

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 2, 2010

Mr. Matthew W. Sunseri President and Chief Executive Officer Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation Post Office Box 411 Burlington, KS 66839

SUBJECT: WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION - ACCEPTANCE OF REQUESTED

LICENSING ACTION RE: LICENSE AMENDMENT REQUEST TO MAKE CHANGES TO THE APPROVED FIRE PROTECTION PROGRAM AS

DESCRIBED IN THE UPDATED SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

(TAC NO. ME4757)

Dear Mr. Sunseri:

By letter dated September 22, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML102720417), as supplemented by letter dated November 22, 2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML103340290), Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) submitted a license amendment request for Wolf Creek Generating Station. The proposed amendment request would change the approved fire protection program as described in the Updated Safety Analysis Report (USAR). The licensee has proposed to revise USAR Table 9.5E-1 to include information on reactor coolant system process variables not maintained within those predicted for a loss of normal alternating current (ac) power. The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this amendment request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Consistent with Section 50.90 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), an amendment to the license (including the technical specifications) must fully describe the changes requested, and following as far as applicable, the form prescribed for original applications. Section 50.34 of 10 CFR addresses the content of technical information required. This section stipulates that the submittal address the design and operating characteristics, unusual or novel design features, and principal safety considerations.

By e-mail dated November 3, 2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML103070207), the NRC staff transmitted the results of its initial acceptance review. The staff found the application unacceptable with opportunity to supplement. This was discussed with the licensee during a conference call on October 26, 2010. The licensee provided a supplement to the license amendment request by letter dated November 22, 2010. The technical staff has reviewed the supplemental information and found it to be responsive to the staff's request.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and supplement and concluded that it provides technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical

review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-3016 or by e-mail at Balwant.Singal@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

Balwart K. Singal, Senior Project Manager

Plant Licensing Branch IV

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-482

cc: Distribution via Listserv

review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-3016 or by e-mail at Balwant.Singal@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Balwant K. Singal, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch IV Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-482

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC LPLIV r/f

RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR Resource

RidsNrrDssSrxb Resource

RidsNrrDraAfpb Resource

RidsNrrDorlDpr Resource

RidsNrrDorlLpl4 Resource

RidsNrrPMWolfCreek Resource

RidsNrrLAJBurkhardt Resource

RidsRgn4MailCenter Resource

DFrumkin, AFPB/DRA/NRR

SSummer, NRR/DSS/SRXB

ADAMS Accession No. ML103350032

OFFICE	DORL/LPL4/PM	DORL/LPL4/LA	DORL/LPL4/BC	DORL/LPL4/PM
NAME	BSingal	JBurkhardt	MMarkley	BSingal
DATE	12/2/10	12/1/10	12/2/10	12/2/10

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY