

PMNorthAnna3COLPEmails Resource

From: Patel, Chandu
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 11:22 AM
To: 'rlbaker@bechtel.com'; 'gquinn@bechtel.com'; 'dakemp@bechtel.com';
'askolhek@bechtel.com'; 'twilli2@entergy.com'; 'aschneider@enercon.com';
'hickste@earthlink.net'; 'na3-col-rai@mhi.co.jp'; 'na3-rai-dominion@mnes-us.com'; 'Regina
Borsh'
Cc: NorthAnna3COL Resource; Takacs, Michael; Chalk, Wayne
Subject: RAI 5163 LTR 52 for North Anna 3 COLA
Attachments: RAI 5163 LTR 52.doc

By letter dated November 26, 2007, Dominion Virginia Power (Dominion) submitted a Combined License Application for North Anna, Unit 3, pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Regulations*, Part 52. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this COLA.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review and a Request for Additional Information (RAI), is enclosed. To support the review schedule, Dominion is requested to respond within 45 days of the date of this request. If the RAI response involves changes to the application documentation, Dominion is requested to include the associated revised documentation with the response

Sincerely,
Chandu Patel

Hearing Identifier: NorthAnna3_Public_EX
Email Number: 891

Mail Envelope Properties (8C658E9029C91D4D90C6960EF59FC0D61AA664F849)

Subject: RAI 5163 LTR 52 for North Anna 3 COLA
Sent Date: 12/3/2010 11:21:44 AM
Received Date: 12/3/2010 11:21:45 AM
From: Patel, Chandu

Created By: Chandu.Patel@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"NorthAnna3COL Resource" <NorthAnna3COL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Takacs, Michael" <Michael.Takacs@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Chalk, Wayne" <Wayne.Chalk@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"rlbaker@bechtel.com" <rlbaker@bechtel.com>
Tracking Status: None
"gquinn@bechtel.com" <gquinn@bechtel.com>
Tracking Status: None
"dakemp@bechtel.com" <dakemp@bechtel.com>
Tracking Status: None
"askolhek@bechtel.com" <askolhek@bechtel.com>
Tracking Status: None
"twilli2@entergy.com" <twilli2@entergy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"aschneider@enercon.com" <aschneider@enercon.com>
Tracking Status: None
"hickste@earthlink.net" <hickste@earthlink.net>
Tracking Status: None
"na3-col-rai@mhi.co.jp" <na3-col-rai@mhi.co.jp>
Tracking Status: None
"na3-rai-dominion@mnes-us.com" <na3-rai-dominion@mnes-us.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Regina Borsh" <regina.borsh@dom.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	770	12/3/2010 11:21:45 AM
RAI 5163 LTR 52.doc	30714	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

RAI Letter No. 52
12/3/2010
North Anna, Unit 3
Dominion
Docket No. 52-017
SRP Section: 13.07 - Fitness for Duty (Future SRP Section)
Application Section: 13.7.1

QUESTIONS for Integrated Security Coordination and Policy Branch (NSIR/DSP/ISCPB)
Request for Additional Information No. 5163

13.07-1

Under 10 CFR 52.79(a)(44), the Applicant's FSAR must contain a description of the fitness for duty (FFD) program required by 10 CFR Part 26 and its implementation. The Applicant intends to update its FFD program for the construction phase to comply with NEI 06-06. If future revisions to NEI 06-06 are endorsed by the NRC, does the Applicant intend to update its FFD program for the construction phase to comply with certain clarifications, additions, and exceptions in these future, endorsed revisions, as necessary?"

13.07-2

Under 10 CFR 52.79(a)(44), the Applicant's FSAR must contain a description of the fitness for duty (FFD) program required by 10 CFR Part 26 and its implementation. Describe how the COL Application, FSAR, Part 2, Table 13.4-201, item 20, (Sheet 13-58), comports with 10 CFR 26, Sections 26.3 and 26.4, and guidance in NRC's letter to the Nuclear Energy Institute dated December 2, 2009, entitled "Status of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Review and Endorsement of NEI 06-06, 'Fitness for Duty Program Guidance for New Nuclear Power Plant Construction Sites'"? In particular, provide site-specific information to clearly and sufficiently describe your operational FFD program, in terms of the scope and level of detail to allow as reasonable assurance of finding of acceptability. For example, will North Anna base its Section 26.4(a) and (b) FFD program for Behavioral Observation Program and drug and alcohol testing on an operational unit program or develop its own specific program? Please describe substantial differences, if any.