

PMTurkeyCOLPEm Resource

From: Kugler, Andrew
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 2:33 PM
To: Halpin, Mike
Cc: Mulkey, Cindy; Seiler, Ann; Sturtevant, Toni; Terry, Tomeka; TurkeyCOL Resource; Bauer, Laurel
Subject: RE: EIS for Turkey Point 6&7

Mike,

I'll talk to the folks at ENP. I think the answer as to whether to work one EIS will depend on a number of factors. First, if they conclude an EIS isn't necessary for their action, then I assume they'll prefer to work that separately from our EIS. If they determine they do need an EIS, then it would make sense to work all of the reviews as one EIS, unless one agency's schedule is too conflicted with another's (e.g., if ENP would be planning to complete their EIS well ahead of ours and they don't feel they can wait, or vice versa).

But I'll discuss it with them and we'll see where it takes us. Thanks for the heads up on those letters. I hadn't seen them.

Andrew Kugler
Sr. Environmental Project Manager
NRC/NRO/RAP2
301-415-2828

From: Halpin, Mike [<mailto:Mike.Halpin@dep.state.fl.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 1:00 PM
To: Kugler, Andrew
Cc: Mulkey, Cindy; Seiler, Ann; Sturtevant, Toni
Subject: EIS for Turkey Point 6&7

Hi Andy –

It was very nice to meet you in Homestead a couple of weeks ago.

I wanted to pass along two letters which I received (see links below) and ask a related question.

http://publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us/Siting/Outgoing/FPL_Turkey_Point/Units_6_7_%20Info/Project_Correspondence/NPS_LTR_%206-8-10.pdf

http://publicfiles.dep.state.fl.us/Siting/Outgoing/FPL_Turkey_Point/Units_6_7_%20Info/Project_Correspondence/NPS_Ltr_FPL%20Response.pdf

Within the National Park Service (NPS) letter, there is an indication that an EIS may be necessary to be conducted/issued. It appears that (should the NPS prepare such an EIS) the ACOE would be involved in the evaluation, since they clearly have an interest.

Since the NRC will ultimately be issuing an EIS (which “seemingly” ought to include the review of impacts related to the transmission line corridors), and since the “land exchange” reference within the NPS letter is an integral part of the Turkey Point Units 6&7 nuclear unit proposal (it represents one of the proposed transmission line corridors), and since inputs from both the ACOE and the Department of Interior (the NPS) are already necessary for NRC's EIS, wouldn't it make sense for NRC to coordinate a single review, so as to eliminate duplicative efforts?

I recognize that “common sense” and “regulations” aren't always synonymous, but am hopeful that there exists an opportunity to eliminate overlap.

Thanks for your help and I look forward to seeing you again soon.

Sincerely,

Mike

Michael P. Halpin, P.E.
Siting Coordination Office
Florida DEP

www.power-plant-siting.com

www.transmission-siting.com

The Department of Environmental Protection values your feedback as a customer. DEP Secretary Michael W. Sole is committed to continuously assessing and improving the level and quality of services provided to you. Please take a few minutes to comment on the quality of service you received. Simply click on [this link to the DEP Customer Survey](#). Thank you in advance for completing the survey.

Hearing Identifier: TurkeyPoint_COL_Public
Email Number: 87

Mail Envelope Properties (94A2A4408AC65F42AC084527534CF4160F24ABF44A)

Subject: RE: EIS for Turkey Point 6&7
Sent Date: 6/30/2010 2:32:41 PM
Received Date: 6/30/2010 2:33:26 PM
From: Kugler, Andrew

Created By: Andrew.Kugler@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Mulkey, Cindy" <Cindy.Mulkey@dep.state.fl.us>
Tracking Status: None
"Seiler, Ann" <Ann.Seiler@dep.state.fl.us>
Tracking Status: None
"Sturtevant, Toni" <Toni.Sturtevant@dep.state.fl.us>
Tracking Status: None
"Terry, Tomeka" <Tomeka.Terry@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"TurkeyCOL Resource" <TurkeyCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Bauer, Laurel" <Laurel.Bauer@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Halpin, Mike" <Mike.Halpin@dep.state.fl.us>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3280	6/30/2010 2:33:26 PM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: