

Park, James

From: Kock, Andrea, *F5ME*
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 3:53 PM
To: VonTill, Bill; Cohen, Stephen; Park, James; Ridge, Christianne; Wu, Irene
Subject: RE: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS
Attachments: Talking Points for Telecon with govts.doc

I agree with Bill. Jim: I suggest a phone call with PWB to explain that the calls were targeted to those that we had told we were doing EAs and those that were most impacted by the change. We are putting the email I sent to Lost Creek in ADAMS as public since IOst Creek quoted it so we can provide her with the ML number. We should also communicated that we are issuing a press release Thursday that will be public and this information will be in the GEIS that will be public Friday. Other points would be that this is in response to public concerns on the GEIS and we think that this will provide for a more thorough analysis. Talking points for this change that we should use are included in the GEIS communications plan. Talking points used for the calls with other governments are attached to this email

Jim: Can you arrange a phone call and provide me with talking points that you will use. We will share these with Bill and Steve. As I think we need to make this call this week, I will not be able to participate unless it is between meetings for me. Send me the time you plan on having the call and I'll let you know if I can call in. Christianne= we should not send the email indicating that we are making the email to lost creek public until we have coordinated further since there are several emails flying around and we want to send a consistent message

From: Bill VonTill, *F5ME*
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 2:00 PM
To: Stephen Cohen; James Park; Christianne Ridge; Andrea Kock
Subject: FW: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS

I suggest that we coordinate before any more e-mails go out to Shannon. As these questions pertain to the roll out of the SEIS, EPAD should have the lead and an e-mail should be sent from EPAD, or phone call.

Thanks

From: Shannon Anderson [mailto:sanderson@powderriverbasin.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:14 AM
To: Stephen Cohen; James Park
Cc: Bill VonTill
Subject: RE: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS

Thanks to all – I appreciate the follow up on this. I am still somewhat surprised that there was not anything in writing given the long block quote in Ur-Energy's press release, but perhaps they just had speedy typists transcribing the calls....perhaps there was an email that you don't know about. Anyway, I do have a couple of questions about the calls: 1) were they noticed as public meetings on the NRC website? and 2) were minutes prepared? If so, could you please give me the ADAMS accession number so I may review them? Thanks in advance for your response, and I look forward to reading the final GEIS.

Best, Shannon

Shannon Anderson
Powder River Basin Resource Council
934 N. Main St., Sheridan, WY 82801
307-672-5809 cell (b)(6)
sanderson@powderriverbasin.org

G/10

From: Stephen Cohen [mailto:Stephen.Cohen@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 5:21 AM
To: Shannon Anderson; James Park
Cc: Bill VonTill
Subject: RE: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS

Shannon:

As far as I know, we did not send any letters to industry. We rolled out the new strategy in a series of telephone calls with industry, regulators and other interested agencies.

Stephen J. Cohen
Team Leader - New Facility Licensing
Office of Federal and State Materials
and Environmental Management Programs
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mailstop T8F5
Washington, DC 20555
301-415-7182
(b)(6)
stephen.cohen@nrc.gov

Ex. 6

From: Shannon Anderson [mailto:sanderson@powderriverbasin.org]
Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 10:47 AM
To: James Park
Cc: Bill VonTill; Stephen Cohen
Subject: RE: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS

Thanks, Jim. I have copied the Ur-Energy press release below that mentions the letter from NRC. I appreciate you looking into this. Best, Shannon

Shannon Anderson
Powder River Basin Resource Council
934 N. Main St., Sheridan, WY 82801
307-672-5809 cell (b)(6)
sanderson@powderriverbasin.org

Ex. 6

From: Ur Energy Inc [mailto:info@ur-energyusa.com]
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 10:31 AM
To: sanderson@powderriverbasin.org
Subject: Nuclear Regulatory Commission Adds New Schedule Requirements for Early In Situ R

[cid:image001.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]

[cid:image002.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]

[cid:image003.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhgckvZKeaeho7p/>>

[cid:image004.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhhckvZKeaeho7p/>>

[cid:image005.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhlcckvZKeaeho7p/>>

[cid:image006.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhjckvZKeaeho7p/>>

[cid:image007.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhkckvZKeaeho7p/>>

[cid:image008.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhlcckvZKeaeho7p/>>

[cid:image009.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]

[cid:image010.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]

[cid:image011.jpg@01C9E362.6AF71E50]

May 6, 2009:<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhmckvZKeaeho7p/>> Ur-Energy Inc.-Q1 2009 Update: Lost Creek Production Schedule Remains Late 2010

April 30, 2009:<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhncckvZKeaeho7p/>> Ur-Energy Quarterly Webcast Scheduled

February 17, 2008:<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQBhucckvZKeaeho7p/>>Q4 2008 Summary Ur-Energy On Track for 2010 Production - Webcast Tomorrow

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Adds New Schedule Requirements for Early In Situ Recovery Applications

Denver, Colorado (Marketwire – May 29, 2009) Ur-Energy Inc. (TSX:URE, NYSE Amex:URG) (Ur-Energy or the Company) has received new guidance from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) concerning the NRC's schedule for the first three pending applications for In Situ Recovery (ISR) operations which includes the Company's application for its Lost Creek Project. The Company expects that this new guidance will have minimal effect on timing for the start of construction and commencement of production at its Lost Creek Project.

The pending ISR applications with the NRC have all been tied to the ISR Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS), which was originally scheduled for publication in January 2009 and is now scheduled for formal release in early June 2009. The intent of the NRC is to use the ISR GEIS to more efficiently process the

many applications that the NRC has been expecting to receive for new ISR operations. Originally, the NRC planned on tiering site-specific Environmental Assessments (EA) for each application off the ISR GEIS and expected that licenses could be issued within a few months of publication of the GEIS. The NRC has now determined that it will complete a site-specific Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for each of the ISR applications rather than an EA. The additional requirements for completing an SEIS for each application has necessitated a new NRC schedule which calls for completion of an SEIS for each of the pending applications by April 2010 and probable issuance of licenses by June 2010.

Official NRC correspondence stated, "The schedule adjustments are being made since NRC plans, to more clearly meet the requirement for completing an EIS for new ISR facilities and in response to public concerns, to issue supplemental environmental impact statements (SEIS) rather than environmental assessments (EA), for new in situ uranium recovery applications. I'd like to stress that while this expected revision is a change to the original strategy and there are some impacts to the schedule, the overall strategy provides for more certainty in the schedule for completion. Specifically, the revision eliminates the potential for unanticipated schedule delays due to having to complete an EIS when significant effects are determined from an EA and provides a more solid basis for decision-making."

Bill Boberg, President & CEO stated, "While this decision of the NRC extends our timeline for the receipt of our license we recognize that the NRC is being cautious and trying to ensure that all licenses granted for new ISR operations in the US will be based on solid engineering, scientific, environmental and legal foundations. We are taking steps to mitigate the potential for extending our production timeframe beyond that set for Q4 2010 and have determined that we should be able to be in production within only a few months beyond our current plans, keeping us essentially within our original budget and enabling us to reach production with funds currently on hand. Our Q4 2010 production timeframe was already based on commencing construction in the spring of 2010 and not Q4 2009 because of the potential for severe winter weather at the site. As a result, this schedule change of the NRC only affects the timing of our license issuance but does not significantly change the timing for the start of construction or commencement of production."

About Ur-Energy

Ur-Energy is a uranium exploration and development company currently completing mine planning and permitting activities to bring its Lost Creek Wyoming uranium deposit into production while also planning and permitting a two-million-pounds-per-year in situ uranium processing facility. Ur-Energy engages in the identification, acquisition and exploration of uranium properties in both Canada and the United States. Shares of Ur-Energy trade on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol "URE" and on the NYSE Amex under the symbol "URG." Ur-Energy's corporate office is located in Littleton, Colorado and its registered office is in Ottawa, Ontario. Ur-Energy's website is www.ur-energy.com.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

Dani Wright, Manager, Investor/Public Relations 1-720-981-4588, ext. 242
1-866-981-4588
dani.wright@ur-energyusa.com<mailto:%20dani.wright@ur-energyusa.com>

Bill Boberg, CEO and President
1-720-981-4588, ext. 223
1-866-981-4588
bill.boberg@ur-energyusa.com<mailto:%20bill.boberg@ur-energyusa.com>

This release may contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable securities laws regarding events or conditions that may occur in the future (e.g. production rates, timetables and methods at Lost Creek; sufficiency of cash to fund capital requirements; receipt of (and related timing of) an NRC Source Material License and WDEQ Permit to Mine and other necessary permits related to Lost Creek; procurement and construction plans, and the Lost Creek production timeline, etc.) and are based on current expectations that, while considered reasonable by management at this time, inherently involve a number of significant business, economic and competitive risks, uncertainties and contingencies. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, capital and other

- costs varying significantly from estimates; failure to establish estimated resources and reserves; the grade and recovery of ore which is mined varying from estimates; capital and other costs varying significantly from estimates; production rates, methods and amounts varying from estimates; delays in obtaining or failures to obtain required governmental, environmental or other project approvals; inflation; changes in exchange rates; fluctuations in commodity prices; delays in development and other factors. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements contained herein are based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of management as of the date hereof and Ur-Energy disclaims any intent or obligation to update them or revise them to reflect any change in circumstances or in management's beliefs, expectations or opinions that occur in the future.

Home<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQB1pcckvZKeaeho7p/>> |
Profile<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQB1hcckvZKeaeho7p/>> |
Investors<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQB1icckvZKeaeho7p/>> |
News<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQB1kcckvZKeaeho7p/>> |
Projects<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQB1jcckvZKeaeho7p/>> |
Contact<<http://urenergyusa.c.topica.com/maam7MnabQB1lcckvZKeaeho7p/>>

© 2009 Ur-Energy Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Unsubscribe<http://topica.com/f/ unsub.html/aaap_u4vmna11hait7qhfdlj5_a9n9gmzbs112t85wmn4l> | Update
Profile<<http://topica.com/f/?b1duQU.cckvZK:c2FuZGVy>> |
Confirm<<http://topica.com/f/?b1duQU.cckvZK:c2FuZGVy.c>>

[cid:image014.gif@01C9E362.6AF71E50]<<http://www.topica.com/?p=T3FOOTER>>

From: James Park [mailto:James.Park@nrc.gov]
Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2009 12:55 PM
To: 'Shannon Anderson'
Cc: Bill VonTill; Stephen Cohen
Subject: RE: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS

Hi Shannon,

I am not aware that NRC sent a letter to that effect. I've copied Bill von Till and Steve Cohen of NRC's Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch to see if they can shed any further light on your request.

Thanks,
Jim

From: Shannon Anderson [mailto:sanderson@powderriverbasin.org]
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2009 4:42 PM
To: James Park
Subject: RE: Question regarding Uranium ISL GEIS

Hi Mr. Park,

I hope all is well with you. I just read in a press release by Ur-Energy that NRC plans to issue site-specific EISs for new ISL facilities as opposed to EAs as originally planned. I was wondering if I could get a copy of the letter that was sent to industry that notified them of this action. I looked on the NRC website and I didn't see it posted.

Thank you,
Shannon

Shannon Anderson
Powder River Basin Resource Council
934 N. Main St., Sheridan, WY 82801
307-672-5809 cell (b)(6)
sanderson@powderriverbasin.org

ST. 6

(b)(5)

(2 pages)