

Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application

COLA Table of Contents

- Part 1 — General and Financial Information
- Part 2 — Final Safety Analysis Report
- Part 3 — Applicant's Environmental Report - Combined License Stage
- Part 4 — Technical Specifications
- Part 5 — Emergency Plan
- Part 6 — LWA Request
- Part 7 — Departures, Exemptions, and Variances
- Part 8 — Safeguards/Security Plans
- Part 9 — Withheld Information
- Part 10 — Proposed License Conditions (Including ITAAC)
- Part 11 — Enclosures

Vogtle Early Site Permit Application

Overall Table of Contents

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4

COL Application

Part 10

Proposed License Conditions

(Including ITAAC)

Revision 4

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

VEGP Proposed License Conditions

1. ITAAC (Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria):

There are several ITAAC identified in the COLA. Once incorporated into the COL, the regulations identify the requirements that must be met. The incorporation below includes the sensitive unclassified non-safeguards information (including proprietary information), and safeguards information referenced in the AP1000 DCD. Such DCD information is included in this combined license application in the same manner as it is included in the AP1000 DCD, i.e., references in the DCD are included as references in the FSAR, and material incorporated by reference into the DCD is incorporated by reference into the FSAR. Appropriate agreements are in place to provide access to the withheld sensitive unclassified non-safeguards information (including proprietary information), and safeguards information referenced in the AP1000 DCD.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

The ITAAC identified in the tables in Appendix B are hereby incorporated into this Combined License. After the Commission has made the finding required by 10 CFR 52.103(g), the ITAAC do not constitute regulatory requirements; except for specific ITAAC, which are the subject of a Section 103(a) hearing, their expiration will occur upon final Commission action in such proceeding.

2. COL HOLDER ITEMS:

There are several COL information items that can not be resolved prior to issuance of the Combined License. The referenced AP1000 design certification has already justified why each COL Holder item (as identified in the AP1000 DCD Tier 2 Table 1.8-2) can not be resolved before the COL is issued, provides sufficient information on these items to support the NRC licensing decision, and identifies an appropriate implementation milestone. Each COL information item that cannot be resolved completely before the COL is issued is also identified as a COL Holder item in the COLA FSAR Table 1.8-202. Therefore, in accordance with the guidance in Regulatory Guide 1.206, section C.III.4.3, the following License Condition is proposed to address these COL Holder items. Holder items (per DCD Table 1.8-2) that are addressed by the COLA are not included in the proposed condition. These include COL information item numbers 3.11-1, 9.5-6, and 10.1-1, and 13.6-5.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

Each COL Holder item identified below shall be completed by the identified implementation milestone through completion of the action therein identified.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

SUMMARY OF COMBINED LICENSE INFORMATION HOLDER ITEMS			
COL Item No.	Subject	From DCD Tier 2 Subsection	Implementation Milestone
3.6-1	As-Designed Pipe Rupture Hazards Analysis	3.6.4.1	Prior to installation of the piping and connected components in their final location
<p>After a Combined License is issued, the following activity will be completed by the COL holder. An as-designed pipe rupture hazard evaluation will be available for NRC review. The completed as-designed pipe rupture hazards evaluation will be in accordance with the criteria outlined in DCD Subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5. Systems, structures, and components identified to be essential targets and appropriate mitigation features (Reference is DCD Table 3.6-3) will be confirmed as part of the evaluation, and updated information will be provided as appropriate. A pipe rupture hazards analysis is part of the piping design. The evaluation will be performed for high and moderate energy piping to confirm the protection of systems, structures, and components (SSCs), which are required to be functional during and following a design basis event. The locations of the postulated ruptures and essential targets will be established and required pipe whip restraints and jet shield designs will be included. The evaluation will address environmental and flooding effects of cracks in high and moderate energy piping. The as-designed pipe rupture hazards evaluation is prepared on a generic basis to address COL applications referencing the AP1000 design.</p>			
3.7-3	Seismic Interaction Review	3.7.5.3	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The seismic interaction review will be updated by the Combined License holder for as-built information. This review is performed in parallel with the seismic margin evaluation. The review is based on as-procured data, as well as the as-constructed condition. The as-built seismic interaction review is not provided with the COL application, but is completed prior to fuel load.</p>			
3.7-4	Reconciliation of Seismic Analyses of Nuclear Island Structures	3.7.5.4	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The Combined License holder will reconcile the seismic analyses described in subsection 3.7.2 for detail design changes, such as those due to as-procured or as-built changes in component mass, center of gravity, and support configuration based on as-procured equipment information. Deviations are acceptable based on an evaluation consistent with the methods and procedure of Section 3.7 provided the amplitude of the seismic floor response spectra, including the effect due to these deviations, does not exceed the design basis floor response spectra by more than 10 percent. The Combined License holder will complete this reconciliation prior to fuel load.</p>			

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

SUMMARY OF COMBINED LICENSE INFORMATION HOLDER ITEMS			
COL Item No.	Subject	From DCD Tier 2 Subsection	Implementation Milestone
3.9-7	As-Designed Piping Analysis	3.9.8.7	Prior to installation of the piping and connected components in their final location
<p>After a Combined License is issued, the following activity will be completed by the COL holder:</p> <p>The as-designed piping analysis is provided for the piping lines chosen to demonstrate all aspects of the piping design. A design report referencing the as-designed piping calculation packages, including ASME Section III piping analysis, support evaluations and piping component fatigue analysis for Class 1 piping using the methods and criteria outlined in DCD Table 3.9-19 is made available for NRC review. The availability of the piping design information and design reports for the piping packages is identified to the NRC.</p>			
4.4-2	Confirm Assumptions for Safety Analyses DNBR Limits	4.4.7	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>Combined License applicants referencing the AP1000 certified design will address changes to the reference design of the fuel, burnable absorber rods, rod cluster control assemblies, or initial core design from that presented in the DCD.</p> <p>Following selection of the actual plant operating instrumentation and calculation of the instrumentation uncertainties of the operating plant parameters as discussed in subsection 7.1.6, Combined License applicants will calculate the design limit DNBR values using the RTDP with these instrumentation uncertainties and confirm that either the design limit DNBR values as described in Section 4.4, remain valid, or that the safety analysis minimum DNBR bounds the new design limit DNBR values plus DNBR penalties, such as rod bow penalty.</p>			
5.3-1	Reactor Vessel Pressure – Temperature Limit Curves	5.3.6.1	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The COL Holder shall update the P/T limits using the PTLR methodologies approved in the AP1000 DCD using the plant-specific material properties or confirm that the reactor vessel material properties meet the specifications and use the Westinghouse generic PTLR curves.</p>			
5.3-4	Reactor Vessel Materials Properties Verification	5.3.6.4.1	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The Combined License holder will complete prior to fuel load verification of plant-specific belt line material properties consistent with the requirements in subsection 5.3.3.1 and Tables 5.3-1 and 5.3-3. The verification will include a pressurized thermal shock evaluation based on as-procured reactor vessel material data and the projected neutron fluence for the plant design objective of 60 years. This evaluation report will be submitted for NRC staff review.</p>			

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

SUMMARY OF COMBINED LICENSE INFORMATION HOLDER ITEMS			
COL Item No.	Subject	From DCD Tier 2 Subsection	Implementation Milestone
9.1-7	Coupon Monitoring Program	9.1.6	Prior to commercial Operation
A spent fuel rack Metamic coupon monitoring program will be implemented when the plant is placed into commercial operation. This program will include tests to monitor bubbling, blistering, cracking, or flaking; and a test to monitor for corrosion, such as weight loss measurements and/or visual examination. The program will also include testing to monitor changes in physical properties of the absorber material, including neutron attenuation and thickness measurements.			
10.2-1	Turbine Maintenance and Inspection	10.2.6	Prior to initial fuel load
The Combined License holder will submit to the NRC staff for review prior to fuel load, and then implement a turbine maintenance and inspection program. The program will be consistent with the maintenance and inspection program plan activities and inspection intervals identified in subsection 10.2.3.6. The Combined License holder will have available plant-specific turbine rotor test data and calculated toughness curves that support the material property assumptions in the turbine rotor analysis after the fabrication of the turbine and prior to fuel load.			
13.6-5	Cyber Security Program	13.6.1	Prior to initial fuel load
The Combined License holder will develop and implement a cyber security program prior to initial fuel load.			
14.4-2	Test Specifics and Procedures	14.4.2	Prior to initial fuel load
NOTE –addressed by proposed License Condition #6.			
14.4-3	Conduct of Test Program	14.4.3	
NOTE – addressed by proposed License Conditions #3 and #6.			
14.4-4	Review and Evaluation of Test Results	14.4.4	
NOTE – addressed by proposed License Condition #9.			
14.4-6	First-Plant-Only and Three-Plant-Only Tests	14.4.6	
NOTE – addressed by proposed License Conditions #7 and #9.			
15.0-1	Documentation of Plant Calorimetric Uncertainty Methodology	15.0.15.1	
NOTE – addressed by proposed ITAAC Table 2.5.4-2, item 4.			

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

SUMMARY OF COMBINED LICENSE INFORMATION HOLDER ITEMS			
COL Item No.	Subject	From DCD Tier 2 Subsection	Implementation Milestone
19.59.10-1	As-Built SSC HCLPF Comparison to Seismic Margin Evaluation	19.59.10.5	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 certified design will review differences between the as-built plant and the design used as the basis for the AP1000 seismic margins analysis prior to fuel load. A verification walkdown will be performed with the purpose of identifying differences between the as-built plant and the design. Any differences will be evaluated and the seismic margins analysis modified as necessary to account for the plant-specific design and any design changes or departures from the certified design. Spatial interactions are addressed by COL information item 3.7-3. Details of the process will be developed by the Combined License holder.</p> <p>The Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 certified design should compare the as-built SSC HCLPFs to those assumed in the AP1000 seismic margin evaluation prior to fuel load. Deviations from the HCLPF values or assumptions in the seismic margin evaluation due to the as-built configuration and final analysis should be evaluated to determine if vulnerabilities have been introduced. The requirements to which the equipment is to be purchased are included in the equipment specifications. Specifically, the equipment specifications include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Specific minimum seismic requirements consistent with those used to define the Table 19.55-1 HCLPF values. This includes the known frequency range used to define the HCLPF by comparing the required response spectrum (RRS) and test response spectrum (TRS). The test response spectra are chosen so as to demonstrate that no more than one percent rate of failure is expected when the equipment is subjected to the applicable seismic margin ground motion for the equipment identified to be applicable in the seismic margin insights of the site-specific PRA. The range of frequency response that is required for the equipment with its structural support is defined. 2. Hardware enhancements that were determined in previous test programs and/or analysis programs will be implemented. 			
19.59.10-2	Evaluation of As-Built Plant Versus Design in AP1000 PRA and Site-Specific PRA External Events	19.59.10.5	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 certified design will review differences between the as-built plant and the design used as the basis for the AP1000 PRA and Table 19.59-18 prior to fuel load. The plant-specific PRA-based insight differences will be evaluated and the plant-specific PRA model modified as necessary to account for the plant-specific design and any design changes or departures from the design certification PRA.</p>			

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
 COL Application
 Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

SUMMARY OF COMBINED LICENSE INFORMATION HOLDER ITEMS			
COL Item No.	Subject	From DCD Tier 2 Subsection	Implementation Milestone
19.59.10-3	Internal Fire and Internal Flood Analyses	19.59.10.5	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 certified design will review differences between the as-built plant and the design used as the basis for the AP1000 internal fire and internal flood analysis prior to fuel load. Plant-specific internal fire and internal flood analyses will be evaluated and the analyses modified as necessary to account for the plant-specific design and any design changes or departures from the certified design.</p>			
19.59.10-4	Implement Severe Accident Management Guidance	10.59.10.5	Prior to startup testing
<p>NOTE – addressed by proposed License Condition #6.</p>			
19.59.10-5	Equipment Survivability	19.59.10.5	Prior to initial fuel load
<p>The Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 certified design will perform a thermal lag assessment of the as-built equipment listed in Tables 6b and 6c in Attachment A of APP-GW-GLR-069 to provide additional assurance that this equipment can perform its severe accident functions during environmental conditions resulting from hydrogen burns associated with severe accidents. This assessment is performed prior to fuel load and is required only for equipment used for severe accident mitigation that has not been tested at severe accident conditions. The Combined License holder will assess the ability of the as-built equipment to perform during severe accident hydrogen burns using the Environment Enveloping method or the Test Based Thermal Analysis method discussed in EPRI NP-4354.</p>			

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

3. OPERATIONAL PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION:

The provisions of the regulations address implementation milestones for some operational programs. The NRC will use license conditions to ensure implementation for those operational programs whose implementation is not addressed in the regulations. COLA FSAR Subsection 13.4, Table 13.4-201, identifies several programs required by regulations that must be implemented by a milestone to be identified in a license condition.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

The licensee shall implement the programs or portions of programs identified below on or before the associated milestones identified below.

- A. Construction Initiation – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initiating construction of nuclear safety or security related structures, systems, or components.
 - None identified.

- B. 18 Months Prior to Fuel Load – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below at least 18 months prior to scheduled date of initial fuel load.
 - B.1 – Reactor Operator Training

- C. Receipt of Materials – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial receipt of byproduct, source, or special nuclear materials onsite (excluding Exempt Quantities as described in 10 CFR 30.18).
 - C.1 – Radiation Protection (applicable portions)
 - C.2 – Fire Protection Program (applicable portions)
 - C.3 – Non Licensed Plant Staff Training Program (applicable portions)
 - C.4 – Deleted
 - C.5 – Security Program (applicable portions)
 - C.6 – Deleted
 - C.7 – SNM Material Control and Accounting Program

- D. Fuel Receipt – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial receipt of fuel onsite.
 - D.1 – Fire Protection (applicable portions)
 - D.2 – Radiation Protection (applicable portions)
 - D.3 – Security Program (applicable portions)
 - D.4 – Deleted
 - D.5 – Emergency Planning (applicable portions)

- E. Construction Testing – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial construction testing.
 - E.1 – Initial Test Program – Construction Testing

- F. Preoperational Testing – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial preoperational testing.
 - F.1 – Initial Test Program – Preoperational Testing

- G. Fuel Loading – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial fuel load.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

- G.1 – Environmental Qualification
- G.2 – Pre-Service Testing
- G.3 – Process and Effluent Monitoring and Sampling
- G.4 – Radiation Protection (applicable portions)
- G.5 – Motor-Operated Valve Testing
- G.6 – Fire Protection
- G.7 – Deleted
- G.8 – Containment Leakage Rate Testing
- G.9 – Physical Security
- G.10 – Cyber Security

- H. Startup Testing – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial startup testing
 - H.1 – Initial Test Program – Startup Testing
- I. MODE 4 – Not Used.
- J. Initial Criticality – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial criticality.
 - J.1 – Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance
- K. Waste Shipment – The licensee shall implement each operational program identified below prior to initial radioactive waste shipment.
 - K.1 – Radiation Protection

4. EMERGENCY PLANNING ACTIONS:

Because various equipment set points and other information cannot be determined until as-built information is available, the COL Application does not fully address certain aspects of the EAL scheme. Thus, COL applicants using EAL schemes in accordance with NEI 07-01 are proposing the following license condition.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

The licensee shall submit a fully developed set of site-specific Emergency Action Levels (EALs) to the NRC in accordance with the NRC-endorsed version of NEI 07-01, Revision 0 with no deviations. The EALs shall have been discussed and agreed upon with State and local officials. These fully developed EALs shall be submitted to the NRC for confirmation at least 180 days prior to initial fuel load.

5. SECURITY PROGRAM REVISIONS:

An implementation license condition approved in the SRM regarding SECY-05-0197 applies to the security program.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

The licensee shall maintain in effect the provisions of the physical security plan, security personnel training and qualification plan, safeguards contingency plan, and cyber security plan, and all amendments made pursuant to the authority of 10 CFR 50.90, 50.54(p), 52.97, and Section VIII of Appendix D to Part 52 when nuclear fuel is onsite (protected area), and continuing until all nuclear fuel is permanently removed from the site.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

6. OPERATIONAL PROGRAM READINESS:

The NRC inspection of operational programs will be the subject of the following license condition in accordance with SECY-05-0197.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

The licensee shall submit to the appropriate Director of the NRC, a schedule, no later than 12 months after issuance of the COL, that supports planning for and conduct of NRC inspections of operational programs listed in the operational program FSAR Table 13.4-201. The schedule shall be updated every 6 months until 12 months before scheduled fuel loading, and every month thereafter until either the operational programs in the FSAR table have been fully implemented or the plant has been placed in commercial service, whichever comes first. This schedule shall also address:

- a. the implementation of site specific Severe Accident Management Guidance.
- b. the reactor vessel pressurized thermal shock evaluation at least 18 months prior to initial fuel load.
- c. the approved preoperational and startup test procedures (including the site-specific startup administration manual (procedure) prior to initiating the plant initial test program) in accordance with FSAR Subsection 14.2.3.
- d. the flow accelerated corrosion (FAC) program implementation, including the construction phase activities.
- e. full implementation of the operational and programmatic elements of responding to an event associated with a loss of large areas of the plant due to explosions or fire, prior to initial fuel load.
- f. the spent fuel rack Metamic coupon monitoring program implementation.
- g. the implementation of construction and inspection procedures for concrete filled steel plate modules activities before and after concrete placement, use of construction mock-ups, and inspection of modules before and after concrete placement as discussed in DCD Subsection 3.8.4.8.
- h. the availability of documented instrumentation uncertainties to calculate a power calorimetric uncertainty, prior to initial fuel load.
- i. the availability of administrative controls to implement maintenance and contingency activities related to the power calorimetric uncertainty instrumentation, prior to initial fuel load.

7. FIRST-PLANT-ONLY AND FIRST-THREE-PLANT-ONLY TESTING:

Certain design features of the AP1000 plant will be subjected to special tests to establish unique phenomenological performance parameters of the AP1000 design. Because of the standardization of the AP1000 design, these special tests (designated as first-plant-only tests and first-three-plant-only tests) are not required on subsequent plants. Once these tests are completed by the first plant (or first three plants) and appropriate documentation identified, the subsequent plants need only reference the applicable documentation to show that the first plant (or first three plants) completed the required testing. Accordingly, the following license condition is proposed:

First-Plant-Only and First-Three-Plant-Only Testing

A licensee shall provide written identification of the applicable references for documentation for the completion of the testing to the Director of the Office of New Reactors (or equivalent

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

NRC management) within thirty (30) calendar days of the licensee confirmation of acceptable test results.

Subsequent plant licensees crediting completion of testing by the first-plant or by the first-three-plants shall provide a report referencing the applicable documentation identified by the first (or first three) plant(s) confirming the testing to the Director of the Office of New Reactors (or equivalent NRC management). This report shall be provided to NRC either prior to initiation of pre-operational testing, or within sixty (60) days of the identification of the documentation for the completion of the testing by the first plant (or third plant, as appropriate), whichever is later.

8. STARTUP TESTING:

COLA FSAR Section 14.2 specifies certain startup tests that must be completed after fuel load. Operating licenses typically have included the following condition related to startup testing.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

Any changes to the Initial Startup Test Program described in Chapter 14 of the FSAR made in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 or Section VIII of Appendix D to 10 CFR Part 52 shall be reported in accordance with 50.59(d) within one month of such change.

9. STARTUP PROGRAM TEST RESULTS:

Certain milestones within the startup testing phase of the initial test program (i.e., pre-critical testing, criticality testing, and low-power (<5% RTP) testing) are controlled through license conditions to ensure that relevant test results are reviewed, evaluated, and approved by the designated licensee management before proceeding with the power ascension test phase.

Accordingly, the following license conditions are proposed:

Pre-operational Testing

Following completion of pre-operational testing, the licensee shall review and evaluate individual test results. Test exceptions or results which do not meet acceptance criteria are identified to the affected and responsible organizations, and corrective actions and retests, as required, are performed.

Pre-critical and Criticality Testing

1. Following completion of pre-critical and criticality testing, the licensee shall review and evaluate individual test results. Test exceptions or results which do not meet acceptance criteria are identified to the affected and responsible organizations, and corrective actions and retests, as required, are performed.
2. The licensee shall provide written notification to the Director of the Office of New Reactors (or equivalent NRC management) within fourteen (14) calendar days of completion of the pre-critical and criticality testing.

Low-Power (<5% RTP) Testing

1. Following completion of low-power (<5% RTP) testing, the licensee shall review and evaluate individual test results. Test exceptions or results which do not meet acceptance criteria are identified to the affected and responsible organizations, and corrective actions and retests, as required, are performed.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

2. The licensee shall provide written notification to the Director of the Office of New Reactors (or equivalent NRC management) within fourteen (14) calendar days of completion of the low-power testing.

At-Power (5%–100% RTP) Testing

1. Following completion of at-power testing (at or above 5% RTP up to and including testing at 100% RTP), the licensee shall review and evaluate individual test results. Test exceptions or results which do not meet acceptance criteria are identified to the affected and responsible organizations, and corrective actions and retests, as required, are performed.
2. The licensee shall provide written notification to the Director of the Office of New Reactors (or equivalent NRC management) within fourteen (14) calendar days of completion of the at-power testing.

10. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN:

Operating licenses typically have included the following condition related to environmental testing.

PROPOSED LICENSE CONDITION:

The issuance of this COL, subject to the Environmental Protection Plan and the conditions for the protection of the environment set forth herein, is in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and with applicable sections of 10 CFR Part 51, “Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions,” as referenced by Subpart C of 10 CFR Part 52, “Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants,” and all applicable requirements therein have been satisfied.

Appendix A: Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological)

1.0 Objectives of the Environmental Protection Plan

The purpose of the Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) is to provide for protection of nonradiological environmental resources during construction and operation of the nuclear facility. The principal objectives of the EPP are as follows:

- (1) Verify that the facility is operated in an environmentally acceptable manner, as established by the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and other NRC environmental impact assessments.
- (2) Coordinate NRC requirements and maintain consistency with other Federal, State and local requirements for environmental protection.
- (3) Keep the NRC informed of the environmental effects of facility construction and operation and of actions taken to control those effects.

Environmental concerns identified in the FEIS which relate to water quality matters are regulated by way of the licensee's NPDES permit.

2.0 Environmental Protection Issues

In the FEIS for the Combined License (FES-COL) (NUREG xxxx) dated [month year], the staff considered the environmental impacts associated with the construction and operation of Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Units 3 and 4. Certain environmental issues were identified which required study or license conditions to resolve environmental concerns and to assure adequate protection of the environment.

2.1 Aquatic Issues

No specific nonradiological aquatic impact issues were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

2.2 Terrestrial Issues

No specific nonradiological terrestrial impact issues were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

2.3 Issues Related to Maintenance of Transmission Line Corridors

No specific nonradiological transmission line corridor impact issues were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

2.4 Issues Related to Cultural Resources

No specific nonradiological cultural resource impact issues were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

Vogle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

2.5 Issues Related to Noise

No specific nonradiological noise impact issues were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

2.6 Other Issues

No other specific nonradiological issues were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

3.0 Consistency Requirements

3.1 Plant Design, Construction, and Operation Activities

The licensee may make changes in station design or operation or perform tests or experiments affecting the environment provided such activities do not involve an unreviewed environmental question and do not involve a change in the EPP*. Changes in station design or operation or performance of tests or experiments which do not affect the environment are not subject to the requirements of this EPP. Activities governed by Section 3.3 are not subject to the requirements of this section.

Before engaging in additional construction or operational activities which may significantly affect the environment, the licensee shall prepare and record an environmental evaluation of such activity. Activities are excluded from this requirement if all measurable nonradiological environmental effects are confined to the on-site-areas previously disturbed during site preparation and plant construction. When the evaluation indicates that such activity involves an unreviewed environmental question, the licensee shall provide a written evaluation of such activity and obtain prior NRC approval. When such activity involves a change in the EPP, such activity and change to the EPP may be implemented only in accordance with an appropriate license amendment as set forth in Section 5.3 of this EPP.

A proposed change, test or experiment shall be deemed to involve an unreviewed environmental question if it concerns: (1) a matter which may result in a significant increase in any adverse environmental impact previously evaluated in the FES-COL, environmental impact appraisals, or in any decisions of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB); or (2) a significant change in effluents or power level; or (3) a matter, not previously reviewed and evaluated in the documents specified in (1) of this Subsection, which may have a significant adverse environmental impact.

The licensee shall maintain records of changes in facility design or operation and of tests and experiments carried out pursuant to this Subsection. These records shall include written evaluations which provide bases for the determination that the change, test, or experiment does not involve an unreviewed environmental question or constitute a decrease in the effectiveness of this EPP to meet the objectives specified in Section 1.0. The licensee shall include as part of the Annual Environmental Operating Report (per Subsection 5.4.1) brief descriptions, analyses, interpretations, and evaluations of such changes, tests and experiments.

* This provision does not relieve the licensee of the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59.

Vogle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

3.2 Reporting Related to the NPDES Permit and State Water Quality Certification

Changes to, or renewals of, the NPDES Permits or the State certification shall be reported to the NRC within 30 days following the date the change or renewal is approved. If a permit or certification, in part or in its entirety, is appealed and stayed, the NRC shall be notified within 30 days following the date the stay is granted.

The licensee shall notify the NRC of changes to the effective NPDES Permit proposed by the licensee by providing NRC with a copy of the proposed change at the same time it is submitted to the permitting agency. The licensee shall provide the NRC a copy of the application for renewal of the NPDES Permit at the same time the application is submitted to the permitting agency.

3.3 Changes Required for Compliance with Other Environmental Regulations

Changes in plant design or operation and performance of tests or experiments which are required to achieve compliance with other Federal, State, and local environmental regulations are not subject to the requirements of Section 3.1.

4.0 Environmental Conditions

4.1 Unusual or Important Environmental Events

Any occurrence of an unusual or important environmental event that indicates or could result in significant environmental impact causally related to plant construction or operation shall be recorded and reported to the NRC within 24 hours followed by a written report per subsection 5.4.2. The following are examples: excessive bird impaction events; onsite plant or animal disease outbreaks; mortality or unusual occurrence of any species protected by the Endangered Species Act; unusual fish kills or impingement events on intake screens; unusual increase in nuisance organisms or conditions; unanticipated or emergency discharge of wastewater or chemical substances; and damage to vegetation resulting from cooling tower operations.

No routine monitoring programs are required to implement this condition.

4.2 Environmental Monitoring

4.2.1 Aquatic Monitoring

The certifications and permits required under the Clean water Act provide mechanisms for protecting water quality and, indirectly, aquatic biota. The NRC will rely on the decision made by the State of Georgia under the authority of the Clean Water Act for any requirements for aquatic monitoring.

4.2.2 Terrestrial Monitoring

No specific nonradiological terrestrial monitoring requirements were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

4.2.3 Maintenance of Transmission Line Corridors

No specific nonradiological transmission line corridor monitoring requirements were identified by NRC staff in the FEIS.

5.0 Administrative Procedures

5.1 Review and Audit

The licensee shall provide for review and audit of compliance with this EPP. The audits shall be conducted independently of the individual or groups responsible for performing the specific activity. A description of the organizational structure utilized to achieve the independent review and audit function and results of the audit activities shall be maintained and made available for inspection.

5.2 Records Retention

Records and logs relative to the environmental aspects of station construction and operation shall be made and retained in a manner convenient for review and inspection. These records and logs shall be made available to the NRC on request.

Record of modifications to station structures, systems, and components determine to potentially affect the continued protection of the environment shall be retained for the life of the station. All other records and logs relating to this EPP shall be retained for five years or, where applicable, in accordance with the requirements of other agencies.

5.3 Changes in the Environmental Protection Plan

Requests for changes in the EPP shall include an assessment of the environmental impact of the proposed change and a supporting justification. Implementation of such changes in the EPP shall not commence prior to NRC approval of the proposed changes in the form of a permit amendment incorporating the appropriate revision to the EPP.

5.4 Reporting Requirements

5.4.1 Routine Reports

An Annual Environmental Operating report describing the implementation of this EPP for the previous year shall be submitted to the NRC prior to May 1 of each year. The period of the first report shall begin with the date of issuance of the COL for Unit 3, and the initial report for Unit 4 shall be submitted prior to May 1 of the year following the beginning of Unit 4 operation.

The report shall include summaries of the environmental protection activities required by Subsection 4.2 of this EPP (if any) for the report period, including a comparison with related preoperational studies, operational controls (as appropriate), and previous nonradiological environmental monitoring reports, and an assessment of the observed impacts of plant operation on the environment. If harmful effects or evidence of trends toward irreversible damage to the environment are observed, the licensee shall provide a detailed analysis of the data and a proposed course of mitigating action.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

The Annual Environmental Operating Report shall also include:

- (1) A list of EPP noncompliances and corrective actions taken to remedy them.
- (2) A list of all changes in station design, construction, or operation, tests and experiments made in accordance with Subsection 3.1 which involved a potentially significant unreviewed environmental question.
- (3) A list of nonroutine reports submitted in accordance with Subsection 5.4.2.

In the event that some results are not available by the report date, the report shall be submitted noting and explaining the missing results. The missing results shall be submitted as soon as possible in a supplementary report.

5.4.2 Nonroutine Reports

A written report shall be submitted to the NRC within 30 days of occurrence of a nonroutine event. The report shall: (a) describe, analyze, and evaluate the event, including extent and magnitude of the impact, and plant operating characteristics; (b) describe the probable cause of the event; (c) indicate the action taken to correct the reported event; (d) indicate the corrective action taken to preclude repetition of the event and to prevent similar occurrences involving similar components or systems; and, (e) indicate the agencies notified and their preliminary responses.

Events reportable under this subsection which also require reports to other Federal, State, or local agencies shall be reported in accordance with those reporting requirements in lieu of the requirements of this subsection. The NRC shall be provided with a copy of such a report at the time it is submitted to the other agency.

Appendix B. Inspections, Tests, Analyses and Acceptance Criteria

AP1000 DCD Tier 1 ITAAC

The Tier 1 information (including the ITAAC) of the referenced DCD is incorporated by reference with the following departures and/or supplements.

Physical Security ITAAC

The physical security ITAAC that are in the scope of the Westinghouse AP1000 standard design are included in the referenced DCD Tier 1 Subsection 2.6.9 as incorporated by reference above. Site-specific physical security ITAAC that are outside the scope of the Westinghouse AP1000 standard design in DCD Tier 1 Subsection 2.6.9 are provided in the attached Table 2.6.9-2. Include these ITAAC after the DCD Tier 1 Table 2.6.9-1 ITAAC."

Plant Specific ITAAC

Add the following information to the information provided in the referenced DCD Tier 1 following Section 2.3:

- 2.3.30 Storm Drain System
No entry for this system.
- 2.3.31 Raw Water System
No entry for this system.
- 2.3.32 Yard Fire Water System
No entry for this system.

Add the following information to the information provided in the referenced DCD Tier 1 Section 2.5.4, as a new item 4 under the Design Description section:

- 4. The plant operating instrumentation installed for feedwater flow measurement is one that has been specifically approved by the NRC; the power calorimetric uncertainty calculation includes uncertainties for the associated instrumentation based on an NRC approved methodology; and the calculated calorimetric values are bounded by the uncertainty value assumed for the initial reactor power in the safety analysis.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

Add the following information to the information provided in the referenced DCD Tier 1 Section 2.5.4, as a new, final line item in Table 2.5.4-2:

Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
4. The plant calorimetric uncertainty and plant instrumentation performance is bounded by the 1% calorimetric uncertainty value assumed for the initial reactor power in the safety analysis.	Inspection will be performed of the plant operating instrumentation installed for feedwater flow measurement, its associated power calorimetric uncertainty calculation, and the calculated calorimetric values.	<p>a) The as-built system takes input for feedwater flow measurement from a Caldon [Cameron] LEFM CheckPlus™ System;</p> <p>b) the power calorimetric uncertainty calculation documented for that instrumentation is based on an accepted Westinghouse methodology and the uncertainty values for that instrumentation are not lower than those for the actual installed instrumentation; and</p> <p>c) the calculated calorimetric power uncertainty measurement values are bounded by the 1% uncertainty value assumed for the initial reactor power in the safety analysis.</p>

Add the following information to the information provided in the referenced DCD Tier 1 following Section 2.5.10:

2.5.11 Meteorological and Environmental Monitoring System
No entry for this system.

2.5.12 Closed Circuit TV System
No Entry for this system.

Add the following information to the information provided in the referenced DCD Tier 1 following Section 2.6.11:

2.6.12 Transmission Switchyard and Offsite Power System

Inspection, Test, Analysis and Acceptance Criteria

Table 2.6.12-1 provides a definition of the inspections, tests, and/or analyses, together with associated acceptance criteria for the offsite power system.

2.6.13 Offsite Retail Power System
No entry for this system.

The following non-system based site specific ITAAC are provided:

Safety-Related Backfill

The ITAAC identified in ESPA SSAR Subsection 2.5.4.5.5 are incorporated by reference.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

Waterproof Membrane

The ITAAC identified in ESPA SSAR Subsection 3.8.5 is incorporated by reference.

Pipe Rupture Hazard Analysis ITAAC

The ITAAC for Pipe Rupture Hazard Analysis are included in attached Table 3.8-1.

Piping Design ITAAC

The ITAAC for Piping Design are included in attached Table 3.8-2.

Emergency Planning ITAAC

The emergency planning ITAAC included in Early Site Permit ESP-004, Appendix E, are incorporated by reference.

Add the following emergency planning acceptance criteria to item 5.0, Emergency Facilities and Equipment of the emergency planning ITAAC included in Appendix E of Early Site Permit ESP-004:

5.1.8 Controls and displays exist in the TSC to control and monitor the status of the TSC ventilation system including heating and cooling, and the activation of the HEPA and charcoal filter system upon detection of high radiation in the TSC.

Add the following emergency planning acceptance criteria to item 8.1.1.D.2, Exercises and Drills, of the Unit 3 emergency planning ITAAC included in Appendix E of Early Site Permit ESP-004:

- d. Demonstrate the capability of TSC and EOF equipment and data displays to clearly identify and reflect the affected unit.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

TABLE 2.6.9-2 – SITE-SPECIFIC PHYSICAL SECURITY INSPECTIONS, TESTS, ANALYSES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA		
Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, and Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
1. The external walls, doors, ceiling, and floors in the location within which the last access control function for access to the protected area is performed are bullet-resistant to at least Underwriters Laboratory Ballistic Standard 752, level 4.	Type test, analysis, or a combination of type test and analysis will be performed for the external walls, doors, ceilings, and floors in the location within which the last access control function for access to the protected area is performed.	The external walls, doors, ceilings, and floors in the location within which the last access control function for access to the protected area is performed are bullet-resistant to at least Underwriters Laboratory Ballistic Standard 752, level 4.
2. Physical barriers for the protected area perimeter are not part of vital area barriers.	An inspection of the protected area perimeter barrier will be performed.	Physical barriers at the perimeter of the protected area are separated from any other barrier designated as a vital area barrier.
3.a) Isolation zones exist in outdoor areas adjacent to the physical barrier at the perimeter of the protected area that allow 20 feet of observation on either side of the barrier. Where permanent buildings do not allow a 20-foot observation distance on the inside of the protected area, the building walls are immediately adjacent to, or an integral part of, the protected area barrier.	Inspections will be performed of the isolation zones in outdoor areas adjacent to the physical barrier at the perimeter of the protected area.	Isolation zones exist in outdoor areas adjacent to the physical barrier at the perimeter of the protected area and allow 20 feet of observation and assessment of the activities of people on either side of the barrier. Where permanent buildings do not allow a 20-foot observation and assessment distance on the inside of the protected area, the building walls are immediately adjacent to, or an integral part of, the protected area barrier and the 20-foot observation and assessment distance does not apply.
b) The isolation zones are monitored with intrusion detection equipment that provides the capability to detect and assess unauthorized persons.	Inspections will be performed of the intrusion detection equipment within the isolation zones.	The isolation zones are equipped with intrusion detection equipment that provides the capability to detect and assess unauthorized persons.

Vogle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

TABLE 2.6.9-2 – SITE-SPECIFIC PHYSICAL SECURITY INSPECTIONS, TESTS, ANALYSES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA		
Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, and Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
<p>4. The intrusion detection and assessment equipment at the protected area perimeter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) detects penetration or attempted penetration of the protected area barrier and concurrently alarms in both the Central Alarm Station and Secondary Alarm Station, and b) remains operable from an uninterruptible power supply in the event of the loss of normal power. 	<p>Tests, inspections or a combination of tests and inspections of the intrusion detection and assessment equipment at the protected area perimeter and its uninterruptible power supply will be performed.</p>	<p>The intrusion detection and assessment equipment at the protected area perimeter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) detects penetration or attempted penetration of the protected area barrier and concurrently alarms in the Central Alarm Station and Secondary Alarm Station, and b) remains operable from an uninterruptible power supply in the event of the loss of normal power.
<p>5. Access control points are established to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) control personnel and vehicle access into the protected area. b) detect firearms, explosives, and incendiary devices at the protected area personnel access points. 	<p>Tests, inspections, or combination of tests and inspections of installed systems and equipment at the access control points to the protected area will be performed.</p>	<p>The access control points for the protected area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) are configured to control personnel and vehicle access. b) include detection equipment that is capable of detecting firearms, incendiary devices, and explosives at the protected area personnel access points.
<p>6. An access control system with numbered picture badges is installed for use by individuals who are authorized access to protected areas and vital areas without escort.</p>	<p>A test of the access control system with numbered picture badges will be performed.</p>	<p>The access authorization system with numbered picture badges can identify and authorize protected area and vital area access only to those personnel with unescorted access authorization.</p>
<p>7. Access to vital equipment physical barriers requires passage through the protected area perimeter barrier.</p>	<p>Inspection will be performed to confirm that access to vital equipment physical barriers requires passage through the protected area perimeter barrier.</p>	<p>Vital equipment is located within a protected area such that access to vital equipment physical barriers requires passage through the protected area perimeter barrier.</p>

Vogle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
 COL Application
 Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

TABLE 2.6.9-2 – SITE-SPECIFIC PHYSICAL SECURITY INSPECTIONS, TESTS, ANALYSES AND ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA		
Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, and Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
<p>8.a) Penetrations through the protected area barrier are secured and monitored.</p> <p>b) Unattended openings (such as underground pathways) that intersect the protected area boundary or vital area boundary will be protected by a physical barrier and monitored by intrusion detection equipment or provided surveillance at a frequency sufficient to detect exploitation.</p>	<p>Inspections will be performed of penetrations through the protected area barrier.</p> <p>Inspections will be performed of unattended openings that intersect the protected area boundary or vital area boundary.</p>	<p>Penetrations and openings through the protected area barrier are secured and monitored.</p> <p>Unattended openings (such as underground pathways) that intersect the protected area boundary or vital area boundary are protected by a physical barrier and monitored by intrusion detection equipment or provided surveillance at a frequency sufficient to detect exploitation.</p>
<p>9. Emergency exits through the protected area perimeter are alarmed and secured with locking devices to allow for emergency egress.</p>	<p>Tests, inspections, or a combination of tests and inspections of emergency exits through the protected area perimeter will be performed.</p>	<p>Emergency exits through the protected area perimeter are alarmed and secured by locking devices that allow prompt egress during an emergency.</p>

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
COL Application
Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

TABLE 2.6.12-1 – OFFSITE POWER SYSTEM		
Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, and Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
1. A minimum of one offsite circuit supplies electric power from the transmission network to the interface with the onsite ac power system.	Inspections of the as-built offsite circuit will be performed.	At least one offsite circuit is provided from the transmission switchyard interface to the interface with the onsite ac power system.
2. Each offsite power circuit interfacing with the onsite ac power system is adequately rated to supply assumed loads during normal, abnormal and accident conditions.	Analyses of the offsite power system will be performed to evaluate the as-built ratings of each offsite circuit interfacing with the onsite ac power system against the load assumptions.	A report exists and concludes that each as-built offsite circuit is rated to supply the load assumptions during normal, abnormal and accident conditions.
3. During steady state operation, each offsite power source is capable of supplying required voltage to the interface with the onsite ac power system that will support operation of assumed loads during normal, abnormal and accident conditions.	Analyses of the as-built offsite circuit will be performed to evaluate the capability of each offsite circuit to supply the voltage requirements at the interface with the onsite ac power system.	A report exists and concludes that during steady state operation each as-built offsite circuit is capable of supplying the voltage at the interface with the onsite ac power system that will support operation of assumed loads during normal, abnormal and accident conditions.
4. During steady state operation, each offsite circuit is capable of supplying required frequency to the interface with the onsite ac power system that will support operation of assumed loads during normal, abnormal and accident conditions.	Analyses of the as-built offsite circuit will be performed to evaluate the capability of each offsite circuit to supply the frequency requirements at the interface with the onsite ac power system.	A report exists and concludes that during steady state operation each as-built offsite circuit is capable of supplying the frequency at the interface with onsite ac power system that will support operation of assumed loads during normal, abnormal and accident conditions.
5. The fault current contribution of each offsite circuit is compatible with the interrupting capability of the onsite short circuit interrupting devices.	Analyses of the as-built offsite circuit will be performed to evaluate the fault current contribution of each offsite circuit at the interface with the onsite ac power system.	A report exists and concludes the short circuit contribution of each as-built offsite circuit at the interface with the onsite ac power system is compatible with the interrupting capability of the onsite fault current interrupting devices
6. The reactor coolant pumps continue to receive power from either the main generator or the grid for a minimum of 3 seconds following a turbine trip.	Analyses of the as-built offsite power system will be performed to confirm that power will be available to the reactor coolant pumps for a minimum of 3 seconds following a turbine trip when the buses powering the reactor coolant pumps are aligned to either the UATs or the RATs.	A report exists and concludes that voltage at the high-side of the GSU, and the RATs, does not drop more than 0.15 pu from the pre-trip steady-state voltage for a minimum of 3 seconds following a turbine trip when the buses powering the reactor coolant pumps are aligned to either the UATs or the RATs.

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
 COL Application
 Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

**Table 3.8-1
 Pipe Rupture Hazards Analysis (Sheet 1 of 1)**

Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
<p>Systems, structures, and components (SSCs), that are required to be functional during and following a design basis event shall be protected against or qualified to withstand the dynamic and environmental effects associated with analyses of postulated failures in high and moderate energy piping.</p>	<p>Inspection of the as-designed pipe rupture hazard analysis report will be conducted. The report documents the analyses to determine where protection features are necessary to mitigate the consequence of a pipe break. Pipe break events involving high-energy fluid systems are analyzed for the effects of pipe whip, jet impingement, flooding, room pressurization, and temperature effects. Pipe break events involving moderate-energy fluid systems are analyzed for wetting from spray, flooding, and other environmental effects, as appropriate.</p>	<p>An as-designed pipe rupture hazard analysis report exists and concludes that the analysis performed for high and moderate energy piping confirms the protection of systems, structures, and components required to be functional during and following a design basis event.</p>

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4
 COL Application
 Part 10 – License Conditions and ITAAC

**Table 3.8-2
 Piping Design (Sheet 1 of 1)**

Design Commitment	Inspections, Tests, Analyses	Acceptance Criteria
The ASME Code Section III piping is designed in accordance with ASME Code Section III requirements.	Inspection of ASME Code Design Reports (NCA-3550) and required documents will be conducted for the set of lines chosen to demonstrate compliance.	ASME Code Design Report(s) (NCA-3550) (certified, when required by ASME Code) exist and conclude that the design of the piping for lines chosen to demonstrate all aspects of the piping design complies with the requirements of ASME Code Section III.