# Official Transcript of Proceedings

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title:

Davis-Besse License Renewal

Public Meeting - Afternoon Session

**Docket Number:** 

(n/a)

Location:

Port Clinton, Ohio

Date:

Thursday, November 4, 2010

Work Order No.:

NRC-524

Pages 1-46

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
· 4	PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING
5	PROCESS FOR DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR STATION
6	LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION
7	+ + + +
8	THURSDAY AFTERNOON SESSION
9	NOVEMBER 4, 2010
10	+ + + +
11	PORT CLINTON, OHIO
12	The Public Meeting convened at Camp Perry Lodging
13	and Conference Center, Camp Perry Military Reservation,
14	1000 Lawrence Road, Port Clinton, Ohio.
15	Present on behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
16	RICHARD BARKLEY
17	DAVID WRONA
18	BRIAN HARRIS
19	PAULA COOPER
20	SCOTT BURNELL
21	ALLAN BAKER
22	APRIL BEHAULT
23	JOHN RUTKOWSKI
24	DAN KIMBLE
25	ADAM WILSON

	11
1	INDEX
2	Welcome and Introductions, Richard Barkley 3
3	Overview of License Renewal Process,
4	Brian Harris 6
5	Overview of Environmental Review Process,
6	Paula Cooper
7	Closing Comments, Davis Wrona 45
8	Adjourn
.9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 Afternoon Session 3 1:30 p.m.4 MR. BARKLEY: My name is Richard Barkley. I'm the meeting facilitator for the meeting this 5 afternoon, and I'm very pleased to see we have a good turnout this afternoon. We'll probably have a larger 7 turnout this evening for this meeting. This is on the Environmental Impact Statement for Davis-Besse's license renewal request. 10 And, what I'm going to do is go over to few 11 ground rules of how we conduct this public meeting. 12 want to get your comments in and everyone gets a fair 13 share of time and the Court Reporter can actually clearly hear your remarks. 15 First of all, if you would like to speak, 16 17 please sign up on the yellow card up at the front. have at least ten people who want to speak at this point 18 in time. So, I will call you in the specific order to 19 20 go through and make sure we cover all the groups that 21 are interested in speaking. To be fair to everyone, please limit your 22 remarks to a reasonable period of time. 23 I normally ask

people to keep their remarks to five minutes. Since we

only have about ten speakers, we can give you a little

24

more latitude than that, and we'll work through and make sure you get a fair share of time but not too much time as we go through.

In the interest of efficiency, I will call three people to come up and speak. That seems to be the most efficient, so the first one will come to the microphone, whichever one you feel comfortable at. You can speak from this microphone or you can speak from the podium. And, after we go through three, I will call another three, so you have some time to prepare and are ready to make your remarks.

I alternate typically between elected officials and members of the public. That gives the elected officials a chance to speak early but also allows the public a chance to speak earlier as well. So, we'll alternate back and forth.

Next item, please silence your cell phones if you have one with you. Thank you very much. Again, please speak clearly into the microphone when you're speaking because we do have a Court Reporter to record these remarks, so we want to make sure we accurately recover all your remarks. If you have it in a written format, you can also turn those in to us as well.

I would ask in the interest of courtesy that you do not interrupt the speaker when you're talking and

speak only when at the microphone. 2 Again, written comments are welcome. If you 3 don't want to come to the microphone, you can turn in 4 written comments, and then the presenters here today 5 will talk to you about how you can formally turn in written comments by mail between now and the latter part 7 of the month. And, finally, if you have any questions or 8 concerns regarding how this meeting is being conducted, 9 please come and see me. I will be glad to work through 10 11 any issues you may have. 12 I would like to introduce the NRC staff in 13 attendance at this meeting. 14 First, Dave Wrona is a Branch Chief from the 15 License Renewal Branch. 16 Secondly, Brian Harris, who is the Safety 17 Project Manager from NLR who will be making a 18 presentation coming up. 19 Paula Cooper, who is the Environmental 20 Project Manager from NLR, and she will also be making a presentation this afternoon. 21 22 Scott Burnell, the Public Affairs Officer 23 from our headquarters office. Allan Barker, the Region III State Liaison 24 25 Officer.

1 April Behault. She's a biologist with our Division of License Renewal. 2 John Rutkowski, he's the Senior Resident 3 4 Inspector at Davis-Besse, and Dave Kimble who I believe 5 will be replacing him in the near term. And, finally, Adam Wilson, who is the 6 resident inspector. 7 At this point in time, I would like to turn 8 9 the meeting over to Brian Harris to make his presentation. 10 MR. HARRIS: Good afternoon. 11 My name is Brian Harris, and I would like to thank you all for 1.2 coming out to this public information session today. 1.3 1.4 I'm NRC's Project Manager in charge of the Davis-Besse license renewal application for Davis-Besse. 15 This meeting serves two purposes: To explain 16 1.7 the license renewal process and to gather your comments on the environmental scoping. This slide points out two 1.8 parts of the meeting where you can get involved. We'll 19 have a question and answer session on the license 20 21 renewal process, and later we'll officially record your environmental scoping comments. 22 23 Next slide, please. So, our first topic on 24 the agenda is the license renewal process overview. 25 I said earlier, we're here to help you understand the

license renewal process. I'll describe the safety review, and Ms. Paula Cooper will do the environmental review.

So, before I get into the discussion of the license renewal process, I would like to take a minute to tell you about NRC, what we do and what our mission is. We protect the public health and safety, promote common defense and security and protect the environment from radioactive materials that are used for civilian purposes.

We accomplish this mission by establishing rules and regulations, conducting inspections, issuing enforcement actions, assessing licensing performance, and evaluating operating experience for nuclear plants across the country and around the globe.

So, one of the ways that we protect public health and safety is through our ongoing oversight of nuclear power plants. The NRC's power plant oversight covers topics such as emergency planning, security and current safety performance every day through our resident inspectors and additional staff at our Regional Headquarters Offices.

For example, the NRC continues to evaluate how First Energy is addressing the emergency preparedness issue at Davis-Besse. The Agency issues a

## NEAL R. GROSS

report on Monday of our inspection activity to this point. And, because we address security emergency planning and current performance every day, we do not reevaluate these issues in license renewal.

Another example of ongoing oversight is the recent NRC mandated inspection of Davis-Besse's reactor vessel head which reveal cracks in nozzles on the head. While the cracks do not affect safe plant operation, the NRC oversaw First Energy's repairs of the head and ensured that the plant did everything necessary to keep the plant operating safely before Davis-Besse started this summer.

The NRC will continue to oversee these sorts of inspection, maintenance and repair activities for every operating plant regardless of whether the plant has entered a new license period.

So, the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station was originally licensed on April 22, 1977. The Atomic Energy Act authorizes the NRC to grant a 40-year operating license to nuclear power reactors. The plant has been operating for 32 years under its 40-year license, and Congress decided on the 40-year term for economic considerations and antitrust factors, not on safety or technical limitations. If the license is renewed, it will then be valid until April 2037.

License renewal involves two parallel reviews: A safety review and an environmental review. These reviews evaluate separate aspects of the license renewal application. The safety review focuses on the aging of components and structures that the NRC deems important to plant safety.

The staff's main objective is to ensure the applicant can adequately manage the effects of aging on the plant and will document results of our safety review in our safety evaluation report, or SER.

For the environmental review, the staff considers, evaluates and describes the environmental impacts of operating the plant for an additional 20 years. The objective of the review is to determine if the environmental impacts of the license renewal are so great that license renewal would not become a reasonable option. The staff prepares an Environmental Impact Statement, or EIS, to document its environmental review.

So, this diagram lays out the two review paths as well as three other inputs to the Commission's decision on whether or not to renew an operating license, and one of their considerations is an independent review of the staff's safety report by the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, or ACRS.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	The ACRS is a group of scientists and nuclear
. 2,	safety experts who provide professional advice to the
3	Commission. Now, after reviewing the application, the
4	safety evaluation report and inspection findings, the
5	ACRS reports their findings and recommendations directly
. 6	to the Commission.
7	Hearings may also be conducted if interested
8	stakeholders submit concerns or contentions, and their
9	request for a hearing is granted. The Commission
10	considers the outcome of the hearing process in its
11	decision on whether or not to issue a new operating
12	license.
13	As part of the environmental review, the
14	staff consults with local, state, federal and travel
15	officials.
16	Now, I'm going to describe the license
17	renewal process in a little more detail with the safety
18	review, and Ms. Cooper will describe the environmental
19	review later.
20	So, the regulations governing a license
21	renewal are based on two principles: The first
22	principle is that the current regulations ensure that
23	the current licensing basis for all operating plants
24	provide and maintain an acceptable level of safety.

The second principle is that the plant's

current licensing basis must be maintained during the renewal term. In other words, the same rule that applies under the current license will apply to a renewed license. In addition, a renewed license will include conditions that must be met to ensure aging is adequately managed so that the plant's current licensing basis is maintained during the extended period of operation.

The staff performs rigorous reviews of the applicant's application and supporting documents, and this review includes evaluating new and existing programs and surveillance activities to determine whether the effects of aging concerning plant structures and components will be adequately monitored and managed.

The safety review also includes on site audits to verify the applicant's technical basis and to verify and confirm that the application accurately describes the applicant's aging management programs and activities. The staff documents the basis and conclusions of its review in a public safety evaluation report.

In addition, a team of specialized inspectors travel to the site to verify that aging management programs are being implemented, modified or planned consistent with the license renewal application.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.3

The Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards 1 2 independently reviews the license renewal application 3 and the staff's safety evaluation report before making a recommendation to the Commission regarding a proposed 5 action to a renewed operating license. So, that concludes the safety review, and 6 7 I'll now hand it over to Ms. Paula Cooper. 8 MS. COOPER: Good afternoon. My name is Paula Cooper. I'm the NRC's Environmental Project 9 10 Manager for Davis-Besse, and as Brian Harris described 11 previously in the safety review, I'm going to do the 12 bottom leg of the diagram and do the environmental 13 review. 14 The environmental review follows guidelines 15 set by the National Environmental Policy Act, also 16 referred to as NEPA. NEPA provides the basic architectural review format for federal environmental 17 reviews requiring a systematic approach and advising the 1.8 potential impact of major federal action. 19 At the NRC, the license renewal application 20 21 is classified as a major federal action. 22 environmental review considers the impact of the 23 proposed action and any mitigation for those impacts be considered to be significant. We consider alternatives 24

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

to proposed action, even the impacts associated with not

issuing a renewed license, referred to as a no action alternative.

As you can see, you have several opportunities to participate in the license renewal process. A Federal Register notice and press release will be issued for most of these opportunities. The environmental review begins today with the scoping activities that reveal important issues that need to be analyzed. During the scoping, we want your comments on the scope of the environmental review.

The public input is the second half of our meeting today and will be discussed later in this session.

After studying the range of environmental impact, we will publish a draft Environmental Impact Statement for public comment. At that time, we will come back and have another public meeting and issue and request your comments on that draft. Once we collect your comments on that draft, we will then issue our final Environmental Impact Statement.

The opportunity for a hearing permits those who are directly affected by the licensing qualification. A hearing will be conducted by the panel of administrative law judges who are called the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, also referred to as the

## NEAL R. GROSS

2.0

ASLB.

2.4

This is the important milestone to the license renewal application process. The notice of opportunity for hearing was published on October 25th, followed by the notice of intent for hearing, the EIS, and conduct scoping on October 29th.

The period for making comments or contentions for a hearing closes on December 27th. If you have comments regarding the environmental review, if you would like to see a copy of today's meeting, you will have the opportunity to do so until December 27th as well.

In summary, before deciding to issue a renewed operating license, the Commission considers the following factors:

The staff safety review which is documented in the safety evaluation report, the staff environmental review which is documented in the Environmental Impact Statement, NRC's findings and conclusions from the sections, and the recommendation by the committee on reactor safeguards, these hearings.

If a hearing is conducted, the absence of that process is also taken into consideration. The typical time frame for renewing a renewed license is 22 months for review, provided that no hearing is

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	involved. If a hearing is involved, it could take
2	30 months or more.
3	We have made available hard copies of the
4	license renewal application at the (indiscernible)
5	public library and the Toledo Lucas County Public
6	Library. When the draft Environmental Impact Statement
7	is available, it will also be available at those
8	libraries as well.
9	Several documents have been previously
10	gathered and can be found on the CD located on the table
11	here to my right. They include the generic
12	Environmental Impact Statement, the license renewal
13	application, the three Federal Registers that have been
14	published to date and a copy of the frequently-asked
15	questions for license renewal. You can also access all
16	these documents on the NRC website. The LRA can be
17	found on the website as well.
18	For information relating to the scoping
19	comments, you can review the regulations on site and
20	additionally to keep informed on aspects related to the
21	NRC at the website.
22	This concludes this presentation, and I'll
23	turn it back over to the facilitator.
24	Thank you.
- 25	MR. BARKLEY: Thank you. At this point in

1	Itime, we would like to ask the audience if there are any
2	questions regarding the license renewal process. This
3	is not an opportunity for you to provide comments on the
4	Environmental Impact Statement but, rather, an
5	opportunity for you to ask questions regarding this
6	process of the staff. If you have any questions, please
7	go ahead and move to the center microphone and staff
8	will answer them; and if not, we'll move into the
9	comment period.
10	(No Response)
11	MR. BARKLEY: No questions? Okay, how
12	about we move right into the comment period then.
13	MS. COOPER: All right, previously, we
14	had presented the license renewal process, and now we're
15	going on to the second stage of the presentation and
16	that's the environmental scoping.
17	This completes the previous presentation, and
18	I would like to highlight that we're on the second step
19	followed by the public scoping meeting.
20	Scoping meetings started on October 29th with
21,	the notice of intent to prepare an EIS and to conduct
22	scoping was published.
23	In general, we were looking for sources of
24	information about the environmental impact of continued
25	operations at Davis-Besse that we should consider when

we prepare our EIS.

You can assist us in that process by telling us, for example, what aspect of your local community we should focus on, what local environment and economic aspect that the NRC should examine during our environmental review and what reasonable alternatives are most appropriate for the area.

These are just some examples of the input we're look for, and they represent the kind of information we are seeking through the environmental scoping process. Your comments today should be helpful in providing insight of this nature.

Through the environmental review, the NRC has established a team of staff and contractors who are experts in various fields and disciplines. This slide gives you an idea of the various areas we're looking at during the environmental review. They include terrestrial and aquatic policies, environmental justice, hydrology and radiation.

For license renewal review, NRC's environmental staff looks at a wide range of impact. We consult with various federal, state and local officials as well as leaders in Indian nations, gathering pertinent information from these sources ensuring that it is considered in our analysis. Examples include the

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

Environmental Protection Agency, US Fish and Wildlife and State Historic Preservation Offices. 2 3 This slide represents the different methods 4 for the public's scoping comments. It shows how to 5 mail, fax and submit through the internet on 6 regulations. The scoping comments are reviewed by December 27, 2010. In general, we are looking for 7 information about the environmental impact from continued operation of Davis-Besse. 9 We know you are the experts in your community 10 so your comments today will ensure a thorough review of 11 the environmental, social and economic issues the NRC 12 13 should examine during our environmental review and what reasonable alternatives are most appropriate for this 14 15 region. 16 You are the primacy contacts for the NRC for 17 the license renewals for Davis-Besse, the Project 18 Manager, Brian Harris, and myself. If you have any questions about today's meeting, we will be around to 19 20 answer questions following the meeting. If you have questions after you leave today, you're more than 21 22 welcome to contact any one of us. 23 This concludes my presentation. MR. BARKLEY: Okay, thank you. 24 The first three people I would like to call 25

1	are Mark Stahl of the Ottawa City Commission, and then
2	Jere Witt of Ottawa County, and Fred Petersen of the
3	Ottawa County EMA.
4	If you would like to speak from this
5	microphone, that would be fine. Go ahead and lead
6	off.
7	MR. STAHL: Thanks, Mark.
8	Good afternoon. My name is Mark Stahl, and
9	I'm the President of Ottawa County Commissioners.
10	Ottawa County is successful because we surround
11	ourselves with successful community partners, and
12	Davis-Besse is one of those community partners, who we
13	look very favorably upon.
14	You will hear from some the other agencies,
15	the nonprofits, the contributions that you make back to
16	our community helps us tremendously, and we greatly
17	appreciate that.
18	We also as Commissioners appreciate our NRC
19	partnership. We have had conversations with you, I
20	know, through the years, and we appreciate those
21	unbiased conversations that we've had in regard to
22	Davis-Besse.
23	So, I will let these two gentlemen fill you
24	in, but as President of the the Ottawa County
25	Commissioners, I'm here to offer our support to you,

Davis-Besse, in your application process. 2 Thank you. Thanks, Mark. 3 MR. WITT: I appreciate you putting Mark before Fred and I because he's our boss. 5 I'm Jere Witt. Many of you know me. I'm County Administrator for Ottawa County. I've been with the 6 7 County for 32 years, and ironically when I looked at the 8 dates on there, I started with the County on July 20, 1978, and I believe the plant began operating in on July 131, 1978. So, we're pretty close on our birth dates 10 11 there. I've been involved, as I said earlier, many 12 years with Davis-Besse and especially within the last 13 five to ten years. I was part of the restart overview 14 panel that worked for two years on the head issues. 15 Ι got my nuclear degree during that two years. 16 17 appreciated, and I really got a better feeling for Davis-Besse and the nuclear industry. 18 19 I currently serve on the Company Nuclear 20 Review Board to ensure that Davis-Besse continues to 21 operate safely, and there's a bunch of nuclear experts on there and then there's me, but it's easy for me a use 2.2 23 their expertise to see if Davis-Besse operates safely, 24 and I'm happy to say that every time we've met, we have concluded that Davis-Besse does continue to operate 25

safely.

I attend and participate in the NRC quarterly exit meetings of Davis-Besse, and those have been another way for Ottawa County to keep informed on what's going on with Davis-Besse.

I receive many, many, many more than I really want to see daily e-mails from the plant, but the most important one is the morning e-mail that I get every morning that tells the current status of the plant and the issues that are going on, and it's an easy way for me to keep up daily. I'm kept informed by plant management. I think I get calls in the middle of the night any time there is an issue, and we appreciate that because it's showing their concern that Ottawa County is able to keep inform.

As Mark mentioned, we work closely with the NRC. We've been meeting with them quarterly just to bring us up to speed, hear what's going on at Davis-Besse, and get the NRC's side of that.

I actually have a vested interest in the plant. I own property that abuts the plant, and it's very important to me that they keep that plant operating safely. I have a cottage there that my -- when the head incident happened, my grandchildren and their mother and dad were living there, and my wife kept asking me if I

knew what I was talking about, that it was safe, and I was assuring her that I did.

We continue to watch closely to see that the plant does operate safely. I have personally witnessed the transformation of the site personnel in the new safety culture, and they continue to maintain that culture, and I think that is one of the most important things that any nuclear power plant has to do.

I believe that the people who work at Davis-Besse and have witnessed how they challenge each other for safe plant operation. I don't think that was necessarily always true years ago, but today they do, in fact, and at many of my visits out there, I have witnessed how they challenge each other.

Many of the Davis-Besse employees live in the community and are important assets to Ottawa County. I think it's very important that the corporate structure that's been put in place to oversee the operations of Davis-Besse continue, and I think it's a good structure.

Davis-Besse has been a great asset to the community and are very involved in Ottawa County. We in Ottawa County will continue to watch and make sure the plant operates safely, but through my past involvement, I have no concerns for the safety of Davis-Besse.

We look forward to a license renewal. Ottawa

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1.1

1	County wants Davis-Besse to stay, and welcome them in
2	the future and urge the NRC to move forward with this
3	license renewal.
4	Thank you very much.
5	MR. BARKLEY: Thank you Jere.
6	Fred?
7	MR. PETERSEN: Thank you. My name is Fred
8	Petersen. I'm the Director of the Ottawa County
9	Emergency Management Agency. I've been involved in the
10	EMA for 16 years and ten months.
11	I want to talk specifically about the Ottawa
12	County EMA's good working relationship with Davis-Besse
13	Power Station. Largely because of that relationship we
14	provide a lot of benefits.
15	All of our plans and procedures are thorough
16	and well maintained and are regularly exercised. Those
17	exercises are conducted specifically on the radiological
18	side biannually. So, every two years, FEMA comes in and
19	evaluates our performance plan to keep us in compliance.
20	Over the years that I have been associated
21	with the agency and even prior to that, we have had no
22	significant issue on our exercises, and they perform
23	very well.
24	Our emergency operation center and our risk
25	management agency are generally better equipped, more

well maintained and larger and larger staffed than most counties of our size throughout the State of Ohio. And, that is because of our partner.

We feel like we're very well prepared for anything that happens here in the county, specifically radiological rescue emergency preparedness. But, some of the things that we do on the radiological side that really benefit us are lot of spill-over benefits on preparedness work review at Davis-Besse. Those would include, we have a great relationship with our fire, EMS, law enforcement, private response orientation in the county, and that's because we regularly exercise training and work with them.

So, all the events that have happened in the county, we have been very successful with our response, and a lot of that is because of everything we do with Davis-Besse, and how it helps with our relationship.

An example of that would be the tornado this past June. Everyone that was involved had some sort of role in the radiological response program. A lot of the response procedures that we use for Davis-Besse are very applicable to some of the things that we had to do like HAZMAT.

The tangible things that we have is because of Davis-Besse. One of the things that is very

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

2.2

1	noticeable in the county is we have county-wide siren
2	system. A large part of that is at the Davis-Besse
3	plant, and is available to us for any number of outdoor
4	notifications that need to be relayed; specifically,
5	weather, very, very important to the community.
6	We also do a brochure calendar for our
7	particular State of Ohio, Lucas County, that goes to all
8	of our residents and provides them a plethora of
9	information about all types of emergency response and
10	what they can do in response to tornadoes, floods,
11	HAZMAT and radiological emergency.
12	So, really, all this adds up to the fact that
13	our relationship in Ottawa County with Davis-Besse is a
14	benefit to the residents of Ottawa County.
15	Thank you.
16	MR. BARKLEY: Thank you.
17	The next three people I would like to call
18	are: Chris Galvin of the United Way; followed by Jackie
19	VanTress of OPEIU, Local 19; and following, Kimberly
20	Kaufman of the Black Swamp Bird Observatory.
21	Thank you.
2.2	MS. GALVIN: I'm Chris Galvin, Director of
23	the United Way in Ottawa County. The Davis-Besse
24	Nuclear Power Station and on a larger scale the First
25	Energy Corporation are a tremendous community partner to

1	the local United Way. Since 1993, First Energy has
2	contributed more than 13.5 Million Dollars to United Way
3	of Greater Toledo which serves Ottawa, Wood and Lucas
4	Counties. 3.1 Million came from corporate gifts, 10.4
5	Million from its incredibly generous employees.
6	First Energy has also earned United Way's
7	Pillar Award each year since at least 1992. Our data
8	doesn't go back any further than that. It seems they
9	consistently give more than a hundred thousand dollars
10	each year to the Greater Toledo campaign.
11	Not only does this community consistently get
12	solid financial support from First Energy and its
13	employees, but executive leadership has also
14	demonstrated exceptional personal commitment to our
15	work.
16	In 1993, Don Saunders chaired the local
17	United Way campaign, raising 12.5 Million Dollars.
18	In 2005, Jim Murray, now retired, but
19	formerly First Energy President of Ohio Operations,
20	chaired the local United Way campaign. Under Mr.
21	Murray's leadership, the campaign raised 13.3 Million
22	Dollars. We also presented Mr. Murray with our
23	Prestigious Caring Award in 2006 for demonstrating value
24	and concern for our community through vision,
25	leadership, service and commitment to the people of our

community.

In 2009, Trent Smith, Regional President of
Toledo Edison First Energy, became chairman of the
United Way of Greater Toledo's Board of Trustees and has
drawn to a close on his second year of service. Mr.
Smith has gone above and beyond the level of service,
dedication and commitment we typically see from board
chairs. He has become involved in virtually ever level
of our work, digging in and helping find real solutions.

In addition to these executive leaders, numerous upper-level management have supported United Way by using their voice and relationships to help secure financial and volunteer support as well as advocating on behalf of the United Way and the Northwest Ohio Region.

In addition to Don Saunders, Jim Murray and Trent Smith, some of the stand-out employees include Debbie Paul, Mike Adams, and Mel Lomack. Additionally, in the 1990's Jennifer Schreiber served five years as the chair of our community impact cabinet, the highest level of community impact volunteers who decide how money is allocated in this community. Also joining her on the cabinet was Jenny Ammadon. Both are now retired.

First Energy also demonstrates incredible commitment to the communities through sponsorships and/

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	or participation in programs and events.
2	In 1993 and 1994, Davis-Besse sponsored our
3	loaned executive program. Jim Ferris, now retired from
4	Davis-Besse, was the loaned executive in those
<b>.</b> 5	two years. First Energy has also sponsored loaned
6	executives over the years, from 1996 continuing for
7	11 years.
8	Employees consistently contribute to and
9.	participate in Stamp Out Hunger and/or Scouting for Food
10	efforts each year. They were a major sponsor of our
11	Family Food Fund in 2008.
12	First Energy was the sponsor of our Community
13	Building event in 2005, and was the initiator and
14	sponsor of the Veterans Appreciation Event in 2006,
15	which continued until 2009.
16	Davis-Besse and First Energy are a valued
17	community partner, both philanthropically and
18	economically. They have been incredible contributors to
19	our community over the past 20 years, and we only hope
20	that this will continue for at least another 20 years.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. BARKLEY: Thank you, Chris.
23	Jackie?
24	MS. VANTRESS: Good afternoon. (My name is
25	Jackie VanTress, and I am representing OPEIU, Local 19.

"OPEIU" stands for Office and Professional Employees International Union, and we represent the clerical support staff at Davis-Besse. 3 On behalf of the Union, I would like to voice 4 our support in this public meeting. A renewal of this 5 6 license will not only promote and maintain employment 7 for our members who live and shop and send their children to school in that area, but it will also assure 8 9 the delivery of reliable electric service to our 10 customers. 11 Research has shown that nuclear power is clean, is efficient and produces more energy at a lower 12 13 cost than any other means of generation. So, it is important that we keep this plant in operation. 14 15 Local 19 is proud of the safety record and 16 operations at Davis-Besse as well as the work we do here and the service we provide to the public. OPEIU, Local 17 18 19, would like to continue to be a part of the team for 19 at least the next 20 years. 20 Thank you. 21 Thank you, Jackie. MR. BARKLEY: 22 MS. KAUFMAN: Good afternoon everybody. 23 name is Kimberly Kaufman, and I'm the Executive Director 24 of Black Swamp Bird Observatory, and while I understand

the seriousness nature of this hearing, I'm actually

really pleased to have this opportunity to address this group.

My organization represents a somewhat unique marriage, if you will, between a conservation organization and a nuclear power plant. The general public and, of course, all of you in the room are certainly familiar with the fact that the nuclear power plant resides in this part of Ottawa County, but very few are actually aware that the power plant co-exists with the thriving marsh that just sort of forms a hub around the power plant.

Our organization has been conducting migratory bird regions in this area for more than 20 years, and we really take pride in this marriage, and we work hard like a good spouse to maintain it.

The marsh represents a critical stop-over habitat for millions of migratory birds. And, in fact, many the world's leading bird experts consider this marsh to be one of the most critical areas of stop-over habitat in the entire western hemisphere.

The observatory in these 20 years have had the full support of First Energy and Davis-Besse to conduct this critical research and, in fact, during a very exciting tumultus time in this country's history, we were very afraid that our consistent effort meaning

that seven days a week, spring and fall, during song bird migration, our research staff was out at that marsh in front of the power tank conducting this research seven days a week for more than 20 years.

When the tragedy occurred on 9/11, we were very concerned for, of course, the human tragedy, but also concerned that our research would be interrupted.

And, in fact, Davis-Besse really fully understood the importance of this research, and the importance of conserving the integrity of the data set, and we didn't miss a single day.

And, perhaps nothing else, no other event in our history or recent history speaks more to how much they have said they understand the critical role that they play in local environmental and conservation issues than that event.

So, based on our long-standing relationship, it is our opinion the Davis-Besse and First Energy have not only worked to fully understand and fully support the environmental issues for this local community, but have also fully embraced the role that they play in all of these issues.

So, it is opinion of the Black Swamp Bird
Observatory that the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Plant is
a critical player in bird conservation in the entire

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701