

FOIA Resource

From: uid no body [nobody@www.nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 4:09 PM
To: FOIA Resource
Subject: WWW Form Submission

FOIPA REQUEST
Case No.: 2011-0076
Date Rec'd: 2-2-11
Specialist: Cullen
Related Case: _____

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
() on Tuesday, February 01, 2011 at 16:09:29

FirstName: David

LastName: Lochbaum

Company/Affiliation: Union of Concerned Scientists

Address1: PO Box 15316

Address2:

City: Chattanooga

State: TN

Zip: 37415

Country: United_States

Country-Other:

Email: dlochbaum@ucsusa.org

Phone: 423-468-9272

Desc: Document titled "ROP Budget History - Significant Events Impacting ROP Budgets" as listed on page 98 of ADAMS Accession No. ML090680415.

FeeCategory: Educational

MediaType:

FeeCategory_Description:

Expedite_ImminentThreatText:

Expedite_UrgencyToInformText:

Waiver_Purpose: On January 27, 1011, UCS released a brief entitled "The NRC's Reactor Oversight Process: An Assessment of the First Decade." In it, UCS contended that the timing of reactors moving into and out of various columns of the ROP's Action Matrix may be overly dependent on NRC inspection staffing issues. In researching materials as a followup to this report and this finding, UCS came across reference to the document requested and believes it may contain info relevant to this topic.

Waiver_ExtentToExtractAnalyze: UCS's brief contended that the ROP may be constructed to only handle 6 reactors per region not in the Licensee Response column of the action matrix. The document requested seems to contain info on how the ROP's budget was affected by significant events. UCS will review the document and see if it confirms or refutes our finding.

Waiver_SpecificActivityQuals: UCS has a long history of monitoring the ROP and commenting on it. UCS was appointed by the NRC to the FACA panel formed to assess the pilot test of the ROP. UCS has presented views on the ROP to the Commission several times and has also testified at Congressional hearings on the ROP. Our review of the document requested will likely inform our future presentations and may also produce an update to or supplement to our January 2011 brief on the ROP.

Waiver_ImpactPublicUnderstanding: While somewhat difficult to explain how the contents of a document not yet reviewed will affect public's understanding, UCS is commonly perceived as the NGO expert on NRC processes. In addition to increasing awareness about what the NRC does and how it does it with our reports, UCS is frequently contacted by local citizens, activists, reporters, and colleagues at other NGOs with questions like what a recent NRC inspection finding means and how the agency will likely respond. UCS believes the document requested will assist us more fully understand the ROP and thus aid us in explaining this process to the public.

Waiver_NatureOfPublic: The primary audience is the people living in the communities around the 60-some nuclear plants.

Waiver_MeansOfDissemination: UCS is likely to either update our January 2011 brief on the ROP or supplement it. In addition, we will likely include discussion of the information from the requested document in future presentations to the Commission and testimony to the Congress.

Waiver_FreeToPublicOrFee: UCS posts most of our briefs and reports on our website, www.ucsusa.org, where the materials can be viewed/downloaded without charge.

Waiver_PrivateCommericalInterest: None.
