Facility:	NMP)— Date of Examination	: 11/15 22/10
Developed	by: Written - Facility ፟ NRC ☐ // Operating - Facility 🏌 NRC ☐	·
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	TF
-120	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	TF
-120	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	IF
-120	Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	TF
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	NA
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	TP
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	TF
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	TF
-30	Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	TF
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.I; C.2.i; ES-202)	1
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	TF
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	TF
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	TF
-7	 Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204) 	TF
-7	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	TF
-7	Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	TF

[Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.

^{*} Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

Facility:	Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Examination: Nover	nber 2010
Item	Task Description	Initials
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	a b* c#
W R I	 Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. 	203 TF
T	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	V B TF
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	8 2B TE
2. S	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	PBIF
M U L A T	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	& BB TF
O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	& BBIF
3. W / T	 a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form. 	& BBTF
	 b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations 	RBF
	 Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days. 	& BB (F
4.	 Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections. 	2 BB (F
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	V AB TE
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	8 23 TF
R A	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	¥ 35 TF
L	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	WING TE
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	W DATT
c. NRC	Printed Name/Signature Robert I. Brown Jr. Topp FISH June Sell Supervisor	Date. <u>8/16/10</u> <u>8/19/10</u> 8/3//0
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence red * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines	juired.

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

Nm/2

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of II/IS/IO as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of the licensing examination. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. houcus E. MIZENEC	REACTOR OPERATOR NMP UZ	Joseph S. Misem	8/19/10	un Rás D. Miss	ENUX 14/3/10 TELEXCH
2. Mike Meipr 3. DAN HULANT	DIF-FIT Ops Trag	Wichard Mein		J/ 7 2012	43158 12/1/10 EMAIL
4. David Lember	CRO-42 OPS	Davida Lomber		20 February	DNUT 12/2/10 BMAIL 1302 12/2/10 BMAIL
5. Daniel Hulm	CRO 02 095	Mille	7-9-60	State	12/10
6. Mare Con	<u>sno</u>	THE .		my 12 M 68	
7. 1 Day CSFONKUL	<u> </u>		9/9/10/X	was for soil is life	ENVILLIZ/3, TELECON
8. Michael Storms	Seo	Mark	9/16/10	enix for M. S.	TORMS 12/2/10 EMAIL
9. I MCANDEEN	SRO	Signeur_	9-16-19	Kanal Fiel J. M.	ANDROW 12/3/19 EMALL
10. Pard H Kim	Ro	A home of they	10-1300	June 1try	11-23-10
11. JAY LAWRENCE	Rb	Jan Jan	10/13/10 0	in Lame	11/23/10
12. Parid Brackwell	RO	The della-	iolitio D	WW X STORD, B	BOCKWELL 12/3/18 GMAIL
13. MichAEl Surith	ODS TrAINING	Much	10/14/10		maly
14. 3 5hAle	OPS UZ	Busiquelles 1.	10-14-16	Jayan A FORB	SHAFFOR 1943/10 TOLECCH
15. WADE HINCKLEY	OPS TRAINING	Mielel Hernble	10/14/10	H Walletall	1/2/42
NOTES:)	, ,,,	* ***	1 10 -

1. Pre-Examination Nine Mile Point Umt 2

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \(\frac{11\left(1/\sigma)}{\left(1/\sigma)} \). From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE
1. KGUN MADSON	SIM SUPPORT		1/18/10 1/3/10
2. Don Shelhan	SRO	Don Sheehan	6-23-10 Halletter 12-1-10
3. Fred O'Conner.	TT	Jan-O	7/1/10 Jan 2 FR F.O CONNOR 12/3/10 GMAIL
4. LAVENCE J. Martinians.	<u> QPA</u>	My wtimmer.	7/16/10 Som Kor L. MARTINIANG 12/2/10 EMAIL
5. P.M. Amway	sro-shift Munager		7/19/10 Jani grand rol 1. Amount 12/2/10 GMAIC
6. BRIANA HULLVOZ	<u> </u>	- Drawatth	7 /20/10 Dans for 3. Hilli Keek 12/4/16 EMAIL
7. MATTHEW ESTANCEY	R.O.	hitro	7:20:10
8. Colin Sarling	R D,	Colin Dala	7/22/10 C- Sun 12/2/10
9. Bui Secar	Ko	- 1 - 1'	7/27/10 Jan 10 102 B. STOAZ 12/3/10 EMAIL
10. Part Brennan	13.0.	- 1/2m	- 1/30/10 moder 109 8. BEENEW 12/3/10, OMAL
11. KoBent J. Blown		THE STATE OF THE S	11/23/2011
12. GARBUS	RO	Marie O'	8/9/10/10/10
13. B. Kaye	5R0	The last	8/9/10 123/2010
14. John Too Sucher	SRO	THE TOTAL THE PARTY OF THE PART	81910 Jan X- X FOR J. TUCTHAKOR 12/2/10 OMAIL
15. Carl Jones	<i>SRD</i>	Caimfun	6/14/16 Com Junes 11/30/10
NOTES:			
			\smile

1. Pre-Examination Nine Mile Point Unit 2

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of the security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE
1. SAMES G. REW	OPS INSTRUCTOR/TRAINING	Jan 20	1/20/10	Jan 20 11/03/10
	Operations Instructor	112 n	_ 1/20/10	TO 11/29/10
3. Soluir W. Bowles	Exam Developer	Edwin Conton	112/10/	Yames LAFELE BUNCO 12/2/10 TOUCH
4. Gerald Bobks	Sim support	Stold Boll		Mario Bollo 11/22/10
5. STEUL DENNIS	EXAM D'ÉVELOPEA	the state	10/1/09	Your 152 S. AUNUIS 12/2/10 TOIGGEN
6. Errick Chiesson	Analyst 2 Support Center	ERRAD M	1/22/00	Yam 1 FOR E. CHIASSON 12/2/10 EMAIL
7. Jack S. Fush!	Ops UZ Shill Manager	WITCH	1-16-10-	Yam Who I T. KUSSIL 12/3/10 EMAIL
8. Nobert 131/00,0	Ops Treeri	Alid II	1-1840 /	ut 2 pt 1/29/8010
9. Claire majone	Clerical Support	Clarie malone	2210	Lauxe Malore 11/29/10
10 Envisur Beneascho	Sun Support	July 1	2/3/10	5/24nd 11/29/10
11. Albert Never	, sim sulport	albert Reven	2/17/10	Kem No For A. NOVORU 12/10 EMAIL
12. Andrew Muhan	Shift Names	Concel	2/26/6/	Yama - 202 A. Michano istalic EMAIL
13. John MANH	Shift Manager	May	3/10/	Your A & MANILY 1211/19 CHAIL
14. CHRISKRASSANSKI	Sim support	arkansh	4/13/10/	You D TOZ C. KRASSOWSKI 12/2/10 CHAIL
15. Jeff Pedersan	Sim Support	V JUAN	<u>4/13/16</u>	land - FOZ J. ADDIEXX 143/10 EMAIL
NOTES:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	""		

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of IVICIO as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \(\frac{\infty}{\infty} \frac{\infty}{\infty} \frac{\infty}{\infty}.\) From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Chais Andres	RO	Can	10-12-2010	Mul	11-23-10
2. TOOD KELLY	SRU SM	-Menico	ulis)io a	Yand OFAT.	laly 12/2/10 EMAIL
3. ROY VOGEL			11/5/2	1	11/23/15
4. <u>D. AJE</u>	Lnst		11/15/10		11/2 1 /10
5. JOSEPH MILGIURA	INSTRUCTOR	10 th 200 -	11/15/10 2	Jan Word J. Mil	ligat 12/3/10 EMAIL
6. 11. Stoch 6	650	1 das	11/11/18	MN N. 1	11/23/10
7. C. Millard	SUAV TLT	Callelles,	11/16/10	applifich	11.22
8. M. Waldecker	Instructor.	Mitteller 1	11/16/10	Mildelp	_11/30/10_
9. N. Boulware	INSTRUCTOR	CHI San Justine	11/16/10	Jacon 152	TELECON 11/29/10
10. D. ADAMS	INSTRUCTUR		11/8=/12		12/1/10
11. Kepella Karali	- INAMON	way rum	111510	KNIM KMIN	11.29.10
12				<u> </u>	
13		_			
14		<u> </u>			
15	·	_			
NOTES:					



ES-201		Form ES-201-3
	Examination Securi	

1. Pre-Examination

Lacknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC ticensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Not 2010 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge. I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC ficensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _______ From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Mork Santrage	Lestern Tech/ Exampeveloper	Mad Sernlier	2/2/10 M	all Senties	izlalio N/A
2					
3.					
5.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.				V 1/4	
7.	2				
8.					
9.					-
10	and the second s				
11				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12.					
14.					
15.					
NOTES:			Andrewski allegation and a second		THE THEORY AND THE PARTY OF THE

Faci	ity: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Examination: November 2010 Operation	ng Test	Numb	er: 1						
	1. General Criteria									
	1. General Criteria	а	b*	c#						
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	8	B B	TF						
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	82	AB	TF						
C.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	K'	B	TE						
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.									
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	2	736	TF						
	2. Walk-Through Criteria		_							
a .	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initial conditions references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified	L R	Pos Br	TF TF						
	on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	_		<u> </u>						
	3. Simulator Criteria									
	associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with m ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	X	AB	(F						
	Printed Name / Signature	D	ate							
a. b. c. d.		2c 1 2c 2E 29	10							
NO.										

Facilit	y: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Exam: November 2010 Scenario	Numbers:	1/2/3	Or	perating	Test No	o.: 1					
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES					Initials						
					а	b*	c#					
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumenta of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ation may	be out		R	AB	TF					
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.				¥	AB	TF					
3.	φ	AB	TF									
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.											
5.												
6.	R	BB	TF									
7.		R	AB	TF								
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.				R	AB	18					
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenario	evaluated			4	185	TF					
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified the scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-		ario.		R	BB	TF					
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using For (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	n ES-301	1-6		R	AB	TF					
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transi specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and	events		2	AB	TF					
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each creations	ew positi	on.		X	AB	17					
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actu	al Attrib	utes								
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)	8	8	6_	V	RB	TF					
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2	1	1_	X	RB	TE					
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)	4	5	3	1	TAB	TE					
4.	Major transients (1–2)	1	1	1_	1/	PB	TE					
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2	1	2_	1	AB	W					
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0	1	1	1	23	T					
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	2	2	2	14	BB	15					

Facility:	Facility: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Exam: November 2010 Operating Test No.: 1														1		
Α	E							Sc	enari	os							
P P	V E		1			2			3				T M				
L	N				(labeled NRC					C #5)							
Ī	T		REW			CREV			CREV			CREV		A		I M	
C A	T	S		В	S		В							L	1	Ų	
N	Ϋ́	R	A T	0	R	A T	0	S R	A T	В О	S R	A T	В О		_	M(*)	
Т	P	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0	С	Р		R		U
	RX					4								1	1	1	0
	NOR			1						1				2	1	1	1
RO 1	I/C			4,5, 6,8, 9		3,6				6,9				9	4	4	2
	MAJ		148	7		7				8				3	2	2	1
	TS												•	0	0	2	2
	RX		3											1	1	1	0
	NOR	17.7					1_			1				2	1	1	1
RO 2	1/C		5,6,8		1		2,5,6 ,8			6,9				9	4	4	2
	MAJ		7	45 45 1			7		19	8				3	2	2	1
	TS							7.						0	0	2	2
	RX							9 p	2					1	1	1	0
	NOR			1					5					2	1	1	1
RO 3	I/C			4,5, 6,8,	į,				3,4					7	4	4	2
	MAJ			9		2					8 at 12.				_	2	1
	TS			7			e e		8					0	0	2	2
	RX								2					1	1		0
	NOR						1		5					2	1	1	1
RO 4	1/C						2,5,6 ,8		3,4					6	4	4	2
	MAJ	7.22					7		8					2	2	2	1
	TS	100						r e						0	0	2	2

Facility: N	line Mile	Point U	nit 2			Date of Exam: November 2010 Operating Test No.: 1											
Α	E							Sc	enari	os							
P P	V E		1			2			3			_	Т	N	/		
Ĺ	N							- `	ed NR				О Т	١	1		
l l	T		REW			CREV			REV			REV		A		l ∕!	
C A	т	s	A	В	s	A	В	s	A	В	s	POSITION B			ļ	-	
N	Y	R	Т	0	R	Т	0	R	Т	0	R	Т	0		R	/I(*)	u
Т	P E	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0	С	Р				
	RX					4								1	1		0
	NOR								4. 15.	1			yla l	1	1	1	1
RO 5	1/C					3,6				6,9				4	4	4	2
	MAJ					7				8				2	2	2	1
	TS							14						0	0	2	2
	RX	3			4	3			2					3	1	1	0
	NOR	1			1			14-2.5	5					3	1	1	1
SRO-I 1	I/C	4,5,6 ,8,9			2,3,5 ,6,8				3,4					12	4	4	2
	MAJ	7			7			10 m ph 12	8					3	2	2	1
	TS	1,2,5			1,2	1.4			815 - 24 68 FE				*	5	0	2	2
	RX		3		4			2	6					3	1	1	0
	NOR				1		1	1,5						3	1	1	1
SRO-I 2	I/C		5,6,8		2,3,5 ,6,8			3,4,6 ,9						12	4	4	2
	MAJ		7		7	1.4		8				1675		3	2	2	1
	TS	141 1			1,2			6,7				* * /		4	0	2	2

Instructions:

- 1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Nine Mile Point Unit 2	Date of Examination: November 2010					Operating Test No.: 1				
	APPLICANTS									
	SRO			ATC			ВОР			
Competencies	S	SCENARIO			SCENARIO			SCENARIO		
55p5.55.	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3,4,5,6 ,7,8,9	Ail	3,4,6,7,8 ,9	3,5,6,7,8	3,4,6,7	3,4,8	4,5,6,7,8 ,9	1,2,5,6,7 ,8	6,8,9	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	All	Ail	All	3,5,6,7,8	3,4,6,7	2,3,4,5,8	1,4,5,6,7 ,8,9	1,2,5,6,7 ,8	1,6,8,9	
Operate Control Boards (2)				3,5,6,7,8	3,4,6,7	2,3,4,5,8	1,4,5,6,7 ,8,9	1,2,5,6,7 ,8	1,6,8,9	
Communicate and Interact	All	All	All	All	All	All	Ail	Ali	All	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	All	All	All	100						
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	1,2,5	1,2	6,7							

Notes:

- (1) (2) (3) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. Optional for an SRO-U. Only applicable to SROs.

Facility: N	lity: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Date of Exam: November 2010 Exam Level:						SRC	
						Initial		
Item Description							b*	с#
Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.						R	AS	TF
2.								سر
	b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.						AR	16
3.	SRO questions are appropriate	n accordance with Section	n D.2.d of ES	-401		R	AB	TF
4.	 The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office). 							TF
5.	5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the examinations were developed independently; or the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (explain)						&R	TF
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent	than 75 percent	Bank	Modified	New		7-1	
from the bank, at least 10 percent new or modified); enter the actual F question distribution(s) at right.		nt new, and the rest	26%/32%	3%/4%	71%/64%	R	AB	15
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO			Memory C/A			_	4/5	
exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.		45%/20% 55% /80%		R.	B	TF		
References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.						R.	BB	TF
 Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified. 					R.	AB	TF	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.						BB	14
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.					L.	BB	TF	
Printed Name / Signature Date								
a. Author		James G. Reid	1	Jaun X	20		15/.	20/10
b. Facility Reviewer (*) Robert I. Brown Jr.							10	12/10
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) TODD FISH LOCAL							128/10	
d. NRC R	egional Supervisor	5 am Ha	reell /		3 Q		104	24/0
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.								

Fac	ility: NMP2	Date of Exam: [[]] Exam Lev	rel: RO		SRO			
1 40								
l				Initials				
	Ite	а	b	С				
1.	Clean answer sheets	\mathcal{L}	BB	TF				
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented				TF			
3.	Applicants' scores ch (reviewers spot check	R	783	TEN				
4.	 Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail 				TEM			
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified				TEN			
6.	 Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants 				TF			
		Printed Name/Signature		D	ate			
	Grader Facility Reviewer(*)	James G. Reid/	ĵt.	<u> </u>	38/16 -1./2010			
1	c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) Tom HEDIGAN Tom The Standard of the Supervisor (*) d. NRC Supervisor (*) Tom HEDIGAN Tom The Supervisor (*)							
(*)	(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.							