AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIF	ICATION OF CONTRA	CT BPA NO.	1. CONTRA	CT ID CODE	PAGE 1	OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REC	Q. NO.	5. PROJECT (	NO. (If applicable)	
M006	See Block 16C	FSM-11-015; FFS: 110	0550			
6. ISSUED BY CODE	3100	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other t	than Item 6)	cop	3100	
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Div. of Contracts Attn: Valerie Whipple Mail Stop TWB-01-B10M Washington, DC 20555	·	U.S. Nuclear Regula Div. of Contracts Mail Stop TWB-01-B Washington, DC 205	310M			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State	and ZIP Code)		(X) 9A. AMENDME	NT OF SOLICITATIO	N NO.	
SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE		•	9B. DATED (S	EE ITEM 11)		
6220 CULEBRA RD			10A. MODIFICA NRC-41-09	TION OF CONTRAC	CT/ORDER NO.	
SAN ANTONIO TX 782385100	SAN ANTONIO TX 782385100			SEE ITEM 13)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CODE 007936842	FACILITY CODE		X 09-30-20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11. THIS ITEM	ONLY APPLIES TO A	MENDMENTS OF S	OLICITATIONS	3		
·	e of this amendment you desi	re to change an offer alreadion and this amendment, a	dy submitted, such and is received prior N: 31X0200 FFS	to the opening	e made	
	THE CONTRACT/ORDI					
(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify a	uthority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH	IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE COI	NTRACT ORDER NO. IN	TEM 10A.		
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FA		ANGES (such as changes in p	paying office, appropriation	date, etc.)	·	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURS	UANT TO AUTHORITY OF:		•			
X Mutual Agreement of Both Parties	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>			·	
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is	required to sign this docume	nt and return	copies to the issui	ng office.		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UC	F section headings, including solicitation	/contract subject matter where feasit	ble.)			
See Page 2 of the modification						
			•			
DUNS: 007936842 NAICS: 541620 F	PSC: R421					
	and in Hom BA co 408 as because the	and making unahanced and to the	forms and affect			
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document reference	eo in item an or 104, as neretorore char	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTR		Type or print)		
15A, NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)  B. D. Kalmbach, Executive Director, Cont.	nacte:	Valerie M. Whipple Contracting Officer	OFFICER (	, ype or pixit)		
R.B. Kalmbach, Executive Director, Cont	TACTS  15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	1 h	<del></del>	16C. DATE SIGNE	D
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	12/21/2010	BY \\	of Colutracting Officer)		10/22	lin.
		1			110100	40

SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE

OMOO!

The purpose of this modification is (1) to revise the Statement of Work to increase the level of effort in response to the large number of NRC review comments (attached); (2) increase the level of effort of the task order by 252 hours from 2,813 hours to 3,065 hours, (3) increase the total task order ceiling by \$26,639, from \$347,683 to \$374,322, and (4) to provide incremental funding in the amount of \$20,000, which increases the task order obligated amount from \$347,613 to \$367,613.

Accordingly, the following change is hereby made:

- 1. Section A CONSIDERATION AND OBLIGATION—COST PLUS FIXED FEE (JUN 1988), Paragraphs (a) and (c) are hereby deleted in their entirety and replaced with the following:
  - (a) The total estimated cost to the Government for full performance of this contract is \$374,322, of which the sum of \$352,200 represents the estimated reimbursable costs, and of which \$22,122 represents the fixed fee
  - (c) The amount currently obligated by the Government with respect to this contract is \$367,613, of which the sum of \$345,491 represents the estimated reimbursable costs, and of which \$22,122 represents the fixed fee.
- 2. Section 7. LEVEL OF EFFORT of the Statement of Work is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

#### 7.0 LEVEL OF EFFORT

The total level of effort for all tasks under this SOW is as follows:

Professional hours:

2.609

Non-professional hours:

456

Total:

3.065

A summary of obligations under this order, from date of award through this modification, is given below:

FY'09 obligation amount: \$164,455 FY'10 obligation amount: \$138,158 FY'11 obligation amount: \$30,000

Cumulative total of NRC obligations to date: \$327,613

This modification obligates \$20,000 in FY'11 funds

All other terms and conditions of this task order remain unchanged.

PROJECT TITLE:

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A FINAL

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

**DOCUMENT** 

TASK TITLE:

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A FINAL SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR THE

NICHOLS RANCH IN-SITU URANIUM RECOVERY PROJECT

JOB CODE:

F1109

TASK AREA 1:

**Environmental Impact Statement Preparation** 

TASK ORDER NUMBER:

007

**B&R NUMBER:** 

2011-55-34-4-195

NRC ISSUING OFFICE:

FSME

PROJECT OFFICER (PO):

Edna Knox-Davin (301) 415-6577

**TECHNICAL** 

PROJECT MANAGER (TPM):

Patricia Swain (301) 415-5405

FEE RECOVERABLE:

YES J00813

TAC NUMBER: DOCKET NUMBER:

040-09067

## 1.0 BACKGROUND

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has prepared a preliminary final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) as a part of its review of an application dated November 30, 2007, from Uranerz Energy Corporation (Uranerz) for a new Source Materials License, under the provisions of 10 CFR Part 40. If authorized, the new license would allow Uranerz to conduct in-situ recovery (ISR) activities for uranium extraction at Nichols Ranch and Hank (a satellite unit to Nichols Ranch), located in Campbell and Johnson Counties, in the State of Wyoming. Activities involved in the proposed set up and operation of the Nichols Ranch project would include the construction of surface and subsurface infrastructures; operation of well fields to recover the uranium from injected solutions; aquifer restoration activities to restore the groundwater quality in the production zone after uranium recovery is completed within a well field; and decommissioning of surface and subsurface infrastructure and reclaiming the surface after uranium production activities at the site have been completed.

Given that the NRC expects to receive a large number of new Source Materials License applications (involving the use of the ISR process) in a relatively short period of time, the NRC prepared a "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for Uranium In-Situ Leach Milling Facilities" (GEIS) to support an efficient and consistent approach of reviewing site-specific license applications for ISR facilities. The NRC staff used the GEIS to identify and evaluate generic environmental impacts associated with the construction, operation, aquifer restoration, and decommissioning of the Nichols Ranch project, and as a starting point for its SEIS. Where the conclusions presented in the GEIS could be adopted for the Nichols Ranch project (i.e., whether they are within the bounds established in the GEIS), the SEIS provides justification for adopting the GEIS evaluation. For conclusions presented in the GEIS that could not be adopted, site-specific features and potential impacts are evaluated in the Nichols Ranch site-specific SEIS.

#### 2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this task order is to obtain assistance to complete the environmental review through development of a final SEIS as outlined below under Section 4.0 – Scope of Work and

Deliverables.

## 3.0 STAFFING

The Contractor shall ensure that the technical staff performing under this task order possess the necessary experience and expertise in the technical areas assigned to them. The NRC reserves the right to approve the Task Leader and the individual technical staff member assigned to each task from the necessary technical disciplines. The Contractor's Task Leader shall have in-depth expertise in at least one of the issues covered by the SEIS and a general understanding of the range of issues covered by NRC NEPA reviews as outlined in NRC NUREG-1748. The Contractor's Task Leader shall have extensive experience in the technical and regulatory aspects necessary for evaluating the environmental impacts of the construction. operation, and/or decommissioning of industrial facilities that require reviews under NEPA such as uranium recovery facilities, and should have expertise in methods used to mitigate the impacts on the environment. The Contractor's technical staff shall have expert experience to include greater than 5 years experience in conducting reviews in the specific technical areas assigned and shall have an appropriate combination of education, training, and experience in areas required to complete an SEIS including, but not limited to, health physics, ecology, cultural resources, hydrology, geology, risk assessment, air quality, socio-economics, and cost-benefit analysis as necessary to complete the SEIS. Additionally, the Contractor's technical staff shall have a clear understanding of the depth of review generally required by the NRC and specifically required by the type of activity proposed by the applicant for the disciplines they represent. The Contractor's technical staff shall also have experience presenting technical information and be able to provide written and oral testimony at mandatory or adjudicatory hearings on the proposed actions as needed.

The NRC considers the following personnel to be key for this effort:

- 1. Project Manager
- 2. Task Leader
- 3. Radiation Health Physicist
- 5. Hydrologist/Hydrogeologist

## 4.0 SCOPE OF WORK AND DELIVERABLES

The Contractor shall revise NRC's DSEIS by addressing stakeholder comments. This would result in a final SEIS, which the NRC would use to support its licensing decision related to the issuance of a new Source Materials License to Uranerz, as described in Section 1.0 of this statement of work (SOW). The work conducted under this task order shall be subject to the project management requirements described in Section 5.0 below. The work required is described in detail below.

## 4.1 TASK 1: REVIEW INFORMATION

The Contractor shall review the NRC's draft SEIS as well as the NRC's RAIs; Uranerz's RAI responses, Environmental Report (ER), and Technical Report (TR)/Safety Analysis Report (SAR); information from NRC's consultations with other federal, state, and local agencies; and any trip report(s) prepared for visits to the Nichols Ranch and Hank sites in order to gain an understanding of the project and to be prepared to respond to comments on the draft SEIS.

Task 1 shall begin immediately upon award of the contract and shall close with the

completion of Task 3.

#### 4.2 TASK 2: REPORT - PUBLIC/STAKEHOLDER COMMENT DISPOSITION REPORT

The Contractor shall provide a report of public and other stakeholder comments on the NRC's draft SEIS and responses to those comments within 20 business days after receipt of the comments from the NRC TPM. This task schedule may be extended for a large number of comments (e.g., 1 business day extension for every ten comments over forty with a maximum 30 day extension). Within 5 business days of receipt of the comments from NRC, a meeting with NRC staff will be held to discuss the necessary level of effort and scope needed to respond to comments. The report of comments and responses shall be an appendix to the final SEIS.

Task 2 shall begin immediately upon receipt of comments from the NRC TPM and shall be completed concurrent with Task 3.

## 4.2 TASK 3: PREPARE AND SUBMIT FINAL SEIS

The scope of work under this task shall involve the revision of the draft SEIS provided by the NRC staff. The Contractor shall review all public, other stakeholder, and NRC comments on the NRC's draft SEIS. The Contractor shall revise the text of the document to address these comments in preparing a final SEIS. The NRC TPM shall also provide any updated documentation regarding Section 7 consultations under the Endangered Species Act and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act that may have transpired since the draft SEIS was issued for public comment.

In addition to the requirements in Section 12.0, the Contractor shall submit one single-sided copy that meets the minimum publication standards provided by the NRC (NUREG-0650, Preparing NUREG-Series Publications). The Contractor shall submit the final SEIS to the NRC no later than 10 business days after receipt of OGC and DWMEP concurrence comments on the preliminary final SEIS.

Subtask 3(a): Identification of GEIS Criteria

The Contractor shall review the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for In-Situ Leach Uranium Milling Facilities to identify the criteria for making a resource area-specific significance determination (i.e., SMALL, MODERATE, LARGE) and apply those criteria to the resource-area specific determinations in the Nichols Ranch ISR Project draft SEIS. The discussion of the impacts from implementing the proposed action shall be either revised or clarified as appropriate to clearly articulate whether the potential impacts at the Nichols Ranch site fall within the bounds of that evaluated within the GEIS. The significance determinations shall be incorporated into the final SEIS discussions.

Subtask 3(b): Revise SEIS in Response to OGC Comments

The Contractor shall revise the internal preliminary final SEIS based on comments received from the Office of the General Counsel. This work shall include drafting responses to OGC comments on the preliminary final SEIS including Appendix B, NRC's response to public comments received on the draft SEIS and providing technical support in the development of responses as requested.

#### 5.0 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

## Maintain Effective Communication with NRC Staff

The contractor shall maintain effective communication with the NRC TPM to help coordinate and integrate final SEIS preparation with NRC's technical and decision-making activities. For the duration of this task order, the contractor shall participate in a weekly telephone call with the NRC's TPM to discuss the progress to date. The contractor's Project Manager and NRC TPM may also participate in a quarterly progress meeting either in Rockville, MD or at the contractor's place of business, as may be requested by the NRC TPM.

## For All Communications

The contractor shall coordinate all necessary NRC communication for the specific task through the NRC's TPM or designee (as may be temporarily established via electronic or hard-copy written communication from the NRC TPM).

## NRC Comments

The contractor shall resolve NRC comments through the NRC TPM when making revisions to any deliverable under each task in this task description.

#### Quality Assurance for the Project

The contractor shall implement and maintain quality assurance requirements for the project in accordance with Section 14.0 below.

## 6.0 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

The Contractor shall document the preparation of the final SEIS and maintain appropriate records. An inventory list or copies of such records shall be provided upon request by the NRC TPM.

The final SEIS shall provide sufficient detail for members of the public to understand the basis of the conclusions reached. The text shall be supported by appropriate tables and graphics. Each deliverable provided by the Contractor shall include directly, or be accompanied by, enough technical detail so that the NRC may confirm the Contractor's methodologies and calculations.

## 7.0 LEVEL OF EFFORT

The total level of effort for all tasks under this SOW is 0.54 FTE.

## 7.1 TASK 1: REVIEW INFORMATION

The estimated level of effort for Task 1 is approximately 0.2 FTE.

#### 7.2 TASK 2: PUBLIC/STAKEHOLDER COMMENT DISPOSITION REPORT

The estimated level of effort for Task 2 is approximately 0.18 FTE.

#### 7.3 TASK 3: PREPARE AND SUBMIT FINAL SEIS

The estimated level of effort for Task 3 is approximately 0.16 FTE.

TASK 3(a): Identification of GEIS Criteria

The estimated level of effort for Task 3(a) is approximately 0.04 FTE.

TASK 3(b): Respond to OGC Internal Review Comments

The CNWRA shall support NRC staff in responding to a second round of approximately 600 internal review comments from OGC. The estimated level of effort for Task 3(b) is approximately 0.08 FTE.

#### 8.0 MEETINGS AND TRAVEL

Upon request by the NRC TPM, the Contractor's Task Leader shall meet with the NRC TPM at the NRC offices in Rockville, MD for a Planning Meeting and to discuss comments received on the draft SEIS. The NRC TPM may choose to periodically meet with the Contractor in the Contractor's offices to review progress and provide input into the project, as necessary. Alternatively, these meetings may be held by telephone/teleconference at the discretion of the NRC TPM. Meetings potentially requiring Contractor travel are summarized below.

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Trips</u>	<u>Days</u>	Contractor Staff
Planning Meeting	Rockville, MD	1	3	1-3
Quarterly Progress Meeting	Rockville, MD	1	1	1
Meeting on draft SEIS Comments	Rockville, MD	1	3	1-3

#### 9.0 NRC FURNISHED MATERIAL

The NRC TPM will provide the following materials to the Contractor at the beginning of Task 1, if available, in electronic format unless otherwise specified:

- NRC's draft SEIS for Nichols Ranch:
- Public, other stakeholder and agency comments received on the NRC's draft SEIS;
- Accidents discussion from Draft or Final NRC Safety Evaluation Report (if not already incorporated into the draft SEIS);
- Applicant's license application, which includes the Technical Report/Safety Analysis Report, Environmental Report, and any accompanying appendices;
- Copies of related documents from NRC's docket file such as RAIs and RAI responses, and site trip reports;
- Updated information gained via consultations with other agencies since the draft SEIS was issued for public comment; and
- NUREG-0650, Preparing NUREG-Series Publications.

The NRC TPM will continue to provide related documents from the docket file throughout the completion of this task order.

## 10.0 CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED MATERIAL

No materials are expected to be acquired.

#### 11.0 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The period of performance of this task order is on or about October 1, 2009 and will expire on December 31, 2010. The deliverables and schedule for work conducted under this task order are summarized in Appendix A to this description.

#### 12.0 REPORTS

Five hard copies of the final version of the SEIS, including the comment disposition report shall be forwarded to the NRC TPM, as well as an electronic version (via electronic mail with electronic attachments) consistent with the word processor in use at the NRC (currently Microsoft Word 2003) or in portable document format (i.e., \*.pdf), as appropriate. Additionally, one hard copy shall be sent to the NRC Contracting Officer (CO) as soon as the documents are required to be available.

#### 13.0 TECHNICAL/PROJECT DIRECTION

Irene Yu is the designated NRC TPM and Edna Knox-Davin is the NRC PO. The NRC PO is the focal point for all task order-related activities. All program funding actions are initiated by the NRC PO who submits the requests to the Division of Contracts (DC) for processing. All proposed work scope or schedule changes must be submitted through the NRC PO for DC.

Technical direction may be provided by the NRC TPM to the contractor during the duration of this task order. Technical direction shall not constitute new assignments of work or changes of such a nature as to justify an adjustment in cost or period of performance. Directions, if any, for changes in scope of work, cost, or period of performance will be issued by the NRC CO.

#### 14.0 STANDARD WORK PRACTICES

For all final reports under this agreement, the Contractor shall assure that an independent review of numerical computations, mathematical equations and derivations is performed by qualified personnel other than the original author(s) of the reports and other than the person who performed the original calculation. If the Contractor proposes to check less than 100 percent of all computations, mathematical equations, and derivations in the report(s) (such as may be the case when there is a large number of routine, repetitive calculations), the Contractor must first obtain written approval from the NRC PO.

In addition, all reports, including those which do not contain numerical analyses must be reviewed by the Contractor's management and approved with two signatures. One signature must be from the Contractor's Task Leader, and one signature must be from a manager at a higher level than the Contractor's Task Leader. Informal submittals/deliverables must be reviewed and forwarded from at least the Task Leader level.

When revisions for reports are issued, a section must be included in the revised report to document dates of, reasons for, and scope of all changes made since the issuance of the first Contractor's approved report.

NRC has the option of appointing a Peer Group to review, comment, and recommend changes to the draft and final reports. The Contractor may recommend candidates for the Peer Group for

approval by the NRC TPM.

In the occasion of dissent in the content of the final report, the dissenting party shall have the option of stating its viewpoints and findings. Such statements may appear in the report as decided by the NRC.

This section does not intend to create the development of a formal quality assurance program nor does it require formal quality assurance program documentation or review.

# APPENDIX A SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

The schedule of deliverables for Tasks 2 and 3 of this task description is outlined below.

TASK-	DELIVERABLE	SCHEDULE (business days)		
2	Public/Stakeholder Comment Disposition Report	Concurrent with completion of Task 3		
3	Final SEIS	10 days after OGC and DWMEP concurrence on preliminary final		
3(a)	Identification of GEIS Criteria. The contractor shall provide an example writeup of a discussion prior to incorporating write-ups into the final SEIS	Five days after task initiation.		
3(b)	Assigned Sections	Seven days after subtask initiation.		
3(b)	Preliminary Final SEIS	Five days after receipt of NRC staff responses to internal review comments		

## SUMMARY OF NEGOTIATION/DETERMINATION OF PRICE OR COST REASONABLENESS

1. Contractor Name:

Southwest Research Institute

Contact: Address:

Paul Maldonado 6220 Culebra Road

P.O. Drawer 28510

San Antonio, TX 78228-0510

Telephone Number:

(210) 522-6836

Fax Number:

(210) 522-5155

2. Contract/Mod Number: NRC-41-09-011

Solicitation Number: RFP-41-09-011 Amendment Number: N/A RFPA Number: FSM-11-015 T007 FFS: 110550 (\$20,000)

3. Type of Organization: \_\_\_educational \_\_\_government \_\_\_profit

X non-profit \_\_\_small business \_\_\_large business

- 4. Type of Contract: Cost Plus Fixed Fee
- 5. Project Title or Description of Supplies/Services: "TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A FINAL SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR THE NICHOLS RANCH IN-SITU URANIUM RECOVERY PROJECT"

Period of Performance: 10/01/2009 through 12/31/2010 (extended via Mod 4)

Place of Performance: San Antonio, TX Original Task Order Amount: \$164,455

Revised Task Order Amount (as of Mod 4): \$347,683

New Task Order Amount: \$374,322

6. Background: At the time of award, it was assumed that no more than 40 comments would be received for each supplemental environmental impact statement (SEIS) under Task Orders 7, 8 and 9, or 120 comments for all three SEISs. CNWRA staff are working with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff to respond to over 1,800 public comments on the three SEIS. Many of these comments are complex, especially several challenging comments from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The current contract language states that, if a larger number of comments or particularly complex comments are received, the NRC technical project manager (TPM) would be contacted to discuss potential changes in the scope and schedule and to obtain the necessary written approval from the NRC Contracting Officer. As a result, CNWRA submitted a proposal dated April 23, 2010 to reflect the additional effort needed to complete the SEIS for Nichols Ranch. Modification No. 2 was executed to increase the task order amount by \$96,166, from \$164,455 to \$260,621. On July 15, 2010, NRC revise the Statement of Work to add Subtask 3—identification of GEIS criterion. As a result. the level of effort and task order ceiling was increased by \$41,992, from \$260,621 to \$302,613 on Modification No. 003. Due to the number of comments received from NRC OGC on the SEIS deliverable submitted by CNWRA, an increase in the level of effort was necessary to allow CNWRA to complete the deliverable. Specifically, the increase in level of effort is necessary to allow CNWRA to (1) draft responses to OGC's comments on the preliminary final SEIS including Appendix B, (2) draft responses to public comments received on this draft SEIS and (3) provide technical support in the development of additional responses, as required. Modification No. 4 increased the task order amount to \$347,683. Additional LOE was required because of additional OGC comments, therefore this Modification 6 increases the task order ceiling to \$374,322

7. Determination of Price/Cost Reasonableness: A breakdown of the increased cost is provided below.

Category	Total
Direct Labor Burden Overhead G&A CFC Total Estimated Cost Fee	\$10,419 \$ 5,105 \$ 5,434 \$ 5.278 \$ 403 \$26,639 \$ 0
Total Est. Cost & Fee	\$26,639

**Direct Labor**: The proposed direct labor rates are in line with the rates approved at the time of award and the rates proposed under other CNWRA task orders with NRC. The project officer evaluated the labor categories, rates and labor mix and found them appropriate for the work to be performed.

**Burden** (Fringe Benefits): Burden is proposed at a rate of 49% of direct labor. This is the currently approved rate by DCAA and no exception was taken.

**Overhead/G&A:** Overhead/G&A is proposed at 69.0% for CNWRA staff. These rates, which are applied to a base of direct labor and burden, are the most recent rate approved by DCAA.

**CFC:** CFC is proposed at 2.593% for CNWRA staff. This rate, which is applied to a base of direct labor and burden, is the most recent rate approved by DCAA. For the reasons presented above, the rate is considered acceptable.

Fee: No additional fee was proposed for this increase

Certification: To the best of my knowledge, the information set forth above is complete and accurate. Award of this task order modification is recommended.

Valerie M. Whipple, Contracting Officer	Date
Contract Management Branch No. 3	