resumption or continuation of the test.

Data collected is marked or identified with test, date, and person collecting data. This data becomes part of the test documentation.

The plant corrective action program is used to document deficiencies, discrepancies, exceptions, non-conformances and failures (collectively known as test exceptions) identified in the ITP. The corrective action documentation becomes part of the test documentation. WEC and/or other design organizations participate in the resolution of design-related problems that result in, or contribute to, a failure to meet test acceptance criteria.

The plant manager approves proceeding from one test phase to the next during the ITP. Approvals are documented in an overall ITP governance document.

Administrative procedures detail the test documentation review and approval. Review and approval of test documentation includes the test engineer, testing

supervisor, Startup Group manager, WEC site representative or appropriate vendor, and JTWG. Final approval is by the plant manager.

Plant readiness reviews are conducted to assure that the plant staff and equipment are ready to proceed to the next test phase or plateau.

14.2.3.1.2 Work Control

STD SUP 14.2-5 The Startup Group is responsible for preparing work requests when assistance is required from the Construction organization. Work requests are issued in accordance with a site specific procedures governing the work management process. The plant staff, upon identifying a need for Construction organization assistance, coordinates their requirements through the appropriate Startup Test Engineer.

Activities requiring Construction organization work efforts are performed under the plant tagging procedures. Tagging requests are governed by a site-specific procedure for equipment clearance. Tagging procedures shall be used for protection of personnel and equipment and for jurisdictional or custodial conditions that have been turned over in accordance with the turnover procedure.

The Startup Group is responsible for supervising minor repairs and modifications, changing equipment settings, and disconnecting and reconnecting electrical terminations as stipulated in a specific test procedure. Startup Test Engineers may perform independent verification of changes made in accordance with approved test procedures.

14.2.3.1.3 System Turnover

STD SUP 14.2-6 During the construction phase, systems, subsystems, and equipment are completed and turned over in an orderly and well-coordinated manner. Guidelines are established to define the boundary and interface between related system/subsystem and are used to generate boundary scope documents; for example, marked-up piping and instrument diagrams (P&IDs) and electrical schematic diagrams are provided for scheduling and subsequent development of component and system turnover packages. The system turnover process includes requirements for the following:

- Documenting inspections performed by the construction organization (e.g., highlighted drawings showing areas inspected).
- Documenting results of construction testing.

- Determining the construction-related inspections and tests that need to be completed before preoperational testing begins. Any open items are evaluated for acceptability of commencing preoperational testing.
- Developing and implementing plans for correcting adverse conditions and open items, and means for tracking such conditions and items.
- Verifying completeness of construction and documentation of incomplete items.

14.2.3.1.4 Conduct of Modifications During the Initial Test Program

STD SUP 14.2-7 Temporary alterations may be required to conduct certain tests. These alterations are documented in the test procedures. The test procedures contain restoration steps and retesting necessary to confirm satisfactory restoration to the required configuration. Modifications may be performed by the Construction organization or the plant staff processes prior to NRC issuance of the 10 CFR 52.103(g) finding. If the modification invalidates a previously completed ITAAC, then that ITAAC is re-performed. Each modification is reviewed to determine the scope of post-modification testing that is to be performed. Testing is conducted and documented to maintain the validity of preoperational testing and ITAAC. Alterations made following NRC issuance of the 10 CFR 52.103(g) finding are in accordance with plant processes and meet license conditions. Modifications that require changes to ITAAC require NRC approval of the ITAAC change.

14.2.3.1.5 Conduct of Maintenance During the Initial Test Program

STD SUP 14.2-8 Corrective or preventive maintenance activities are reviewed to determine the scope of postmaintenance testing to be performed. Prior to NRC issuance of the 10 CFR 52.103(g) finding, post-maintenance testing is conducted and documented to maintain validity of associated preoperational testing and ITAAC remain valid. Maintenance performed following NRC issuance of the 10 CFR 52.103(g) finding is in accordance with plant staff processes and meets license conditions.

14.2.3.2 Review of Test Results

Add the following Subsections at the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.3.2:

14.2.3.2.1 Review and Approval Responsibilities

STD COL 14.4-4 Upon completion of a test, the startup engineer is responsible for:

- Reviewing the test data.
- Evaluating the test results.
- Verifying that the acceptance criteria are met.
- Verifying that the test results that do not meet acceptance criteria are entered into the corrective action program.
- Verifying that the results of retesting do not invalidate ITAAC acceptance criteria.

Test results are reviewed and approved by the JTWG. Review and approval of test results are kept current such that succeeding tests are not dependent on systems or components that have not been adequately tested. Test exceptions which do not meet acceptance criteria are identified to the affected and responsible design organizations and entered into the corrective action program. Implementation of corrective actions and retests are performed as required.

Prior to initial fuel load, the results of the preoperational test phase are comprehensively reviewed by the PT&O organization and the JTWG to verify the results indicate that the required plant structures, systems, and components are capable of supporting the initial fuel load and subsequent startup testing. The plant manager approves fuel loading.

Each area of startup testing is reviewed and evaluated by the PT&O organization and the JTWG. The test results at each power ascension testing power plateau are reviewed and evaluated by the PT&O organization and the JTWG and approved by the plant manager before proceeding to the next plateau. Startup test reports are prepared in accordance with the guidance in position C.9 of Regulatory Guide 1.68, “Initial Test Programs for Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants.”

The reactor vendor is responsible for reviewing and approving the results of the tests of supplied equipment. Architect Engineer representatives review and approve the results of the tests of supplied equipment. Other vendors' representatives review and approve the results of the tests of supplied equipment. Final approval of individual test completion is by the plant manager after approval by the Joint Test Working Group (JTWG).

14.2.3.2.2 Technical Evaluation

Each completed test package is reviewed by technically qualified personnel to confirm satisfactory demonstration of plant, system or component performance and compliance with design and license criteria.

14.2.3.3 Test Records

Add the following subsection at the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.3.3:

14.2.3.3.1 Startup Test Reports

STD COL 14.4-4 Startup test reports are generated describing and summarizing the completion of tests performed during the ITP. A startup report is submitted at the earliest of:

1) 9 months following initial criticality,

2) 90 days after completion of the ITP, or

3) 90 days after start of commercial operations. If one report does not cover all three events, then supplemental reports are submitted every three months until all three events are completed. These reports:

- Address each ITP test described in the FSAR.
- Provide a general description of measured values of operating conditions or characteristics obtained from the ITP as compared to design or specification values.
- Describe any corrective actions that were required to achieve satisfactory operation.
- Include any other information required by license conditions.

Add the following subsections after DCD Subsection 14.2.5:

Utilization of Operating Experience

STD SUP 14.2-4 Administrative procedures provide methodologies for evaluating and initiating action for operating experience information (OE). DCD Subsection 14.2.5 describes the general use of operating experience by WEC in the development of the test program.

14.2.5.1 Use of OE During Test Procedure Preparation

Administrative procedures require review of recent internal and external operating experience when preparing test procedures.

14.2.5.2 Sources and Types of Information Reviewed for ITP Development

Multiple sources of operating experience were reviewed to develop this description of the ITP administration program. These included INPO Reports, INPO Guidelines, INPO Significant Event Reports, INPO Significant Operating Experience Reports and NRC Regulatory Guide 1.68.

14.2.5.3 Conclusions from Review

The following conclusions are a result of the OE review conducted to develop this ITP administration program description:

- The test procedures should provide guidance as to the expected plant response and instructions concerning what conditions warrant aborting the test. Errors and problems with the procedures should be anticipated. A means for prompt but controlled approval of changes to test procedures is needed. Critical test procedures should provide specific criteria for test termination and specific steps to conduct termination is conducted in a safe and orderly manner. Providing procedural guidance for aborting the test could prevent delays in plant restoration. Conservative guidance for actions to be taken should be included in the procedures.

- Plant simulators may prove useful in preparing for special tests and verifying procedures.

- Appropriate component/system operability should be verified prior to critical tests.

- The need to perform physics tests that can produce severe power tilts should be evaluated, particularly if tests at other similar reactors have provided sufficient data to verify the adequacy of the nuclear physics analysis.

- Compensatory measures should be implemented in accordance with guidance for infrequently performed tests or evolutions, where appropriate.

14.2.5.4 Summary of Test Program Features Influenced by the Review

The conclusions from the preceding section were incorporated in Section 14.2.

14.2.5.5 Use of OE during Conduct of ITP

Administrative procedures require discussion of operating experience when performing pre-job briefs immediately prior to the conduct of a test.

14.2.6 USE OF PLANT OPERATING AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES

Add the following text and Subsection to the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.6:

STD COL 14.4-3 These procedures are used extensively in the Human-Machine Interface Testing, which is integrated as a part of the Control Room Design finalization. Additionally, the AP1000 plant operating and emergency procedures are developed to support the following design finalization activities:

- Human Factors Engineering
- Operational Task Analysis
- Training Simulator Development
- Verification and Validation of the Procedures and the Training Material

The AP1000 emergency, abnormal and some normal operating procedures, along with some Alarm Response Procedures and surveillance procedures, are exercised and verified in the processes delineated above and in the Control Room design finalization process.

In addition, the AP1000 Preoperational Testing and Startup Test procedures are verified and validated during the design finalization process, which helps prevent human factors issues with the development of these procedures. In addition, the plant operators use the Normal Operating Procedures while preoperational and startup tests are performed, which adds to their validity and the plant operators training.

14.2.6.1 Operator Training and Participation during Certain Initial Tests (TMI Action Plan Item I.G.1, NUREG-0737)

The objective of operator participation is to increase the capability of shift crews to operate facilities in a safe and competent manner by assuring that training for plant changes and offnormal events is conducted.

Operators are trained on the specifics of the ITP schedule, administrative requirements and tests. Specific Just In Time training is conducted for selected startup tests.

The ITP may result in the discovery of an acceptable plant or system response that differs from the expected response. Test results are reviewed to identify these differences and the training for operators is changed to reflect them. Training is conducted as soon as is practicable in accordance with training procedures.

14.2.8. TEST PROGRAM SCHEDULE

Add the following text and subsection at the end of DCD Subsection 14.2.8:

STD SUP 14.2-1 A site-specific initial test program schedule will be provided to the NRC after issuance of the COL. This schedule will address each major phase of the test program (including tests that are required to be completed before fuel load), as well as the organizational impact of any overlap of first unit initial testing with initial testing of the second unit.

The sequential schedule for individual startup tests should establish that testing is completed in accordance with plant technical specification requirements for structures, systems and components (SSC) operability before changing plant modes. Additionally, the schedule establishes that the safety of the plant is not dependent on the performance of untested SSCs. Guidance provided in Regulatory Guide 1.68 is used for development of the schedule.

The Startup Administrative Manual shall include the following controls:

- Test Procedure Development Schedule:
  - Controls to establish a schedule for the development of detailed testing, plant operating, and emergency procedures. These procedures, to the extent practical, are trial-tested and corrected during the initial test program prior to fuel loading in order to establish their adequacy.
  - Controls to confirm that approved test procedures are in a form suitable for review by NRC inspectors at least 60 days prior to their intended use, or at least 60 days prior to fuel loading for fuel loading and startup test procedures.
  - Controls to provide timely notification to the NRC of changes in approved test procedures previously available for NRC review.
- Initial Test Program Schedule:
  - Controls to establish a schedule to conduct the major phases of the initial test program, relative to the expected fuel loading date. This is covered in License Conditions in Part 10 of the COL Application.
  - Controls to allow at least 9 months for conducting preoperational testing.
  - Controls to allow at least 3 months for conducting startup testing, including fuel loading, low-power tests, and power-ascension tests.

- Controls to overlap test program schedules (for multi-unit sites) such that they do not result in significant divisions of responsibilities or dilutions of the staff provided to implement the test program.

- Controls to sequence the schedule for individual startup tests, insofar as is practicable, such that testing is completed prior to exceeding 25 percent power for the plant SSCs that are relied upon to prevent, limit, or mitigate the consequences of postulated accidents. The schedule should establish that, insofar as is practicable, testing is accomplished as early in the test program as is feasible and that the safety of the plant is not dependent on the performance of untested SSCs.

The milestone schedule for developing plant operating procedures is presented in Table 13.4-201. The operating and emergency procedures are available prior to start of licensed operator training and, therefore, are available for use during the ITP. Required or desired procedure changes may be identified during their use. Administrative procedures describe the process for revising plant operating procedures.

14.2.9 PREOPERATIONAL TEST DESCRIPTIONS

Add the following subsection at the beginning of DCD Subsection 14.2.9

STD SUP 14.2-2 During preoperational testing, it may be necessary to return system control to Construction organization to repair or modify the system or to correct new problems. Administrative procedures include direction for:

- Means of releasing control of systems and or components to construction.

- Methods used for documenting actual work performed and determining impact on testing.

- Identification of required testing to restore the system to operability/ functionality/availability status, and to identify tests to be re-performed based on the impact of the work performed.

- Authorizing and tracking operability and unavailability determinations.

- Verifying retests stay in compliance with ITAAC.

14.2.9.2.22 Pressurizer Surge Line Testing (First Plant Only)

STD COL 3.9-5 **Purpose**

The purpose of the pressurizer surge line testing is: a) to obtain data to verify the proper operation of temperature sensors installed on the pressurizer surge line and pressurizer spray line, and b) to obtain Reactor Coolant System piping displacement measurements for baseline data, as described in DCD subsections 3.9.3, 14.2.5, and 14.2.9.1.7 item (d).

Prerequisites

The construction tests for the individual components associated with the Reactor Coolant System have been completed. The testing and calibration of the required test instrumentation has been completed. The temporary sensors and instrumentation lead wires required for monitoring thermal stratification, cycling, and striping have been installed. The calibration of the transducers and the operability of the data acquisition equipment have been verified. Prior to testing of the piping system, a pretest walk-down shall be performed to verify that the anticipated piping movement is not obstructed by objects not designed to restrain the motion of the system (including instrumentation and branch lines). The system walk-down shall also verify that supports are set in accordance with the design.

General Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

The performance of the Reactor Coolant System is observed and recorded during a series of individual tests that characterize the various modes of system operation. This testing verifies that the temperature sensors operate as described in DCD subsection 3.9.3 and in appropriate design specifications.

a) Verify the proper operation of temperature sensors installed on the pressurizer surge line and pressurizer spray line.

b) Record sensor data at specified intervals throughout hot functional testing of the RCS system, including during the drawing and collapsing of the bubble in the pressurizer.

c) Retain the following plant parameters time history for the same data recording period:

- Hot leg temperature
- Reactor Coolant System pressure
- Reactor coolant pump status
- Pressurizer level
- Pressurizer temperature (liquid and steam)

- Pressurizer spray temperature
- Pressurizer spray and auxiliary spray flow
- Normal residual heat removal system flow rate
- Passive core cooling system – passive residual heat removal flow rate.

d) Monitor pressurizer surge line and pressurizer spray line for valve leakage.

e) Remove the transducers and associated hardware after the completion of testing.

f) Proper operation of the temperature sensors in the pressurizer surge and spray lines is verified.

14.2.9.4.15 Seismic Monitoring System Testing

Add the following text at the beginning of DCD Subsection 14.2.9.4.15:

STD COL 14.4-5 The seismic monitoring system testing described in this section of the DCD also applies to site-specific seismic sensors.

Add the following subsections after DCD Subsection 14.2.9.4.21:

14.2.9.4.22 Storm Drains

STD COL 14.4-5 **Purpose**

Storm drain system testing verifies that the drains prevent plant flooding by diverting storm water away from the plant, as described in Section 2.4.

Prerequisites

Construction of the storm drain system is completed, and the system is operational.

General Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

The storm drain system is visually inspected to verify the flow path is unobstructed. The system is observed under simulated or actual precipitation events to verify that the runoff from roof drains and the plant site and adjacent

areas does not result in unacceptable soil erosion adjacent to, or flooding of, Seismic Category I structures.

14.2.9.4.23 Off-site AC Power Systems

Purpose

Off-site alternating current (ac) power system testing demonstrates the energization and proper operation of the as-installed switchyard components, as described in Section 8.2.

Prerequisites

Construction testing of plant off-site ac power systems, supporting systems, and components is completed. The components are operational and the switchyard equipment is ready to be energized. The required test instrumentation is properly calibrated and operational. The off-site grid connection is complete and available.

General Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

The plant off-site ac power system components undergo a series of individual component and integrated system tests to verify that the off-site ac power system performs in accordance with the associated component design specifications. The individual component and integrated tests include:

a. Availability of ac and direct current (dc) power to the switchyard equipment is verified.

b. Operation of high voltage (HV) circuit breakers is verified.

c. Operation of HV disconnect switches and ground switches is verified.

d. Operation of substation transformers is verified.

e. Operation of current transformers, voltage transformers, and protective relays is verified.

f. Operation of switchyard equipment controls, metering, interlocks, and alarms that affect plant off-site ac power system performance is verified.

g. Design limits of switchyard voltages and stability are verified.

h. Under simulated fault conditions, proper function of alarms and protective relaying circuits is verified.

i. Operation of instrumentation and control alarms used to monitor switchyard equipment status.

j. Proper operation and load carrying capability of breakers, switch gear, transformers, and cables, and verification of these items by a non-testing means such as a QC nameplate check of as-built equipment where testing would not be practical or feasible.

k. Verification of proper operation of the automatic transfer capability of the preferred power supply to the maintenance power supply through the reserve auxiliary transformer.

l. Switchyard interface agreement and protocols are verified.

The test results confirm that the off-site ac power systems meet the technical and operational requirements described in Section 8.2.

14.2.9.4.24 Raw Water System

Purpose

Raw water system testing verifies that the as-installed components supply raw water to the circulating water cooling tower basin, service water system cooling tower basin, fire protection water storage tanks, and other systems, as described in Subsection 9.2.11.

Prerequisites

Construction testing of the raw water system is completed. The components are operational and the storage tanks and cooling tower basins are able to accept water. Required support systems, electrical power supplies, and control circuits are operational.

General Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

The raw water system component and integrated system performance is observed to verify that the system functions, as described in Subsection 9.2.11 and in appropriate design specifications. The individual component and integrated system tests include:

a. Operation of the system pumps, traveling screens, automatic strainers, and valves is verified.

b. Operation of the system instrumentation, controls, actuation signals, alarms, and interlocks is verified.

c. Operation of heat tracing on system piping is verified.

14.2.9.4.25 Sanitary Drainage System

Purpose

Sanitary drainage system testing verifies that the as-installed components properly collect and discharge sanitary waste, as described in DCD Subsection 9.2.6.

Prerequisites

Construction testing of the sanitary drainage system is completed. Required support systems, electrical power supplies, and control circuits are operational.

General Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

The sanitary drainage system component and integrated system performance is observed to verify that the system functions, as described in Subsection 9.2.6.2.1 and in appropriate design specifications. The individual component and integrated system tests include:

a. Operation of lift stations and valves is verified.

b. Operation of the system instrumentation, controls, actuation signals, and interlocks is verified.

14.2.9.4.26 Fire Brigade Support Equipment

Purpose

Fire brigade support equipment testing verifies that the equipment operates and is available when needed to perform the fire brigade functions, as described in Section 9.5.

Prerequisites

Equipment is ready and available for testing.

General Test Methods and Acceptance Criteria

The fire brigade support equipment undergoes a series of inspections to verify availability and operability. Equipment is available for selection and use, based on the hazard. Fire brigade support equipment tests include:

a. Location of portable extinguishers is verified; portable extinguishers are verified fully charged.

b. Operation of portable ventilation equipment is verified.

c. Operation of portable communication equipment is verified.

d. Operation of portable lighting is verified.

e. Operation of self-contained breathing apparatus and face masks is verified.

f. Operation of keys to open locked fire area doors is verified.

g. Turnout gear functionality and availability is verified.

h. Compatibility of threads for hydrants, hose couplings, and standpipe risers with the local fire department equipment is verified, or alternatively, an adequate supply of readily available hose thread adaptors is verified.

14.2.9.4.27 Portable Personnel Monitors and Radiation Survey Instruments

Purpose

Portable personnel monitors and radiation survey instruments testing verifies that the devices operate in accordance with their intended function in support of the radiation protection program, as described in Chapter 12.

Prerequisites

Portable personnel monitors, radiation survey instruments, and appropriate certified test sources are on site.

General Test Method and Acceptance Criteria

The portable personnel monitors and radiation survey instruments are source checked, tested, maintained, and calibrated in accordance with the manufacturers' recommendations. The portable monitors and instruments tests include:

a. Proper function of the monitors and instruments to respond to radiation is verified, as required.

b. Proper operation of instrumentation controls, battery, and alarms, if applicable.

14.2.10 STARTUP TEST PROCEDURES

Add the following at the beginning of DCD Subsection 14.2.10:

STD SUP 14.2-3 The startup testing program is based on increasing power in discrete steps. Major testing is performed at discrete power levels as described in DCD Subsection

14.2.7. The first tests during Power Ascension Testing that verify movements and expansion of equipment are in accordance with design, and are conducted at a power level as low as practical (approximately 5 percent).

The governing Power Ascension Test Plan requires the following operations to be performed at appropriate steps in the power-ascension test phase:

- Conduct any tests that are scheduled at the test condition or power plateau.
- Confirm core performance parameters (core power distribution) are within expectations.
- Determine reactor power by heat balance, calibrate nuclear instruments accordingly, and confirm the existence of adequate instrumentation overlap between the startup range and power range detectors.
- Reset high-flux trips just prior to ascending to the next level to a value no greater than 20 percent beyond the power of the next level unless Technical Specification limits are more restrictive.
- Perform general surveys of plant systems and equipment to confirm that they are operating within expected values.
- Check for unexpected radioactivity in process systems and effluents.
- Perform reactor coolant leak checks.
- Review the completed testing program at each plateau; perform preliminary evaluations, including extrapolation core performance parameters for the next power level; and obtain the required management approvals before ascending to the next power level or test condition.

Upon completion of a given test, a preliminary evaluation is performed that confirms acceptability for continued testing. Smaller transient changes are performed initially, gradually increasing to larger transient changes. Test results at lower powers are extrapolated to higher power levels to determine acceptability of performing the test at higher powers. This extrapolation is included in the analysis section of the lower power procedure.

Surveillance test procedures may be used to document portions of tests, and ITP tests or portions of tests may be used to satisfy Technical Specifications surveillance requirements in accordance with administrative procedures. At Startup Test Program completion, a plant capacity warranty test is performed to satisfy the contract warranty and to confirm safe and stable plant operation.

Add the following subsection after DCD Subsection 14.2.10.4.28:

14.2.10.4.29 Cooling Tower(s)

STD COL 14.4-5 **Objectives**

- Verify proper cooling tower(s) function. Provide thermal acceptance testing of the cooling tower's heat removal capabilities.

Prerequisites

- The cooling tower(s) is structurally complete and in good operating condition.
- Circulating water system testing is complete.
- Required support systems, electrical power supplies, and control circuits are operational.

Test Method

Thermal performance of the cooling towers is tested and verified using established industry test standards.

Performance Criteria

The cooling tower(s) perform as described in Subsection 10.4.5 and in appropriate design specifications.

14.3 CERTIFIED DESIGN MATERIAL

This section of the referenced DCD is incorporated by reference with the following departures and/or supplements.

Add the following subsections after DCD Subsection 14.3.2.2.

14.3.2.3 Site-Specific ITAAC (SS-ITAAC)

STD SUP 14.3-1 A table of inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC) entries is provided for each site-specific system described in this FSAR that meets the selection criteria, and that is not included in the certified design. The intent of these ITAAC is to define activities that are undertaken to verify the as-built system conforms with the design features and characteristics defined in the system design description. ITAAC are provided in tables with the following three-column format:

Design Commitment	Inspection, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria

Each design commitment in the left-hand column of the ITAAC tables has associated inspections, tests, or analyses (ITA) requirements specified in the middle column. The acceptance criteria for the ITA are defined in the right-hand column.

SS-ITAAC do not address ancillary buildings and structures on the site, such as administrative buildings, parking lots, warehouses, training facilities, etc.

Selection Criteria - The following are considered when determining what information is included in the SS-ITAAC:

- In determining those structures, systems, or components for which ITAAC must be prepared, the following questions are considered for each structure, system, or component:
  - Are any features or functions classified as Class A, B, or C?
  - Are any defense-in-depth features or functions provided?
  - For nonsafety-related systems, are any features or functions credited for mitigation of design basis events?
  - For nonsafety-related systems, are there any features or functions that have been identified in DCD Section 16.3 as candidates for additional regulatory oversight?

If the answer to any of the above questions is yes, then ITAAC are prepared.

- The scope and content of the ITAAC correspond to the scope and content of the site-specific system design description.
- One inspection, test, or analysis may verify one or more provisions in the system design description. An ITAAC that specifies a system functional test or an inspection may verify a number of provisions in the system design description. There is not necessarily a one-to-one correspondence between the ITAAC and the system design descriptions.
- As required by 10 CFR 52.103, the inspections, tests, and analyses are completed (and the acceptance criteria satisfied) prior to initial fuel loading.
- The ITAAC verify the as-built configuration and performance characteristics of structures, systems, and components as identified in the system design descriptions.

Selection Methodology – Using the selection criteria, ITAAC table entries are developed for each selected system. This is achieved by evaluating the design features and performance characteristics defined in the system design descriptions and preparing an ITAAC table entry for each design description criterion that satisfies the selection criteria. A close correlation exists between the left-hand column of the ITAAC and the corresponding design description entries.

The ITAAC table is completed by selecting the method to be used for verification (either a test, an inspection, or an analysis) and the acceptance criteria for the as-built feature.

The approach used to perform the tests, inspections, or analyses is similar to that described in DCD Subsection 14.3.2.2.

14.3.2.3.1 Emergency Planning ITAAC (EP-ITAAC)

EP-ITAAC have been developed to address implementation of elements of the Emergency Plan. Site-specific EP-ITAAC are based on the generic ITAAC provided in Appendix C.II.1-B of Regulatory Guide 1.206. These ITAAC have been tailored to the specific reactor design and emergency planning program requirements.

14.3.2.3.2 Physical Security ITAAC (PS-ITAAC)

STD COL 13.6-1 Generic PS-ITAAC have been developed in a coordinated effort between the NRC and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI). These generic ITAAC have been tailored to the AP1000 design and site-specific security requirements.

14.3.2.3.3 Other Site-Specific Systems

STD SUP 14.3-1 One additional site-specific system has been determined to meet the ITAAC selection criteria, and ITAAC have been included for the Transmission Switchyard and Offsite Power System (ZBS) as indicated in Table 14.3-201. Such systems are subject to the normal functional testing to verify that newly designed and installed systems, structures, or components perform as designed.

VCS SUP 14.3-2 A summary of the AP1000 structures, systems, or components considered for selection is given in Table 14.3-201. This table supplements DCD Table 14.3-1.

14.3.3 CDM SECTION 3.0, NON-SYSTEM BASED DESIGN DESCRIPTIONS AND ITAAC

Add the following new subsection after the first paragraph in DCD Subsection 14.3.3

14.3.3.1 Pipe Rupture Hazard Analysis ITAAC

STD COL 3.6-1 A pipe rupture hazard analysis is part of the piping design. The analyses will document that structures, systems, and components (SSCs) which are required to be functional during and following a design basis event have adequate high-energy and moderate-energy pipe break mitigation features. The locations of postulated ruptures and essential targets will be established and required pipe whip restraint and jet shield designs will be included. The as-designed pipe rupture hazards analysis will be based on the as-designed piping analysis and will be in accordance with the criteria outlined in DCD Subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5. The evaluation will address environmental and flooding effects of cracks in high and moderate energy piping. The report of the pipe rupture hazard analysis shall conclude that, for each postulated piping failure, the systems, structures, and components that are required to be functional during and following a design basis event are protected.

The as-built reconciliation of the pipe rupture hazards evaluation whip restraint and jet shield design in accordance with the criteria outlined in DCD Subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5 are covered in as-built ITAAC identified in DCD Tier 1 to demonstrate that the as-built pipe rupture hazards mitigation features reflect the design, as reconciled. The reconciliation report will be made available for NRC inspection or audit when it has been completed.

The as-designed pipe rupture hazard analysis completed for the first standard AP1000 plant will be available to subsequent standard AP1000 plants under the "one issue, one review, one position" approach for closure.

14.3.3.2 Piping Design ITAAC

STD COL 3.9-7 The piping design ITAAC consists of the piping analysis for safety-related ASME Code piping. The piping design is completed on a package-by-package basis for applicable systems. In order to support closure of the piping design ITAAC, information consisting of the as-designed piping analysis for piping lines chosen to demonstrate all aspects of the piping design will be made available for NRC review, inspection, and/or audit. This information will consist of a design report referencing the as-designed piping calculation packages, including ASME Section III piping analysis, support evaluations and piping component fatigue analysis for Class I piping. The piping packages to be analyzed are identified the in DCD.

The ASME Code prescribes certain procedures and requirements that are to be followed for completing the piping design. The piping design ITAAC includes a verification of the ASME Code design report to ensure that the appropriate code design requirements for each system's safety class have been implemented.

A reconciliation of the applicable safety-related as-built piping systems is covered in as-built ITAAC identified in DCD Tier 1 to demonstrate that the as-built piping reflects the design, is reconciled. The reconciliation report will be made available for NRC inspection or audit when it has been completed.

The piping design completed for the first standard AP1000 plant will be available to subsequent standard AP1000 plants under the "one issue, one review, one position" approach for closure.

Table 14.3-201
ITAAC Screening Summary

	Structure/ System Acronym	Structure/System Description	Selected for ITAAC
VCS SUP 14.3-2	DRS	Storm Drain System	XX
	MES	Meteorological and Environmental Monitoring System	XX
	OWS	Offsite Water Treatment System[a]	XX
	RWS	Raw Water System	XX
	TVS	Closed Circuit TV System	XX
	VPS	Pump House Building Ventilation System	NA
	YFS	Yard Fire Water System	XX
	ZBS	Transmission Switchyard and Offsite Power System	XX
	ZRS	Offsite Retail Power System	NA

Legend: XX = Site-specific system selected for ITAAC – title only, no entry for COLA

XX = Selected for ITAAC

NA = System is not part of VCS design

a) OWS is outside the scope of the standard AP1000 but will be on the VCSNS property.

14.4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICANT RESPONSIBILITIES

This section of the referenced DCD is incorporated by reference with the following departures and/or supplements.

14.4.1 ORGANIZATION AND STAFFING

STD COL 14.4-1 This COL Item is addressed in Section 14.2.

14.4.2 TEST SPECIFICATIONS AND PROCEDURES

STD COL 14.4-2 Preoperational and startup test specifications and procedures are provided to the NRC in accordance with the requirements of DCD Subsection 14.2.3. The controls for development of test specifications and procedures are also described in Subsection 14.2.3.

A cross reference list is provided between ITAACs and test procedures and/or sections of test procedures.

14.4.3 CONDUCT OF TEST PROGRAM

STD COL 14.4-3 A site-specific startup administration manual (procedure), which contains the administration procedures and requirements that govern the activities associated with the plant initial test program, FSAR Section 14.2, is provided.

14.4.4 REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF TEST RESULTS

STD COL 14.4-4 Review and evaluation of individual test results, as well as final review of overall test results and selected milestones or hold points are addressed in Subsection 14.2.3.2. Test exceptions or results that do not meet acceptance criteria are identified to the affected and responsible design organizations, and corrective actions and retests, as required, are performed.

14.4.5 INTERFACE REQUIREMENTS

STD COL 14.4-5 This COL Item is addressed in Subsections 14.2.9.4.15, 14.2.9.4.22 through 14.2.9.4.27, 14.2.10.4.29, and in the Physical Security Plan.

14.4.6 FIRST-PLANT-ONLY AND THREE-PLANT-ONLY TESTS

STD COL 14.4-6 First-plant-only and first-three-plant-only tests either are performed in accordance with DCD Section 14.2.5 or a justification is provided that the results of the first-plant-only and first-three-plant-only tests are applicable to a subsequent plant. If the tests are not performed, the justification is provided prior to preoperational testing.

APPENDIX 14A
DESIGN ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA/ITAAC CLOSURE PROCESS

This section of the referenced DCD is incorporated by reference with no departures or supplements.