

Parks, Jazel

From: Burke, John
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 7:13 AM
To: Makar, Gregory; Lin, Bruce
Subject: RE: RG 1.54 concurrence update

I understand your comment, and see no problem with the change suggested.

John Burke, PE
Senior Engineer
(301) 251-7628
john.burke@nrc.gov

From: Makar, Gregory
Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2010 7:03 AM
To: Lin, Bruce; Burke, John
Subject: RG 1.54 concurrence update

Bruce, John,

I received the concurrence package late yesterday, and my branch chief has now sent our recommendation to the division director that he concur.

We do have one comment, which is about a change I hadn't seen before. The next-to-last sentence on page 3 says, "Therefore, licensees can view ASTM D 5144-08 as a top-level ASTM standard that provides detailed requirements through reference to other key ASTM standards." It used to say, "ASTM D 5144-08 can be viewed as a top-level". I'm guessing the change was made in order to change passive voice to active voice. I suggested we make the comment because the RG is for both licensees and applicants. I'm sure you're well aware that concurring with a comment means we concur with the RG in its current form, and you're not required to do anything with our comment.

Thank you for your work on this!

Greg