Parks, Jazel

From: Bayssie, Mekonen
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 9:30 AM
To: Lin, Bruce
Subject: RE: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

Ok, I will do that.

Mekonen

From: Lin, Bruce
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 9:27 AM
To: Bayssie, Mekonen
Cc: Burke, John
Subject: RE: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

Mekonen,

I concur with the comment. Please change the sentence to read "Therefore, ASTM D 5144-08 can be viewed as a top-level ASTM standard that provides detailed requirements through reference to other key ASTM standards."

Bruce

From: Bayssie, Mekonen
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 9:10 AM
To: Lin, Bruce
Cc: Burke, John
Subject: FW: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

Bruce,

NRO concurs with the following comment today with one comment.

Could you address the comment and include in the latest version of the guide. The next step and is OGC. It will take about 2 weeks and we will publish it because ACRS decided not to see it either.

Mekonen

From: Burrows, Sheryl
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 9:09 AM
To: Bayssie, Mekonen
Cc: Burrows, Sheryl
Subject: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

From: Burrows, Sheryl
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 9:02 AM
To: Bayssie, Mekonen
Cc: Bergman, Thomas; Dudes, Laura; Burton, William; Karagiannis, Harriet; Terao, David
Subject: FW: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

Mekonen,

B4B

NRO concurs on RG 1.54, Revision 2 with the one comment listed below. Since DE has delegated the RG concurrence level down to BC, you can record this as DTerao concurred for TBergamn on 09/16/2010 with one comment.

As always feel free to contact me if you have any questions or if I can help in any way.

Sheryl Burrows
Project Manager
Rulemaking and Guidance Development Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors
Phone: 301-415-6086

From: Terao, David
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 6:20 AM
To: Bergman, Thomas; Dudes, Laura
Cc: Burrows, Sheryl; Makar, Gregory; Quinones, Lauren; Ellis, Twana
Subject: RE: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

Tom,

DE/CIB1 recommends NRO concurrence with comment on Revision 2 to RG 1.54, "Service Level I, II, and III Protective Coatings Applied to Nuclear Power Plants." DE was the only NRO division assigned this review, and coordination with other NRO divisions was not needed. Our comment is:

The next-to-last sentence on page 3 says, "Therefore, licensees can view ASTM D 5144-08 as a top-level ASTM standard that provides detailed requirements through reference to other key ASTM standards." It used to say, "ASTM D 5144-08 can be viewed as a top-level ....." The change was likely made to make the sentence an active voice, but it should allow the standard to be applicable to both licensees and applicants.

If you agree, please forward this email with your concurrence to Sheryl Burrows and cc: the RES Project Manager (Mekonen Bayssie). Thanks!

David Terao, Chief
Component Integrity, Performance and Testing Branch 1 (PWRs)
Division of Engineering, NRO
Phone: (301) 415-3317

From: Burrows, Sheryl
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2010 3:54 PM
To: Makar, Gregory; Terao, David
Subject: Electronic Concurrence Package for RG 1.54

Dave/Greg,

Greg (sorry I sent it to him this week and no one else) has worked on this and is reviewing it. When he is ready to recommend NRO concurrence with or without comments, please send an email from BC (Dave Terao) to DD (Tom or Laura) recommending NRO concurrence with or without comments. The current procedure requires DD level concurrence on DG's and RG's with the exception of NSIR guides where it has been delegated down to the BC/NRGA.

All we will need is a one line email to me and cc the RES PM (Mekonen Bayssie, in this case) from Tom or Laura (in the past the TA has helped get this, if needed) saying NRO concurs on the guide with or without comments. I am asking if this extra step (DD) is really necessary. Our OI says DD concurs and I the other offices do it at this level, but I am wondering if it would not be more efficient to delegate it down to the BC level and on the rare occasions where we think it should be elevated, we will do so. David, you get a fair amount of these, what do you think?

Sheryl