

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Cooperating Agency Report to the Council on Environmental Quality

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

I. Environmental Impact Statements:

<p>EIS TITLE</p> <p><i>(Insert Title of each EIS for which your agency published a NOI during the fiscal year)</i></p>	<p>COOPERATING AGENCIES (CA)</p> <p><i>(Insert names of agencies that were invited and agreed to participate in the EIS process as CA or that requested CA status and reached agreement with the lead agency to participate in the EIS process as CA)</i></p>	<p>CA STATUS NOT ESTABLISHED OR ENDED</p> <p><i>(Insert the name(s) of any agency(ies) that: declined in writing –required for Federal agencies, see 40 CFR 1501.6(c) – or verbally to participate as a Cooperating Agency; requested Cooperating Agency status but was unable to reach agreement to participate as a Cooperating Agency; or that assumed Cooperating Agency status which was subsequently ended <u>and</u> the reason Cooperating Agency status was not established or was ended – see 5 listed reasons below)</i></p>	<p>STATUS OF EIS</p> <p><i>(Insert the following dates as mm/dd/yyyy)</i></p>
<p>Environmental Impact Statement for Combined Licenses for Turkey Point, Units 6 and 7</p>	<p>NRC is in the process of formally requesting U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (MOU 9/12/2008) and National Park Service (Everglades National Park unit) participation as Cooperating Agencies.</p>		<p>NOI: 06/15/2010 DEIS NOA: 10/2011 Target FEIS NOA: 10/2012 Target ROD: TBD</p>
<p>Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Supplement Regarding Hope Creek</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Reason 5</p>	<p>NOI: 10/23/2009 DSEIS NOA: 10/21/2010 FSEIS NOA: 03/15/2011 ROD: 02/03/2012</p>
<p>Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Supplement Regarding Salem Units 1 and 2</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Reason 5</p>	<p>NOI: 10/23/2009 DSEIS NOA: 10/21/2010 FSEIS NOA: 03/31/2011 ROD: 02/03/2012</p>

Cooperating Agency Report to the Council on Environmental Quality

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Supplement Regarding Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2	N/A	Reason 5	NOI: 01/27/2010 DSEIS NOA: 02/18/2011 FSEIS NOA: 10/21/2011 ROD: 05/25/2012
Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Supplement Regarding Columbia Generating Station	N/A	Reason 5	NOI: 03/11/2010 DSEIS NOA: 01/20/2011 FSEIS NOA: 08/19/2011 ROD: 07/18/2012
Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Supplement Regarding Seabrook Station Unit 1	N/A	Reason 5	NOI: 07/20/2010 DSEIS NOA: 05/13/2011 FSEIS NOA: 01/07/2012 ROD: 12/03/2012
International Isotopes Fluorine Products, Inc. License Application Proposing Construction, Operation, and Decommissioning of a Fluorine Extraction Process and Depleted Uranium De-Conversion Facility	N/A	Reason 5	NOI: 07/15/2010 DEIS NOA: 04/2011 Target FEIS NOA: 11/2011 Target
Dewey-Burdock, WY- License application for a new source material license for the Dewey-Burdock <i>In-Situ</i> Recovery (ISR) Project – Supplemental EIS	Bureau of Land Management (MOU 11/30/2009)		NOI: 1/20/2010 DSEIS: 6/2011 Target FSEIS: 2/2012 Target

Cooperating Agency Report to the Council on Environmental Quality

October 1, 2009 to September 30, 2010

II. Environmental Assessments:

	Total
Number of EAs completed by NRC during the fiscal year	89
Number of those EAs your agency prepared with CAs	0
The reason(s) from the list below that cooperating agency status was not established or was ended (NOTE: agencies may replace this row of the report with a paragraph describing the most frequent reasons)	Reason 5 for all completed EAs

Reasons CA status was not established or why it ended:

1. Potential Cooperating Agency lacked special expertise and jurisdiction by law.
2. Potential Cooperating Agency lacked authority to enter into an agreement to be a CA.
3. Potential or active CA lacked agreement with the agency. (e.g., unable to accept the scope of the analysis or the purpose and need for the proposed action; unable to accept responsibilities and/or milestones for analysis and documentation; unable to develop information/analysis of all reasonable alternatives; unable to prevent release of predecisional information; misrepresents the process or the findings presented in the analysis and documentation).
4. Potential or active CA lacked capacity (training or resources) to participate. (e.g., unable to participate during scoping and/or throughout the preparation of the analysis and documentation as necessary to meet process milestones; unable to identify significant issues, eliminate minor issues, identify issues previously studied, or identify conflicts with the objectives of regional, State and local land use plans, policies and controls in a timely manner; unable to assist in preparing portions of the review and analysis and help resolve significant environmental issues in a timely manner; unable to provide resources to support scheduling and critical milestones).
5. Other (specify). NRC routinely and extensively consults with Federal, State, Tribal and local entities during development of EISs and EAs. Formal Cooperating Agency status is usually not used. NRC believes that informal arrangements achieve the spirit of Cooperating Agency status.