WBN2Public Resource

From:	Poole, Justin
Sent:	Friday, November 19, 2010 3:56 PM
То:	Garg, Hukam; Darbali, Samir; Carte, Norbert; Marcus, Barry; Singh, Gursharan
Cc:	WBN2HearingFile Resource
Subject:	FW: 20101118 Open Item List Master TVA Update 11-19-10.docx
Attachments:	20101118 Open Item List MasterTVA Update 11-19-10.docx

Justin C. Poole Project Manager NRR/DORL/LPWB U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (301)415-2048 email: Justin.Poole@nrc.gov

From: Clark, Mark Steven [mailto:msclark0@tva.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2010 3:15 PM
To: Poole, Justin; Crouch, William D; Hilmes, Steven A; Garg, Hukam
Subject: 20101118 Open Item List Master TVA Update 11-19-10.docx

All:

Attached is the updated Matrix. I updated due dates so far as I was able. There are still some Westinghouse dates that we were unable to get. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

Steve

Steve Clark Bechtel Power Corp. Control Systems Watts Bar 2 Completion Project Phone: 423.365.1664 e-mail: msclark0@tva.gov

Hearing Identifier: Email Number:	Watts_Bar_2_Operating_LA_Public 194
Mail Envelope Proper	ties (19D990B45D535548840D1118C451C74D7A4926EC14)
Subject: Sent Date: Received Date: From:	FW: 20101118 Open Item List Master TVA Update 11-19-10.docx 11/19/2010 3:55:53 PM 11/19/2010 3:55:57 PM Poole, Justin
Created By:	Justin.Poole@nrc.gov

Recipients: "WBN2HearingFile Resource" <WBN2HearingFile.Resource@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Garg, Hukam" <Hukam.Garg@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Darbali, Samir" <Samir.Darbali@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Carte, Norbert" <Norbert.Carte@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Marcus, Barry" <Barry.Marcus@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None "Marcus, Barry" <Gursharan.Singh@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

 Files
 Size
 Date & Time

 MESSAGE
 820
 11/19/2010 3:55:57 PM

 20101118 Open Item List MasterTVA Update 11-19-10.docx
 373337

OptionsPriority:StandardReturn Notification:NoReply Requested:NoSensitivity:NormalExpiration Date:Recipients Received:

rad321AB.docx

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
001	All	All	ص ص The Watts Bar Nuclear Plant FSAR red-line for Unit 2 (Agency	12/15/2009 Presentation Slides	1. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/2010	NNC 11/19/09: The FSAR contains
002	All	All	Are there I&C components and systems that have changed to a	12/15/2009 Presentation Slides	2. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/2010	NNC 11/19/09: The FSAR contains
003	All	All	Because a digital I&C platform can be configured and programmed 1	12/15/2009 Presentation Slides	3. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	3/12/2010	NNC 11/19/09: The FSAR contains
004	All	All	Unreviewed digital I&C system and component and the associated	Responder: Webb 1/13/10 Public Meeting	4. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 0032303/13 Itom	January 13, 2010	NNC 11/19/09: LIC-110 Rev. 1 Section 6.2.2 states: "Decian features and
005	7.1.3.1		By letter date February 28, 2008 (Agencywide Documents Access R and Management System (ADAMS) Accession Number	Responder: Craig/Webb	5. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/131118 Itom	TVA Letter dated	
006				By letter dated February 5, 2010: TVA provided the Unit 2	6. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	TVA Letter dated	NNC: WCAP-12096 Rev. 7
007	7.1.3.1		The setpoint methodology has been reviewed and approved by the T	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 7 Data Z of 15): TVA responded to this request for	7. Y	Closed This item is reviewed in ESAD	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	TVA Letter dated	TVA to provide Rev. 8 of the Unit 1
008	7.3			TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 8 pp Page 7 of 15): TVA responded to this request for	8. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	TVA Letter dated	
009	7.3.2	5.6, 635		TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 9 Dr. Page 8 of 15): TVA responded to this request for	9. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	3/12/10, MI 101680508	
010	7.3	7.3		TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 10 pp Page 8 of 15): TVA responded to this request for	10. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	3/12/10, MI 101620502	
011	7.3.2	5.6, 635		TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 11 pp Page 13 of 15): TVA responded to this request for	11. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	ML101680598, Itom 9	
012	7.4	7.4	The original SER on Watts Bar (NUREG-0847) documents that the T	TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 12 pp Page 13 of 15): TVA responded to this request for	12. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	TVA Letter dated	
013	7.1.3.1			TVA Letter Dated March 12, 2010 (Enclosure 1, Item No. 13 on Page 14 of 15): TVA responded to this request for	13. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 003/31118 Itom	TVA Letter dated	TS have been docketed.
014	All	All		Date: 4/27/10 Despender: T\/A	14. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
015			instrumentation drowers resulted in only like for like real accomente.	Doepondor: T\/A	15. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
016				Date: 4/27/10 Resnonder: TVA	16. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting Summary	TVA Letter dated 4/27/10	
017	7.3.1	7.3.1,	Lidentify precedents in LARs, if any, for the solid state protection	Date: 4/27/10	17. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
018				Date: 4/27/10 Desponder: T\/A	18. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
019			Verify that the containment purge isolation radiation monitor is the same as used in Watts Bar Unit 1, or identify any bardware	Date: 4/27/10	19. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
020			Provide environmental qualification information pursuant to Section E	Date: 4/27/10 Desponder: T\/A	20. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	NNC 4/30/10: SRP Section 7.0 states:
021		7.3	For the Foxboro Spec 200 platform, identify any changes in bardware from the precedent systems. Provide the design report	Date: 5/25/10	21. Y	Closed The resolution of this item will	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	The resolution of this item will be
022	7.3.2	5.6, 635	Verify the auxiliary feedwater control refurbishment results in a	Date: 4/27/10	22. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
023				Desponder: T\/A	23. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	NNC 4/30/10: SRP Section 7.0 states:
024			Provide a schedule by the January 13, 2010, meeting for providing D information in accordance with L&C Interim Staff Cuidance (ISC) 6		24. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	N/A – Request for	NNC 4/30/10: Carte to address
025	7.5.2	7.5.1	information provided by TV/A is consistent with the information			Closed	Closed	Qummary	ML101230248, Itom 12	
026			safaty related monitoring transmitters	Deenonder: T\/A	26. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	NNC 4/30/10: SRP Section 7.0 states:
027	7.7.1.4			Deeponder: T\/A	27. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
028			reculte in a like for like replacement	1/77/10		Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
029			For the rod control system, verify that the refurbishment results in a Like for like replacement	Date: 4/27/10 Despender: T\/A	29. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	

rad321AB.docx

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA	Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
030			n ر ص	Regarding the refurbishment of I&C equipment, identify any component digital ungrades and, if so, provide the supporting	Responder: Clark		30. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	
031			، – ن	For the rod position indication system (CERPI), provide information in accordance with ISC 4. Need to consider other security issues	Date: 4/27/10 Responder: T\/A		31. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	CERPI is non-safety related.
032			, ~ O	For the process computer, need to consider cyber security issues	Date: 4/27/10 Responder: T\/A		32. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	EICB will no longer consider cyber
033			O	For the loose parts monitoring system, provide information	Date: 4/27/10 Pespender: T\/A		33. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting	TVA Letter dated	The loose parts monitoring system is
034			0 — 0	2/4/2010	Responder: TVA		34. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
034.1			a - a	Chapter 7.1 – Introduction Reactor Coolant System Flow Pate Measurement			35. Y	Close	Closed	N/A	N/A	
034.2			, ~ ი	Chapter 7.2 - Reactor Trip System Deletion of Neutron Flux Negative Pate Trip			36. Y	Close	Closed	N/A	N/A	
034.3	7.3	7.3	a D	Chapter 7.3 – ESFAS Design Basis Analysis Parameters			37. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
034.4	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a ∑_	Chapter 7.5 - Instrumentation Systems Important to Safety Plant Process Computer Performant			38. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Closed
034.5	7.5.1.1 7 G 1	7.5.2 7 6 7	л – С	Chapter 7.6 - All Other Systems Required for Safety Plant Process Computer Performant			39. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Closed
034.6			br,	Chapter 7.7 Control Systems			40. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
035			· م ر ا	2/18/2010	Responder: Clark		41. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 1 MI 102080005	TVA Letter dated	LIC-110 Section 6.2.2 states: "Design
036	7.5.2	7.5.1	, _ O	February 18, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark		42. Y	Closed	Closed	NRC Meeting		NNC: Unit 2 FSAR Section 7.5.1, "Post Accident Monitoring Instrumentation."
037	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z_	2/18/2010	Responder: Clark	Date: 5/25/10	43. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	FSAR Amendment 100 provides
038	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z_	2/18/2010	Responder: Clark	Date: 5/25/10	44. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	The slides presented at the December
039			ں _ ت	January 13, 2010	Responder: Clark	Date: 5/25/10	45. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	FSAR amendment	The equation for the calculation of the
040			ں _ n	January 13, 2010	Responder: Clark	Date: 5/25/10	46. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI EICB RAI	FSAR amendment	The equation for the calculation of the
042	All	All	с m с	February 25, 2010: Telecom	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark		47. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	The drawing provided did not have the identification numbers as in the ESAP
044	7.5.2	7.5.1	°∩	February 25, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark		48. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
045			o ─ O	February 25, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark		49. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
046			, <i>∽</i> ∪	February 25, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark		50. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Request for	N/A	
047	7.5.2	7.5.1	, <i>∽</i> ∪	4/8/2010	Responder: WEC/Hilmes	Date: 5/25/10	51. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
048	7.5.2	7.5.1		April 8, 2010	Date: 5/25/10		52. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
049	7.5.2	7.5.1		4/8/2010	Responder: WEC	Date: 5/25/10	53. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
051			ں _ ت	April 15, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Pessender: Craig/Mebb		54. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Review addressed by another Open
052	7.5.2	7.5.1	، س ^ر	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Pespender: Slifer		55. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 12		
053	7.5.2	7.5.1	. م <u>َ</u>	April 19, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Pesponder: Slifer		56. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 13		
054	7.5.2	7.5.1	. س_ u	4/19/2010	Responder: Slifer/Clark	Date: 5/25/10	57. Y	Closed	Closed Posponeo accontabla	RAI No. 14	TVA Letter dated	
055	7.5.2	7.5.1	. م <i>ب</i>	4/19/2010	Responder: Slifer/Clark	Date: 5/25/10	58. Y	Closed	Closed Response acceptable	RAI No. 15	TVA Letter dated	

No.	SE Sec.		RC DC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
056		- د		Date: 5/25/10 Pesponder: Slifer	59. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 16	TVA Letter dated	Sorrento Radiation Monitoring
057	7.5.2	7.5.1 -			60. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 17	TVA Letter dated	
058	7.5.0	7.5 -		Date: 5/25/10 Pesponder: Slifer	61. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 18 MI 102080005	TVA Letter dated	
059	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: Pesponder: Slifer	62. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 19 MI 102080005	TVA Letter dated	
060	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark	63. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 47
061	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark	64. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 48
062	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark	65. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 49
063	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Clark	66. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Addressed by Open Item No. 50
064	7.5.2	7.5.1 -	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal	Responder: Webb Date: 4/8/2010	67. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated	
065	7.5.2	7.5.1 -	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	68. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated	
066	7.5.2	7.5.1 -	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Watte Bar 2 BAMS Software Design Description (two	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	69. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated	
072	7.5.2	7.5.1	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Implementation Phase V&V Report" was September 30, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10		Closed Awaiting for document to be docketed by TVA.	Closed to item 71. Per WEC, the design and implementation phase IV&V reports are combined.	N/A - No question was asked. Item was opened to track commitment made by applicant.	N/A	
076	7.5.2	7.5.1 -	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Watte Bar 2 DAMS Specific Processor Module	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	70. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	N/A	
077	7.5.2	7.5.1 -	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for seven other documents was "TDB". Please provide a	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	71. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated	
078		· د	- _Ф 4/26/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	72. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
079		` د	ч <mark>4/26/2010</mark>	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	73. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 102010008	TVA Letter dated	Reviewed under Item 154
080		· د	م. <mark>4/26/2010</mark>	Responder: WEC	74. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 2 MI 102080005	TVA Letter dated	
083	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: 6/18/10 Responder: WEC	75. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
084	7.5.2	7.5.1		Date: 6/18/10 Responder: Clark	76. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 102910002	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	
087	7.5.2	7.5.1 -		Date: 5/24/10 Responder: Slifer	77. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 20 MI 102080005	TVA Letter dated	
088	7.5.2	7.5.1 <u>m</u>		Date: 5/24/10 Responder: Slifer	78. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 21 MI 102980005	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	
089		2 ~	o 5/6/2010	Responder: Clark	79. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	NNC: Docketed response states that the applicable ESAP Sections are:
090		2 ~	o 5/6/2010	Responder: Clark Date: 5/25/10	80. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
			May 20, 2010		81. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	
091	7.4	7.4 —		Reenonder [,] Clark						
091 093	7.4		س May 20, 2010		82. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Will be reviewed under item 154
	7.4) ~	ص May 20, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Pesponder: Knuettel		Closed Closed	Closed Closed		N/A N/A	Will be reviewed under item 154 Information was found in FSAR

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
096	7.7.5	XX	- _α 5/20/2010	Responder:	85. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.3	TVA Letter dated	
097	7.4.2	7.4	— _{— то} Мау 20, 2010	Date: Periorder:	86. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.4	TVA Letter dated	
098	7.4.2	7.4	— _{ст} Мау 25, 2010	Date: Perioder:	87. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.5	TVA Letter dated	
099			_ ـــ ـ _ April 12, 2010	Date: Responder: WFC	88. Y	Closed	Closed			Closed to Item 129
100			u — U 5/20/2010	Responder: WEC	89. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - No question	N/A	
102			u — U May 24, 2010	Date: 5/24/10 Percenter: WEC	90. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	Request for schedule not information.
103	7.4	7.4	- _α 5/27/2010	Responder: Ayala Date: 5/27/10	91. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	Submittal date is based on current
104	7.4	7.4	- _α 5/27/2010	Responder: Merten Date: 5/27/10	92. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	Submittal date is based on current
105			— — പ്ര April 29, 2010	Date: Percender: Landev	93. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Will be reviewed under item 154.
106			ഫ ഗ്ര_ലMay 6, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Responder: Davies	94. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 9 MI 102980005	TVA Letter dated	
107			ഫ ഗ്രലMay 6, 2010	Date: 5/28/10 Responder: Clark	95. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 22 MI 102980005	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	
108			о — _Ф Мау 6, 2010	Date: 5/25/10 Percender: Webb/Hilmer	96. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Will be reviewed under OI#154
109.b			u — U 5/6/2010	Responder: N/A	97. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Duplicate of another open Item.
109.a	7.8	XX	- _α 5/6/2010	Responder: N/A	98. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
110			сто Мау 6, 2010	Date: Poppandor: Clark	99. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Information was found.
111			س May 6, 2010	Date: 5/28/10 Responder: Clark	100. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	Request to help find, not a request for information
112			ഫ — പ്ര June 1, 2010	Date: Responder: Clark	101. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Information was received
113			— ლ 6/1/2010	Responder: Clark	102. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
114	7.2	7.2	6/1/2010	Responder: WEC	103. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
115			-υ 2/25/2010	Responder: Clark	104. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
116			б/3/2010	Responder: WEC	105. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Letter sent to Westinghouse requesting
118	7.4	7.4	→ σ 6/8/2010	Responder: Merten	106. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.1	TVA Letter dated	Submittal date is based on current
119			ງ — ທ . June 10, 2010	Date: Percender:	107. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 23	TVA Letter dated	
120			u — со 5/6/2010	Responder: Hilmes/Merten/Costley	108. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
121			u — со 5/6/2010	Responder: Webb/Webber	109. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 102080066 Itom	TVA Letter dated	
122			ງ — ເງ June 14, 2010	Date: Percenter: WEC	110. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request for	N/A	
123	7.7.3	7.4.1, 0312	- _α 6/14/2010	Responder:	111. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
124	7.7.5	XX	→ n 6/14/2010	Responder:	112. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		
125	7.7.8	7.7.1.12	— _{с б} /14/2010	Responder:	113. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
126	7.8	7.8		Date: Pespender:	114. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		
127	7.2	7.2	o – ص 6/16/2010 F	Responder: WEC/Clark	115. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
128	7.2	7.2	o — ص 6/18/2010 F	Responder: WEC Drake /TVA Craig	116. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Track through SE open item
129			и — <u>с</u> 6/12/2010 Г	Responder: WEC	117. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
130			и <u>с</u> 6/28/2010 Г	Responder: Clark	118. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
131			и <u>с</u> 6/28/2010 Г	Responder: Clark	119. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
132			и <u>с</u> 6/28/2010 Г	Responder: Clark	120. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	TVA Letter dated	
133			и <u>с</u> 6/28/2010 Г	Responder: Clark	121. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
134			и — <u>с</u> 6/28/2010 Г	Responder: Clark	122. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
135	7.3.1	7.3.1	→ □ ∞ 6/30/2010	Responder: Clark	123. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI not necessary	TVA Letter dated	
136	7.3.2, 7 1	7.4, 5.6,	→ □ ∞ 6/30/2010	Responder: Clark	124. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
137			Several WBN2 PAMS documents contain a table titled, "Document I	Responder: WEC	125. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	TVA Letter dated	
139			The WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification (WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification (WBN2	Responder: WEC	126. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item	TVA Letter dated	WBN2 PAMS System Requirements
141				Date: Pespender:	127. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item		WBN2 PAMS System Requirements
146			р — _С 6/17/2010 F	Responder:	128. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item		PAMS System Requirements
147			р — U 6/17/2010 F	Responder:	129. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item		PAMS System Requirements
148				Responder:	130. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101650255, Item		PAMS System Requirements
149	7.2	7.2	FSAR Section 7.1.1.2(2), Overtemperature delta T and	Responder: Tindell	131. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
150	7.2	7.2	Many of the changes were based on the Westinghouse document I	Responder: Clark	132. Y	Close Despanse provided in letter	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
151	7.2	7.2	Provide the EDCR 52378 and 54504 which discusses the basis for I	Responder: Clark	133. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
152	7.2	7.2	Deleted portion of FSAR section 7.2.3.3.4 and moved to FSAR	Responder: Merten/Clark	134. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
153	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.1.1.7 added the reference to FSAR section	Responder: Craig/Webb	135. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
155	7.2	7.2		Date: Desponder: Stockton	136. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		
157	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.2.1.1, fifth paragraph was deleted except for the last sentence states that "The D 8 interlock	Responder: Tindell	137. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
158	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.2.1.1, paragraph six was changed to state that the design meets the requirements of Criterion 23 of the 1071	Responder: Tindell	138. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
159	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.2.1.2 discusses reactor coolant flow	Responder: Craig	139. Y	Close	closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
160	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.2.2(7) deleted text which has references 12 and I 14. These references are not included in the revised text. Provide	Responder: Tindell	140. Y	Close	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
161	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.2.3 states that changes to the control function	Responder: Clark	141. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
162	7.2	7.2	FSAR section 7.2.2.2(14) states that bypass of a protection	Responder: Tindell	142. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	

rad321AB.docx

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
163	7.2	7.2	ں_ ر	Deleted by DORL	Date: Pesponder:	143. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item		
164	7.2 7 5 1 1	7.2	- o-	FSAR section 7.2.2.2(20) has been revised to include the plant	Responder: Perkins	144. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	Item No. 8 sent to DORL
165	7.2	7.2	ں _ ت	FSAR section 7.2.2.3.2, last paragraph of this section has been deleted. The basis for this deletion is that discussion regarding the	Responder: Clark	145. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
166	7.2	7.2	ں_ ر	Changes to FSAR section 7.2.2.2(20) are justified based on the statement that the integrated computer system is implemented	Responder: Clark	146. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
167	7.2	7.2	ں _ ر	FSAR section 7.2.2.4, provide an analysis or reference to chapter	Responder: Clark	147. Y	Close	closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
168	7.2	7.2	ں _ ر	FSAR table 7.2-4, item 9 deleted loss of offsite power to station	Responder: Clark	148. Y	Close Response provided in letter	Closed	ML101720589, Item	TVA Letter dated	
169			ں _ ر	6/18/2010	Responder: Clark	149. Y	Closed	Closed			
170			ں_ ر	6/17/2010	Responder: Clark	150. Y	Closed	Closed			
171	7.2	7.2	ں _ ر	6/17/2010	Responder: Craig	151. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Closed to SE Open Item
172			ں_ ر	6/17/2010	Responder: Craig	152. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
173	7.1	7.1	ں _ ر	6/17/2010	Responder: Craig/Webb/Powers	153. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
174			ں _ ر	6/28/2010	Responder: Hilmes/Craig	154. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
175			ں _ ر	June 28, 2010	Responder:	155. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 102010008		
176	7.1	7.1	ں _ ر	6/28/2010	Responder: Craig/Webb	156. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI		
177	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	ه ۲_	7/15/2010	Responder: Clark	157. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	RAI not required
178	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z_	7/15/2010	Responder: Clark	158. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	RAI not required
179			ں ~ د	An emphasis is placed on traceability in System Requirements	Responder: WEC	159. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	
180			ں ~ د	The SRP, BTP 7-14, Section B.3.3.1 states that Regulatory Guide	Responder: WEC	160. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	
181			ں ~ د	An emphasis is placed on traceability in System Requirements	Responder: WEC	161. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	
182			ں – د	Characteristics that the SRP states that a Software Requirements	Responder: WEC	162. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	NA	
184			ں – د	7/15/2010	Responder: WEC	163. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	N/A	
186	7.7.8	7.7.1.12	2 <u> </u>	7/15/2010	Responder: Perkins/Clark	164. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
188			ں – د	By letter dated June 30, 2010, TVA docketed, "Tennessee Valley Authority (T)(A) Watts Bar Lipit 2 (M/BN2) – Bost Accident	Responder: Clark	165. Y	Closed	Closed	ML101970033, Item	TVA Letter dated	
189		7.6.7	. در م	7/20/2010	Responder: Clark	166. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
190	7.9		. در م ا	FSAR Table 7.1-1 states: "Regulatory Guide 1.133, May 1981 "Loose Part Detection Program for the Primary System of Light	Responder: Clark	167. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI NO. 4 MI 102080005	TVA Letter dated	Closed to OI-331.
191	7.9		ں – د	NUREG-0800 Chapter 7, Section 7.9, "Data Communication Systems" contains review criteria for data communication systems	Responder: Jimmie Perkins	168. Y	Closed	Closed	ML10197016, Item	TVA Letter dated	
192	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z_	The NRC Staff is using SRP (NUREG-0800) Chapter 7 Section 7.5. "Instrumentation Systems Important to Safety," to review the	Responder: Clark	169. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
193	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	ه ک_	The WBU2 FSAR, Section 7.5.2, "Plant Computer System,"	Responder: Clark	170. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
194	7.5.1.1 1	7.5.2.1		The WBU2 FSAR Section 7.5.2.1, "Safety Parameter Display System " contains a description of the Safety Parameter Display	Responder: Costley/Norman	171. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to

rad321AB.docx

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
195	7.5.1.1 2	7.5.2.2	a Z_	Bypassed and Inoperable Status Indication (BISI)	Responder: Costley/Norman	172. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 4 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
196	7.5.1.1 2	7.5.2.2	a Z_	Bypassed and Inoperable Status Indication (BISI)	Responder: Costley/Norman	173. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 5 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
197			×	Open Item 197 was never issued.		174. Y	Closed	Closed			
198	7.5.1.1	7.5.2.2	a Z_	SRP Section 7.5, Subsection III, "Review Procedures" states:	Responder: Costley/Norman	175. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 6 sent to	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML1028618855 sent to
199	7.5.1.1	7.5.2.3	a Z_	The WBU2 FSAR Section 7.5.2.3, "Technical Support Center and Nuclear Data Links," contains a description of the Technical	Responder: Costley/Norman	176. Y	Closed	Closed	Item No. 7 sent to	TVA Letter dated	Related SE Section 7.5.5.3 EICB RAI
200	7.2 7 3			7/21/2010	Responder: Clark The statement in SEP Section 7.5.1 is supported by the	177. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
201	7.7.1.1 1	7.7.11	າ <i>∽</i> ບ	7/21/2010	Responder: Webb	178. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
203	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z_	7/26/2010	Responder: Clark	179. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
204	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z∖	7/26/2010	Responder: Costley/Norman	180. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
205			, ~ ი	7/26/2010	Responder: Clark	181. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Question B related to prior NRC
206	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z∖	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	182. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
207			າ <i>─</i> ບ	July 27, 2010	Date: Pesponder:	183. Y	Closed	Closed			
208	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z_	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	184. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 102861885 Itom	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
209	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z_	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	185. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
210	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z_	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	186. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
211	7.5.1.1 752		າ ~ ບ	7/27/2010	Responder: Clark	187. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	Relates to SE Sections:
214			ງ ~ ບ	7/27/2010	Responder: WEC	188. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
215			י ~ ר	7/29/2010	Responder: WEC	189. Y	Closed	Closed			
216	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	a Z_	7/29/2010	Responder: Clark	190. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI MI 102861885 Itom	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
217			ں _ ر	7/6/2010	Responder: Clark	191. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
218			ں _ ر	7/6/2010	Responder: Clark	192. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
219			ں _ ر	8/4/2010	Responder: TVA Licensing	193. Y	Closed		EICB RAI		
220			ں ~ ر	8/4/2010	Responder: Ayala	194. Y	Closed		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
221	7.7.1.2	7.7.1.3	ه ≤_	8/4/2010	Responder: Trelease	195. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
222			ں _ ت	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	196. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
223			ں _ ر	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	197. Y	Closed		EICB RAI		
224	7.5.1.1	7.5.2	° ≤	8/4/2010	Responder: Norman (TVA CEG)	198. Y	Closed		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
225			ں~ ت	8/4/2010	Responder: Scansen	199. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
226			o∼o	8/4/2010	Responder: TVA Licensing	200. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Information	TVA Letter dated	See also Open Item Nos. 41 & 270.

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
227			ں _ C	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	201. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
228			ງ — ບ	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	202. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
229			u ~ ∪	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	203. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
230			ງ — ເງ	8/4/2010	Responder: Webb	204. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
231			ט <i>–</i> ט	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	205. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
232			. م – م	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	206. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 5	TVA Letter dated	
233			ງ — ບ	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	207. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
234			ງ — ເບ	8/4/2010	Responder:	208. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Duplicate	N/A	
235			י <u>ה</u> ה	8/4/2010	Responder: TVA Licensing	209. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
236			ں _ C	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	210. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
237			ງ ─ ບ	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	211. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
238			ງ — ເບ	8/4/2010	Responder: Webb/Hilmes	212. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Duplicate	N/A	
239			ງ — ບ	8/4/2010	Responder: Hilmes	213. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Meeting	N/A	
240			ט _ ת	8/4/2010	Responder: Clark	214. Y	Close	Closed	MI102910008	TVA Letter dated	
241			. م` <u> </u>	8/4/2010	Responder: Davies	215. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 10	TVA Letter dated	
242			ם <u>ה</u> ה	8/4/2010	Responder: Hilmes	216. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
243				8/3/2010	Responder: WEC	217. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	N/A	
247				8/8/2010	Responder: WEC	218. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	Response is	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the
248				8/8/2010	Responder: WEC	219. Y	Closed	Closed		Response is	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the
249				8/8/2010	Responder: WEC	220. Y	Closed	Closed			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the
253				8/8/2010	Responder: Clark	221. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	Related to Open Item no. 83.
254				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	222. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
255				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	223. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
256				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	224. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
257				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	225. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
258				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	226. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
259				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	227. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	TVA Letter dated	
260				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	228. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
261				8/10/2010	Responder: WEC	229. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A – Closed to	TVA Letter dated	LIC-110 Rev. 1 Section 6.2.2 states:

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue		Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
262			a – o 8/10/2010	Responder: WEC 23	30. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A - Request to	N/A	
263			3 - 0 8/11/2010 Reserved on an examination of document available at the	Responder: WEC 23	31. Y	Closed		ML101650255, Item		
264			а — со 8/11/2010	Responder: WEC 23	32. Y	Closed		ML101650255, Item		
265			а — о 8/11/2010	Responder: WEC 23	33. Y	Closed		ML101650255, Item		
266			а — со 8/11/2010	Responder: Webb/Webber 23	34. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
267			а — о 8/11/2010	Responder: WEC 23	35. Y	Closed	Closed			
269			и <u>– </u> 8/20/2010	Responder: NRC 23	36. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	
270			а — о 8/23/2010	Responder: Clark 23	37. Y	Closed	Closed			See also Open Item Nod. 41 & 245.
271			а — со 8/23/2010	Responder: WEC 23	38. Y	Closed		N/A – Closed to	NA	
272	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	~≥ ∞ 8/26/2010	Responder: Clark 23	39. Y	Closed		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
273	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	~≥ ∞ 8/26/2010	Responder: Clark 24	40. Y	Closed		EICB RAI MI 102861885 Itom	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
274.a	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	~≥ σ 8/26/2010	Responder: Clark 24	41. Y	Closed		EICB RAI MI 102861885 Itom	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
275			n − σ8/27/2010	Responder: Clark 24	42. Y	Closed	Closed	Not Required	N/A	
277	7.6	7.6.3	a – ن 8/27/2010	Responder: Clark 24	43. Y	Close		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
278	7.6	7.6.6	ы — ы 8/27/2010	Responder: Trelease 24	44. Y	Close		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
279	7.6	7.6.6	a – ن 8/27/2010	Responder: Mather 24	45. Y	Close		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
280	7.6	7.6.6	a – ق 8/27/2010	Responder: Trelease 24	46. Y	Close		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
282	7.6	7.6.9	a – ن 8/27/2010	Responder: Trelease 24		Close Desponse is acceptable	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
283	7.7.5	XX	<u> </u>	Responder: Clark 24	48. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.13	TVA Letter dated	This item is a follow-up question to item
284	7.7.3	7.4.1	<u> </u>	Responder: Webber 24	49. Y	Closed		EICB RAI No.14	TVA Letter dated	This item is a follow-up question to item
285	7.3.3	7.3	<u> </u>	Responder: McNeil 25	50. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	This item is a follow-up question to item
286	7.7.3	9.3.4.2. 1	<u> </u>	Responder: Webber 25	51. Y	Closed		EICB RAI No.16	TVA Letter dated	
287	7.3	7.3-1	<u> </u>	Responder: Elton 25	52. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
289			n — ∽ . <u>9/2/2010</u>	Responder: Faulkner 25	53. Y	Closed			TVA Letter dated	
290		7.7	o ∽ o 9/7/2010	Responder: Clark 25	54. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	This item is a duplicate of item 291.
291		7.7	<u>у</u> 9/7/2010	Responder: Clark 25	55. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
292	7.2.5	7.2	o ∽ ʊ 9/7/2010	Responder: Craig 25	56. Y	Closed		EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
293	7.7.4	7.2.2.3. ^F	~≥ ∞ 9/8/2010	Responder: Craig 25	57. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
294	7.3	7.3.1.1. 1	<u> </u>	Responder: Elton 25	58. Y	Closed		ML102390538, Item	Response	

rad321AB.docx

						Response					
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
295	7.3	7.3.1.1.	D (s9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	259. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
296	7.3	7.3.1.2. 1	م ت	s9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	260. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item		
297	7.3	7.3.1.2.	a D	s9/9/2010	Responder: Elton	261. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item	Response	
298	7.3	XX	م ت	s9/9/2010	Responder: Clark	262. Y	Closed	Closed	ML102390538, Item		
299			v − c	Provide Common Q Software Requirements Specification Post	Attachment 41 of the 10/5 letter contains the Common Q	263. Y	Closed	Closed		TVA Letter dated	
302	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z∼	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	264. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
303	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z-	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	265. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
304	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z-	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	266. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
305	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	a Z-	09/17/2010	Responder: Tindell	267. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
312		7.0	9 – Q	By letter dated September 10,2010, TVA provided the summary evaluation of 50,59 reports which were related to ESAP Chapter	Responder: Stockton	268. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
313	7.7.8	7.7.1.12		EDCR 52408 (installation of AMSAC in Unit 2) states that Design	Responder: Ayala	269. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No.18	TVA Letter dated	
314	7.3	7.3	a D (The following 50.59 changes were listed in the March 12 RAI	Responder: Stockton	270. Y	Closed	Closed	EICB RAI No. 19	TVA Letter dated	Related to OI 10
315	7.5.3	7.5.3	ი — ი	IE Bulletin 79-27 required that emergency operating procedures to	Responder: S. Smith (TVA Operations)	271. Y	Close	Closed	EICB RAI	TVA Letter dated	
316	7.5.2.3	7.5	. ه <i>ر</i> د	TVA has provided various documents in support of RM-1000 high	Responder: Temples/Mather	272. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 26		
317	7.5.2.3	7.5	. در م	TVA has provided a proprietary and a non-proprietary version of	Responder: Temples	273. Y	Closed	Closed	RAI No. 27	TVA Letter dated	
319	7.5.2.3	7.5	. در م	TVA provided System Verification Test Results 04507007-1TR	Responder: Temples	274. Y	Closed	Closed Personal Accentable	RAI No. 29	TVA Letter dated	
320			ш —	Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2340, TENNESSEE VALLEY	Responder: Clark	275. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Duplicate of item 156
321			ш —	For the purposes of measuring reactor coolant flow for Reactor Protection functions, allow tans are used for both Unit 1 and 2	Responder: Clark	276. Y	Closed	Closed	N/A	N/A	Duplicate of OI# 157
322		7.7.1.11	a − O	Section 7.7.1.11 will be added to FSAR Amendment 101 to provide a discussion of the Distributed Control System	Responder: Clark	277. Y	Closed	Closed			
324			a Z-	Per the NRC reviewer, the BISI calculation is not required to be		278. Y	Closed	Closed			
325			ں – ت	The Unit 2 loops in service for Unit 1 that are scheduled to be transferred to the Eavhore Spec 200 hardware will be transferred	Responder: TVA Startup Olson	279. Y	Closed	Closed			Closed to open item ?
328	7.5.2.3	7.5	، در م	Provide the model number for the four containment high range	Responder: Temples	280. Y	Closed	Closed Personal Accentable	RAI No. 30	TVA Letter dated	
332	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	~∑ ø	10/26/2010		281. Y	Closed	Closed	ML103000105 Item	TBD	EICB RAI ML103000105 sent to DORL
333	7.5.2.1	7.5.1	ه ≤_	10/27/2010		282. Y	Closed	Closed	ML103000105 Item	TBD	EICB RAI ML103000105 sent to DORL
043	7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte	The PAMS ISG6 compliance matrix supplied as Enclosure 1 to TVA letter dated February 5, 2010 is a first draft of the information needed. The shortcomings of the first three lines in the matrix are: Line 1: Section 11 of the Common Q topical report did include a	By letter dated April 8, 2010 TVA provided the PAMS	1. N	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/5/10. Revised compliance matrix is unacceptable.	Open-NRC Review Due 12/1/10	ML102910002 Item No. 2	TVA Letter dated 5/12/10 TVA Letter dated	NNC 8/25/10: A CQ PAMS ISG6 compliance matrix was docketed on: (1) February, 5 12010, (2) March 12, 2010, & (3) June 18, 2010. The staff has expressed issued with all of these compliance evaluations. The staff is still waiting for a good compliance
				approved in the associated SE. Westinghouse stated that this was	Licensing Technical Report provided additional information. Attachment 3 contains the revised Common Q PAMS ISG-6		NNC 8/12/10: It is not quite enough to provide all of the			6/18/10 TVA Letter dated	evaluation.

No. SE Sec.		NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
		and app Line 2: ⁻ but prov SRP Ch being S Line 3: ⁻ was cor noted th CQ topi Watts B	TVA stated the D3 analysis was not applicable to PAMS, vided no justification. The NRC asked for justification since napter 7.5 identified SRM to SECV-93-087 Item II.Q as RP acceptance criteria for PAMS. TVA identified that the Design report for computer integrity mpleted as part of the common Q topical report. The NRC nat this report is applicable for a system in a plant, and the ical report did no specifically address this PAMS system at Bar Unit 2. en concluded that TVA should go through and provide a pomplete and thorough compliance matrix.	Compliance Matrix, dated June 11, 2010, that addresses these items (Reference 13). By letter Dated June 18, 2010 (see Attachment 3) TVA provided a table, "Watts Bar 2 - Common Q PAMS ISG-6 Compliance Matrix." It is TVA's understanding that this comment is focused on the fact that there are documents that NRC has requested that are currently listed as being available for audit at the Westinghouse offices. For those Common Q PAMS documents that are TVA deliverable documents from Westinghouse, TVA has agreed to provide those to NRC. Westinghouse documents that are not deliverable to TVA will be available for audit as stated above. Requirements Traceability Matrix issues will be tracked under NRC RAI Matrix Items 142 (Software Requirements Specification) and 145 (System Design Specification). Commercial Item Dedication issues will be tracked under NRC RAI Matrix Item 138. This item is considered closed.		documents requested. There are two possible routs to review that the NRC can undertake: (1) follow ISG6, and (2) follow the CQ SPM. The TVA response that was originally pursued was to follow ISG6, but some of the compliance items for ISG6 were addressed by referencing the SPM. The NRC approved the CQ TR and associated SPM; it may be more appropriate to review the WBN2 PAMS application to for adherence to the SPM that to ISG6. In either path chosen, the applicant should provide documents and a justification for the acceptability of any deviation from the path chosen. For example, it appears that the Westinghouse's CDIs are commercial grade dedication plans, but Westinghouse maintains that they are commercial grade dedication reports; this apparent deviation should be justified or explained.			10/5/10	
101		Contain docume 1. V&V 2. Syste 3. Quali 045089	10 n-proprietary versions of the following RM-1000, iment High Range Post Accident Radiation Monitor ents will be provided by June 30, 2010. Report 04508006A em Description 04508100-1TM ification Reports 04508905-QR, 04508905-1 SP, 05-2SP, 04508905-3SP tional Testing Report 04507007-1TR	Responder: Slifer The documents, and affidavits for withholding for the listed documents were submitted to the NRC on TVA letter to the NRC dated July 15, 2010.	2. Y	Open Documents provided in letter dated 07/15/10	Open-NRC Review Due 10/14/10 Confirm receipt .	N/A		TVA is working with the vendor to meet the 6/30 date, however there is the potential this will slip to 7/14.
213 7.5.2	2	(1) Plead	10 r dated June 18, 2010 (ML101940236) TVA stated ure 1, Attachment 3, Item No. 3) that the PAMS system specification and software requirements specification information to address the "Theory of Operation tion." The staff has reviewed these documents, and it is ar how this is the case. The docketed material does not to contain the design basis information that is required to e compliance with the Clause of IEEE 603. ase provide the design basis (as described in IEEE 604 4) of the Common Q PAMS.	Responder: WEC Conformance with IEEE 603 is documented in the revised Common Q PAMS Licensing Technical Report and the Common Q PAMS System Design Specification. Attachment 1 contains the proprietary version of Westinghouse document "Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBN2), Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS), Licensing Technical Report, Revision 1, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Dated October 2010"	3. N	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/25/10 NNC to review and revise this question after LTR R1is received.	Open-NRC Review Due 12/31/10.	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 18		
		complie of opera For exa manual	mple: Regarding IEEE 603 Clause 5.8.4 (1) What are the ly controlled protective actions? (2) How do the documents ad demonstrate compliance with this clause?	Attachment 8 contains the proprietary version of Westinghouse document "Nuclear Automation Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System – System Design Specification", WNA- DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 2 dated September 2010.						

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				Section 4.3.2.1, "Initiation Phase" of the Common Q SPM (ML050350234) requires that a Project Quality Plan (PQP) be developed. Many other section of the SPM identify that this PQP should contain information required by ISG6. Please provide the PQP. If "PQP" is not the name of the documentation produced, please describe the documentation produced and provide the information that the SPM states should be in the PQP.	As agreed ISG6 does not apply to the Common Q PAMS platform. The information required to address this question concerning the PQP and SPM has been added to compliance matrix in revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report. Attachment 1 of letter dated 10/25/10 contains the proprietary version of Westinghouse document "Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBN2), Post- Accident Monitoring System (PAMS), Licensing Technical Report, Revision 1, WNA-LI-00058-WBT-P, Dated October 2010"	Y/N	Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10 NNC 11/18/10: PQP has not been provided and CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 1 does not contain comparable information.	Due 10/22/10 NNC 11/18/10: NRC to go to WEC Rockville Offices and look at PQP to decide if it must docketed.	ML102980066 Item No. 15	provided in letter dated 10/25/10	"Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
092			(e	5/20/2010	Responder: Hilmes	1. Y	Open	Open-TVA			
			DORL (Poole)		This item will close when we are no longer using this document as a communications tool.			Due: SER Issue Continuous review as items are added			
323				WCAP-13869 revision 1 was previously reviewed under WBN Unit 1 SER SSER 13 (Reference 8). Unit 2 references revision 2. An analysis of the differences and their acceptability will be submitted to the NRC by November 15, 2010		1. Y	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10 The staff is confused with the response since both units have reference leg not insulated Rev 2 should apply to Unit 1 also and there should be no difference between Unit 1 and 2			TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 36	
117	7.1	7.1	3 (Garç	6/3/2010 Does TVA use a single sided or double sided methodology for as- found and as-left instrument setpoint values. (RIS2006-7)	Responder: Hilmes Reactor Protection System (RPS) (comprised of Reactor Trip (RPS) and Engineered Safety Features Actuation System (ESFAS)) setpoint values are monitored by periodic performance of surveillance tests in accordance with Technical Specification requirements. TVA uses double- sided as-found and as-left tolerances for Reactor Trip and ESFAS trip setpoint surveillance tests as described in FSAR amendment 100. TVA Revised Response: For TSTF-493 parameters WBN Unit 2 uses only double sided correction factors. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change.	1. Y	Open Revised response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#21	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 7	

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
								calculating trip setpoint and allowable value and if it is used then provide justifications.			
154	7.2	7.2	ш	noted that section 4.3.3.6 of EEB-TI-28 discusses the correction for setpoints with a single side of interest. It should be noted that the staff has not approved this aspect of setpoint methodology for	 Responder: Craig/Webb (Q1) Refer to the response to letter item 13, RAI Matrix Item 51. (Q2) EEB-TI-28's single sided methodology conforms with WBN's design basis commitment to ensure that 95% of the analyzed population is covered by the calculated tolerance limits as defined in NRC Reg Guide 1.105, Revision 2, 1986 that was in affect during WBN Unit 1 licensing. The single sided methodology is not used for any TSTF-493 setpoints that use TI-28 methodology. TVA Revised Response: In order to respond to other NRC comments on the setpoint methodology discussion in FSAR Amendment 100, TVA reviewed the previous response to this RAI. This resulted in a complete rewrite of the response to this question as shown below. As a result, the response does not specifically address the NRC Follow-up Request. However, the overall responses to all of the NRC RAIs on setpoint methodology addresses this item. (Q1) WBN 2 implementation of TSTF-493, Rev. 4, Option A includes addition of a discussion of the WBN setpoint methodology in FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9. (Q2) Electrical Engineering Branch (EEB) Technical Instruction (TI) 28, Setpoint Calculations, single-sided methodology conforms to WBN's design basis commitment to ensure that 95% of the analyzed population is covered by the calculated tolerance limits as defined in NRC Reg Guide 1.105, Revision 2, 1986, which was in effect during WBN Unit 1 licensing. Single-sided multipliers are not used for any TSTF-493 setpoints. There are some areas where a 95% confidence level could not be achieved. Some examples would be harsh environment instrumentation where only 2 or 3 devices were tested in the 10CFR50.49 program. In these situations, the Confidence is referred to as "high.". 		Open Response is not acceptable. A revised response will be submitted in the letter dated 10/29/10.	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10	ML101720589, Item No. 6 and EICB RAI ML102861885 Item No. 8		EICB RAI ML102861885 sent to DORL
306	7.1	7.1	EICB (Garg)	FSAR amendment 100, page 7.1-12 provides the definition of Allowable value which is not consistent with TSTF-493 as allowable value is the value beyond which instrument channel is declared inoperable.	Responder: Hilmes The FSAR Allowable Value definition will be revised to be consistent with the TSTF-493 in FSAR Amendment 102. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change.		Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	·	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#69	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 26	

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date Comments
307	7.1	7.1		(1) FSAR amendment 100, Section 7.1, page 7.1-12, definition of Acceptable as found tolerance is not in accordance with TSTF-493 as AAF is the limit beyond which the instrument channel is degraded but may be operable and its operability must be evaluated. (2) Also it states that AAF is based on measurable instrument channel uncertainties, such as drift, expected during the surveillance interval. These wording should be revised to agree with the wording given in RIS2006-17 as these wordings are very vague. (3) Also it states that RPS functions use double sided tolerance limits for the AAF. Since AAF is a band it will always be double sided and therefore, this clarification does not mean anything and it clouds the issue.	 Responder: Hilmes (1) The Acceptable As Found (AAF) definition will be revised to be consistent with TSTF-493 in FSAR Amendment 102. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change. (2) Additional detail on the AAF methodology was provided in sections 7.1.2.1.9.1, Westinghouse Setpoint Methodology, and 7.1.2.1.9.2, TVA Setpoint Methodology. These sections will be revised to clarify the AAF calculations in FSAR Amendment 102. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change. (3) The statement about double sided limits addresses a TSTF requirement that the AAF tolerance consider errors in both the conservative and non-conservative directions and ensures that an as-found value which exceeds these limits, even in the conservative direction (away from the safety limit), will be evaluated. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change. 		Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#70	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 27
308	7.1	7.1	ICB (Garg)	Acceptable as left tolerance is not in accordance with TSTF-493 as it states that this may take calibration history into consideration. This is very vague and ambiguous. (2) Also it states that RPS functions use double sided tolerance limits. Since ALF is a band it will always be double sided and therefore, this clarification does not mean anything and clouds the issue.	 Responder: Hilmes (1) The statement about using calibration history to determine the Acceptable As Left (AAL) will be deleted in FSAR Amendment 102. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change. (2) See response to letter item 27 (NRC Matrix Item 307). 		Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#71	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 28
309	7.1	7.1.2.1. 9.1	(Garg)	(1) FSAR amendment 100, Page 7.1-14, Westinghouse setpoint methodology, states that AAF is the algebraic sum of the This is not acceptable. As algebraic sum is non conservative compared to the SRSS method and will mask the operability of the instrument channel and therefore, it is not acceptable to the staff. (2) It also make the statement that ALT may take calibration history into consideration which is vague and ambiguous.	'		Open Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#72	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 29
310	7.1	7.1.2.1. 9.2	CB (Garg)	(1) FSAR amendment 100, Page 7.1-14, TVA setpoint methodology, states that for AAFand other measurable uncertainties as appropriate (i.e., those present during calibration) should be changed to present during normal operation (2) Also on page 7.1-15, states that ALT may take calibration history into consideration which is vague and ambiguous.	 Responder: Hilmes <u>TVA Response:</u> (1) The AAF definition will be revised in FSAR Amendment 102 to read: "A tolerance band on either side of the NTSP which defines the limits of acceptable instrument performance, beyond which the channel may be 		Open Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#73	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 30

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	R/
					 considered degraded and must be evaluated for operability prior to returning it to service. Channels which exceed the AAF will be entered into the Corrective Action Program for further evaluation and trending. The Acceptable As Found tolerance is the SRSS combination of drift, maintenance and test equipment (M&TE) accuracy and readability, and calibration/reference accuracy. Other uncertainties may be included in the AAF if applicable." This revision eliminates the concern regarding uncertainties. Attachment 3 contained in the October 29, 2010 letter provided the revised FSAR Section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change. (2) The AAL definition will be revised in FSAR Amendment 102 to read: "A tolerance band on either side of the NTSP within which an instrument or instrument loop is left after calibration or setpoint verification. The Acceptable As Left tolerance is equal to or less than the SRSS combination of reference accuracy, M&TE accuracy and M&TE readability. Other uncertainties may be included in the AAL if applicable." This revision eliminates the concern regarding calibration history. Attachment 3 contained in the October 29, 2010 letter provided the revised FSAR Section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects the concern regarding calibration history. Attachment 3 contained in the October 29, 2010 letter provided the revised FSAR Section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR 				
311	7.1	7.1	(Garç	Both Westinghouse and TVA setpoint methodology do not have any discussion on single sided calculation. Please confirm that single sided calculation has not been used for all setpoints with TSTF-493 and provide a statement to that effect in the FSAR.	Amendment 102 that reflects this change. Responder: Hilmes A statement that single-sided corrections are not used for TSTF-493 setpoints will be included in FSAR Amendment	8. Y	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10	EICI ML1 Item
			EICB		102. Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change.			Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal	
326			EICB(Garg)	TVA uses double-sided methodology for as-found and as-left Reactor Trip and ESFAS instrument setpoint values. The FSAR will be revised in a future amendment to reflect this methodology	Responder: Webb Attachment 3 contains the revised FSAR section 7.1.2.1.9 that will be included in FSAR Amendment 102 that reflects this change.	9. Y	Open October 22, 2010 Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal	
329	7.6.1	7.6.7	EICB (Si	Section 7.6.7 of the FSAR (Amendment 100) states that, "The DMIMS-DX [™] audio and visual alarm capability will remain functional after an Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE). All of the DMIMS-DX [™] components are qualified for structural integrity during a Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) and will not mechanically impact any safety-related equipment." TVA to clarify the seismic qualification of the loose parts monitoring system and include the appropriate information in Table 3.10 (or another suitable section) of the FSAR.	Responder: Clark The title of FSAR Section 3.10 is Seismic Design of Category I Instrumentation and Electrical Equipment. Since the Loose Part Monitoring System is not a Category 1 system, it is not included in the scope of 3.10. FSAR Section 7.6.7, "Loose Parts Monitoring System (LPMS) System Description," identifies basic system seismic design criteria which are consistent with the requirements of TVA Design Criteria, WB-DC-30-31, Loose Parts Monitoring System. As identified in FSAR Table 7.1-1, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant NRC	10. N	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal. TVA to confirm that the equipment has been	RAI ML1 10/2

RAI No. & Date RAI Resp. Date Comments EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#74 TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 31 TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 37 RAI No. 1 ML102980005 10/26/2010 TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 39

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					Regulatory Guide Conformance, the system conforms to Reg. Guide 1.133 as modified by Note 12. Reg. Guide 1.133 identifies the seismic requirements and Note 12 does not contain any exception to the Regulatory Guide seismic requirements. The Westinghouse LPMS seismic report, EQ-QR-33-WBT, Revision 0, Seismic Evaluation of the Digital Metal Impact Monitoring System (DMIMS-DX™) for Watts Bar Unit 2, will be added as Reference 7 to FSAR section 7.6 in amendment 102.			seismically qualified as required and that TVA reviewed and found the report acceptable. TVA response does not list the seismic test document and its acceptance by TVA. FSAR should reference the test document as the source document for tracking conformance.			
334	7	7	EICB (Darbali)	Symbols" doesn't show the symbols for the first column of valves. Please correct this in a future FSAR amendment.	Responder: Stockton	11. Y	Open Figure will be corrected in FSAR Amendment 102.	Open-TVA/A102 Due 12/17/10 Pending FSAR Amendment 102 submittal.	RAI not required.	N/A	RAI not required because the figure is not part of any SE section.
274.b			Ш	Loose Parts Monitoring System: TR 3.3 refers to section 4.4.6 of the FSAR for description of the loose parts monitoring system.	 Responder: Clark The reference will be changed to FSAR section 7.6.7 Loose Part Monitoring System (LPMS) System Description in next revision of the Technical Requirements Manual as shown below: 1. Watts Bar FSAR, Section 7.6.7, "Lose Part Monitoring System." (Note: Bechtel I&C to submit TRM change package to TVA Licensing.) 		Open Response provided in letter dated 10/21/10	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due Pending TRM amendment submittal. Response acceptable. TVA to complete stated action.	RAI No. 6 ML102980005 10/26/2010	TVA Letter dated 10/21/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 12	
276	7.6	7.6	EICB (Garç	In order for the staff to review the effects of multi control systems failure, provide the summary of the analyses documenting the effect on the plant based on the following events: (1) loss of power to all control systems powered by a single power supply; (2) failure of each instrument sensor which provides signal to two or more control systems; (3) Break of any sensor impulse line which is used for sensors providing signals to two or more control systems; and (4) failure of digital system based on the common cause software failure affecting two or more control systems. For each of these events, confirm that the consequences of these events will not be outside chapter 15 analyses or beyond the capability of operators or safety systems.	The Distributed Control System (DCS) implemented using Foxboro I/A hardware, replaces most of the non-safety related control systems for WBN Unit 2. The other non- safety-related control systems within the scope of this	2. Y	Open Response provided in letter dated 10/21/10 Response Acceptable. 11/17/10	Due 11/24/10 TVA to provide	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#60	TVA Letter dated 10/21/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 13	

o. SE Sec		NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			 question are configured in the same manner as Unit 1, with redundant power sources such that the failure of a single power source does not cause a loss of function. (2) Signals shared by more than one control function within the DCS are addressed in the DCS segmentation analysis submitted on TVA letter to NRC dated August 11, 2010, Enclosure 2 (Reference 7) which demonstrates that the loss of a single signal does not cause a failure of any critical control function. The impact of a loss of signal to the other systems within the scope of this question is bounded by the loss of that signal to the individual system and has the same effect as for Unit 1. 			that their failure does not have consequences which will put the plant outside chapter 15 analyses.			
			 (3) Where feasible, the Unit 2 design includes separate sense lines for redundant transmitters, thereby eliminating multiple single point failures which are present in Unit 1. A review of the transmitter sense line database was performed to identify multiple sensors on a single sense line that had control functions (transmitters and switches). Attachment 9 provides the results of the review and an analysis of the functions impacted by a sense line failure. There are no transmitters on shared sense lines, such that a sense line failure would impact any combination of the DCS, Rod Control or Main Turbine Electro- 						
			 Hydraulic Control Systems. (4) Limiting DCS failures were addressed in the segmentation analysis, supplemented by Fault Handling in the I/A Series System, Revision 1, submitted on TVA letter to NRC dated October 5, 2010, Attachment 42 (Reference 1). The other systems within the scope of this question are analog and therefore this question is not applicable. 						
			All non-safety control systems have been evaluated against these criteria and TVA has determined that their failure does not have consequences which will put the plant outside chapter 15 analyses.						
			TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: All non-safety related control systems were reviewed in the context of this question. Only those control systems (i.e. the Distributed Control System (DCS), Rod Control and the Main Turbine Electro-hydraulic Control System) previously discussed in the TVA to NRC letter dated October 21, 2010 (Reference 3) are within the scope of this question. The review found that failures of non-safety related control systems based on the scenarios in this RAI, do not have consequences which will put the plant outside the Chapter 15 analyses.						
1 7.6	6 7.6.8	$ \begin{array}{c} $	Responder: Webb	3. N	Open		EICB RAI ML102910008	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10	
		For FSAR Section 7.6.8 in amendment 96, redline version has	Attachment 5 contains the WBN Unit 2 FSAR markup for		Response provided in letter		Item#65	Enclosure 1 Item	

						Response					
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				not able to determine any changes made to the section. Explain what changes have been made to this FSAR Section.	Section 7.6.8, "Interlocks for RCS Pressure Control During Low Temperature Operation," showing what was changed between Amendments 95 and 96. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: The interlock for the RCS Pressure Control for Unit 2 is implemented differently than Unit 1 implementation. There are no differences between Unit 1 and Unit 2 interlocks, operation of interlocks and operator interface for operation of the RCS Pressure Control. Primary sensing elements and final control elements are identical and operations of these devices are identical. For Unit 2, once signals are processed by the Eagle 21 system, interlock implementation is by software modules in the Foxboro I/A Distributed Control System (DCS). Hardware outputs, generated in the DCS, operate the PORVs. The Unit 2 DCS is implemented via EDCRs 52378 and 54504. Section 7.6.8 in Amendment 101 of the WBN Unit 2 FSAR reflects the Unit 2 changes associated with implementation of the DCS.		dated 10/29/10	Provide the basis for the changes. Look at Foxboro I/A impact.		No. 16	
288	7.3		EICB (Garg)	9/2/2010 (1) Can we add a section to chapter 7 giving a brief overview of the Foxboro Spec 200 in Section 7.3? Additional Clarification provided by the NRC (2) TVA should include the list of all the functions where Spec 200 is used and discuss differences between unit 1 and unit 2. (3) This discussion should also include loops which are currently used for Unit 1 operation (4) If Spec 200 components have also been qualified to RG 1.209, it should be stated and if not why not.	 Responder: McNeil (1) and (2) The following new section and reference will be added to the WBN Unit 2 FSAR as part of Amendment 102: 7.3.1.1.3 Analog Instrumentation The miscellaneous safety-related analog process control and indication loops are made up of discrete analog modules that have been tested and qualified for use in safety related systems. The various components have been qualified to IEEE Standard 323-1983 (R-1996) IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class IE Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE Standard 344-1987 (R-1993) IEEE Standard Recommended Practices for Seismic Qualification of Class IE Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations, and IEEE Standard 384-1984 (R-1992) IEEE Standard Criteria for Independence of Class IE Equipment and Circuits. The modules are arranged in instrument loops to provide the safety functions listed below: Turbine driven AFW Pump Flow Control Motor driven AFW pump differential pressure indication and recirculation valve control Steam generator AFW flow and level indication and control Containment Pressure indication Upper and Lower Compartment Containment Ambient Temperature indication RHR Heat Exchanger CCS Supply Header Flow Sample Heat Exchanger Header CCS Differential Flow ERCW Strainer Differential Pressure, Backwash and Flush Control CCS Heat Exchanger B Inlet Pressure CCS Surge Tank Level Control 		Open TVA committed to adding a description of the Foxboro Spec 200 hardware at the 10/12 NRC Public Meeting.	•	EICB RAI ML102910008 Item#67		

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 CCS Heat Exchanger B Outlet Temperature Reactor Vessel Head Vent Throttle Manual Loading Station (Unit 2 Only) EGTS Annulus Differential Pressure Control The components are physically arranged in the racks to meet the requirements of IEEE-279 and Watts Bar Design Criteria WB-DC-30-4, Separation/Isolation. (Unit 2 Only) Two IE analog modules are used to isolate IE to Non-IE signals. These are the Contact Output Isolator and Voltage-to-Current Converter, both of which have the Input and Output signals isolated. EMI testing and acceptance by TVA of the Foxboro Spec 200 hardware is documented in Reference [8]. <u>References:</u> (8) Invensys Process Systems Document No. 800063- 1830, "Electromagnetic Compatibility Test Reports," dated August 21, 2008, Rev. 0. (2) As agreed to by TVA and the NRC reviewer, the level of detail necessary to describe the differences between Unit 1 and Unit 2 is down to the specific hardware manufacturer. This level of detail was agreed to not be appropriate in Chapter 7 which discusses the functions and design requirements for the plant control systems. The hardware manufacturer level of detail is addressed in Chapter 3.10 which describes the qualification of the specific hardware for safety related functions. (3) While not specifically identified as such, loops in service for Unit 1 (Essential Raw Cooling Water etc.) are described in the FSAR chapters describing the systems the loops serve. (4) Reg. Guide 1.209, "Guidelines for Environmental Qualification of Safety-Related Computer-Based Instrumentation and Control Systems in Nuclear Power Plants," is not applicable to the analog Foxboro Spec 200 						
327				31, 2011.	hardware. Responder: Webber In accordance with correspondence from Foxboro, there is no proprietary information contained in the 08F802403-SC- 2001 drawings. Based on this, no affidavit for withholding is required. Attachment 1 contains versions of the drawings with the proprietary information block removed.	5. Y	Open	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due 11/24/10			
330	7.3	7.3	EICB (Darbali)	IE Bulletin 80-06 calls for review of engineered safety features with the objective of ensuring that no device will change position solely because of the 'reset' action. In Supplement 3 of NUREG-0847, section 7.3.5, the staff approved the design modifications proposed by the applicant that would	The original response to IE Bulletin 80-06 for both WBN Unit 1 and 2 was provided on TVA letter to NRC dated March 11, 1982 (ML073530129) (Reference 4). Subsequent design changes have impacted the original response such that	6. Y	Open TVA to replace the word "Containment" with "Compartment" in 1.c.	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due 11/24/10	EICB RAI No.20 ML102910017, 10/19/10		

No	SE Sec	FSAR 5. Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				The staff also found acceptable the applicant's justification for some safety-related equipment that does not remain in its emergency mode after an ESF reset.	dated March 11, 1982 (ML073530129) (Reference 4). The following is the current list of equipment that does not remain in its emergency mode after an ESF reset:						
				Please list for Unit 1 and Unit 2 the safety-related equipment that does not remain in its emergency mode after an ESF	1. Unit 1 and 2 Equipment (prefix 1- (Unit 1) or 2- (Unit 2)						
				reset.	 Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Turbine Speed Control Valve, FCV-1-52 						
					 Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) Level Control Valves as listed below: 						
					i. LCV-3-172 - SG3 - Level Control Valve ii. LCV-3-173 - SG2 - Level Control Valve iii. LCV-3-174 - SG1 - Level Control Valve iv. LCV-3-175 - SG4 - Level Control Valve v. LIC-3-172 - SG3 - Level Indicating						
					Controller vi. LIC-3-173 - SG2 - Level Indicating Controller						
					vii. LIC-3-174 - SG1 - Level Indicating Controller						
					viii. LIC-3-175 - SG4 - Level Indicating Controller						
					ix. LCV-3-148 - SG3 - Level Valve x. LCV-3-156 - SG2 - Level Valve xi. LCV-3-164 - SG1 - Level Valve						
					xii. LCV-3-171 - SG4 - Level Valve xiii. LCV-3-148A - SG3 - Level Bypass						
					Control Valve xiv. LCV-3-156A - SG2 - Level Bypass Control Valve						
					xv. LCV-3-164A - SG1 - Level Bypass Control Valve						
					xvi. LCV-3-171A - SG4 - Level Bypass Control Valve xvii. LIC-3-148 - SG3 - Controller						
					xviii. LIC-3-146 - SG3 - Controller xviii. LIC-3-156 - SG2 - Controller xix. LIC-3-164 - SG1 - Controller						
					xx. LIC-3-171 - SG4 - Controller						
					c. Lower and Upper Compartment Cooler Fans and Control Rod Drive Mechanism Cooler Fan						
					d. Penetration Room Cooler Fans Elevations 737, 692 and 713						
					e. Pipe Chase Cooler Fans						
					2. Common Equipment						
					a. Shutdown Board Room A Pressurizing Fansb. Control Building Ventilation Dampers as listed						
					below:						
					i. 0-FCO-31-9 - Spreading Room Supply Fan Damper						

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 Damper iii. 0-FCO-31-16 - Toilet a Locker Room Exhaust Fan Exhaust Damper iv. 0-FCO-31-17 - Toilet a Locker Room Exhaust Fan Exhaust Damper v. 0-FCO-31-3 - Main Control Room Isolation Damper vi. 0-FCO-31-4 - Main Control Room Isolation Damper vii. 0-FCO-31-36 - Spreading Room Fresh Air Supply Damper viii. 0-FCO-31-37 - Spreading Room Fresh Air Supply Damper c. Cask Loading Exhaust Dampers as listed i. 0-FCO-30-122 - Cask Loading Area Exhaust Damper ii. 0-FCO-30-123 -Cask Loading Area Exhaust Damper d. Auxiliary Building General Supply Exhaust Fans Elevation 737 e. CCW and AFT Pump Space Cooler Fans f. Spent Fuel Pit Pumps Space Coolers g. EGTS Room Coolers h. Turbine Driven AFW and Boric Acid Space Coolers 						
336	7.5.2.3	7.5		Re: RM-1000 Report 04508905-QR	Responder: GA	7. N (Dpen	Open-TVA/Bechtel			
			Ē	Nonconforming Material Reports. Pages 1 and 6 are missing and page 2 is included multiple times. Also identify which page number is the last page number.	General Atomics was not able to determine where the duplicate page 2 originated. The master document does not contain any duplicate pages. Due a clerical error during document development, the master document starts at page 2 and ends at page 9, for a total of 8 pages. In May of this year, the NRC discovered that the master document was missing page 6. The master document was revised and re- submitted. Attachment 2 contains the missing page 6. The Nonconforming Material (NCM) reports found on Appendix F are complete.			Due 11/24/10			
337	7.5.2.3	7.5		Re: RM-1000 Report 04508905-QR	Responder: GA		Dpen	Open-TVA/Bechtel			
			EICB (Singh)		The test fixture listed on Appendix C is applicable to the RM- 1000, as indicated in the second and third paragraph of section 4.3.1, of the 04508905-QR report. The RM-1000's and the I/F converters are mounted on a standard 19 inch NIM-Bin, and this test rack is configured to simulate the field installation of a standard 19 inch rack. This seismic test fixture was originally built for the seismic testing of the RM2300's which are also mounted on a standard 19 in NIM-Bin."			Due 11/24/10			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date Comments
338	7.5.2.3	7.5	EICB (Singh)	In page 3-15 and appendix B of Qualification Test Report 04508905-QR, licensee described the selection of seismic required response spectra (RRS) and indicated Figure 3-2 (page 3-17), Figure 3-3 (page 3-18) are the RRSs used. The RRS curves used for actual testing are lower than the RRS curves that are shown on Figures 3-2 and 3-3. The RRS curves used for testing are shown in Figure 4-5, 4-6, 4-7, 4-8, 4-11, 4-12, 4-13, and 4-14 (pages 4-25, 4-26, 4-28, 4-29, 4-37, 4-38, 4-40, 4-41). Please clarify and justify why the RRS curves used in actual tests are lower than the RRS curves determined in Figures 3-2 and 3-3. In addition please justify that the RRS used for testing envelopes the RRS required for WBN-2 application specific seismic conditions.	Responder: Civil EQB Get date from Bob Brown	9. N	Open	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due: 12/17/10		
339	7.5.2.3	7.5	EICB (Singh)	Earthquake (OBE) TRS plots for NRC review.	Responder: Bob Brown	10. N	Open	Open-TVA/Bechtel Due: 12/17/10		
300			EICB (Singh)	Are detectors different from Unit 1. Describe any differences. Are there any commercially dedicated parts in the RM-1000? If so, how are they dedicated? Please confirm that digital communication ports available in RM-1000 are not used.	 Responder: Temples/Mather (1) The Radiation Monitoring Design Criteria Document, WB-DC-40-24, Revision 21 is contained in Attachment 6 to letter dated October 31, 2010. (2) Attachment 7 contains the General Atomics detector differences report. The containment high range radiation monitors are loops 271-274. (3) For safety-related applications, General Atomics Electronic Systems, Inc. supplies the RM-1000 module assembly as a Basic Component. This assembly does contain component parts that are Safety-Related Commercial Grade Items (SRCGI). Because these SRCGI components are assembled into the delivered Basic Component, they are dedicated to the assembly by virtue of the acceptance test of the full RM-1000 assembly. Safety-related commercial grade items are dedicated in accordance with General Atomics approved 10 CFR 50 Appendix B program. (4) The digital communications ports on the safety-related RM-1000 radiation monitors are not used. TVA Response to Follow-up NRC Request: General Atomics Electronics Systems, Inc. is an approved 10 CFR 50 Appendix B supplier. They have a commercial grade dedication program. 	1. N	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	 Due 11/24/10 TVA to address the following comments: (1) Is it Att. 5 or Att. 6? (2) PI. confirm that HRRMs are loops 271-274. (3) TVA to clarify that GA has a commercial dedication program in place and that GA is an approved 10CFR50, App. B supplier. App B does not address commercial grade dedication . (4) Response acceptable. 	RAI No. 25 ML102980005 10/26/2010	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 20
318	7.5.2.3	7.5	EICB (Singh)	 TVA has provided the following documents for RM-1000 equipment qualification: (i) Qualification Test Report for RM-1000 Processor Module and Current-To-Frequency Converter 04508905-QR (January 2001) (ii) Qualification Test Report Supplement, RM-1000 Upgrades 04508905-1SP (June 2006) 	 Responder: Temples (i) Applicable to WBN Unit 2. 04508905-1QR is applicable only in regards to the RM-1000, with the exception of re-qualification of certain RM-1000 equipment differences covered in the -1SP report. The Current-to-Frequency (I-F) converter module qualifications in the base report and the -1SP report 	2. N	Open Note check 04508905-1QR or QR. Staff version is QR only. Response is included in letter dated 10/29/10	Open-TVA/GA Due 12/22/10 Response update required. It is clear that 04508903-2SP and - 3SP are not applicable.	RAI No. 28 ML102980005 10/26/2010	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 34

rad321AB.docx

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				Supplement 3 was issued one month prior to supplement 2. Please explain the reason for the same.	are not applicable to the RM-1000s, and will be used later as references in the WBN Unit 2 specific qualification reports. (ii) Applicable to WBN Unit 2. (iv) Not applicable to WBN Unit 2 The 04508905-3SP report was prepared for another TVA olant, as a monitor system-level report, where the system ncluded equipment mostly based on the base report equipment items. These two -2SP and -3SP supplement reports were essentially worked concurrently, but the -2SP document review/release process resulted in the release time difference.			The response for applicability of 04508905-QR and - 1SP to RM-1000 and IF converter is not clear. Check page numbers of Appendix F (missing/duplicate pages). Check applicability of Appendix C to RM1000 instead of RM2300? See items 336 and 337. All equipment qualification reports including supplements 2SP and 3SP have been reviewed as vendor drawings for WBN-2. Please explain the reason for applicability of one report and not the other. Further all TVA/Bechtel reviews seems to be dispositioned as Code 4, "Review not required. Work may proceed." The applicable reports should have been reviewed prior to dispositioning them. Please explain the apparent lack of review of WBN-2 applicable documents. Was appropriate review guidance used?.			
340	7.5.2.3	7.5		Provide test result curves for all EMI/RFI tests listed in Table 3.2.3 I (page 3-8) of the Qualification Test Report 04508905-QR. In addition, please provide the standards or the guidance documents used as the source for ENV 50140, ENV 55011 Class A, and EN 55022 Class B.	Responder: GA	3. N	Open	Open-TVA/GA Due:12/22/10			
041	7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte	Please provide the following Westinghouse documents: I (1) WNA-DS-01617-WBT Rev. 1, "PAMS System Requirements Z Specification" Z (2) WNA-DS-01667-WBT Rev. 0, "PAMS System Design I Specification" Z (3) WNA-CD-00018-GEN Rev. 3, "CGD for QNX version 4.5g" Please provide the following Westinghouse documents or pointers I to where the material was reviewed and approved in the CQ TR or I	Responder: WEC Items (1) and (2) were docketed by TVA letter dated April 8, 2010. I tem (3) will be addressed by Revision 2 of the Licensing Technical Report . Due 12/3/10 Item (4) will be addressed by Westinghouse developing a WBN2 Specific Test Plan to compensate for the fact that the NRC disapproved WNA-PT-00058-GEN during the original		Open Partial Response is included in letter dated 10/5/10. The SysRS and SRS incorporate requirements from many other documents by reference. NNC 8/25/10: (3) An earlier version of this report was	Open-TVA/WEC Due: (3) 12/3/10 (4) 12/10/10 TVA to docket information indentified in ISG6.		6/18/10	See also Open Item Nos. 226 & 270.

rad321AB.docx

No.	SE	FSAR	NRC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
	Sec.	Sec.	POC	(4) WNA-PT-00058-GEN Rev. 0, "Testing Process for Common Q Safety systems" (5) WNA-TP-00357-GEN Rev. 4, "Element Software Test Procedure"	• • • • •	Y/N	docketed for the Common Q topical report; therefore, there should be no problem to docket this version. (4) Per ML091560352, the testing process document does not address the test plan requirements of the SPM. Please provide a test plan that implements the requirements of the SPM.				
050	7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte)	4/8/2010 How should the "shall" statements outside of the bracketed requirements in Common Q requirements documents be interpreted?	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10 These sections are descriptive text and not requirements. The next revision of the Watts Bar Unit 2 PAMS System Requirements Specification will remove "shall" from the wording in those sections. A date for completing the next revision of the System Requirements Specification will be provided no later than August 31, 2010. The System Requirements Specification will be revised by September 30, 2010 and submitted within two of receipt from Westinghouse. TVA Revised Response Shall statements within the scope of the System Requirements Specification (SysRS) and System Design Specification (SysDS) were reviewed by Westinghouse. The statements were either relocated to the numbered requirements section or the wording was changed to identify that it was not a requirement. This item is resolved by submittal of revision 2 of the SysRS and the SysDS (attachments 7 and 8 of TVA Letter to NRC dated 10/25/10).	2. N	Open TVA response is inconsistent (e.g., WNA-DS-01667-WBT Rev. 1 page 1-1, Section 1.3.1 implies that "SysRS Section ####" has requirements. See also SDS4.4.2.1-1 on page 4- 32). Is there a requirement on the shall referenced above?? Response is provided in letter dated 10/29/10. TVA Revised Response in TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 1 is Acceptable NNC 11/18/10: Revised Response is not a statement of fact. SysRS Rev. 2 (i.e., WNA- DS-01617-WBT Rev. 2) contains many "shalls" that are not within numbered requirements sections, for example: (1) Page 2-1, Section 2.3.1 – See guidance statement (2) Page 2-10, top of page 1 – See guidance statement	Open-TVA/WEC DueDiscuss at 11/22 phone call.	EICB RAI ML102910002 Item No. 8	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10 TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 1	NNC 11/18/10: SysRS Rev. 2 contains several "Reference 8", however, Reference 8 has been deleted.
067	7.5.2	7.5.1	Ü	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Commercial Grade Dedication Instructions for Al687, Al688, Upgraded PC node box and flat panels." was September 28, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10 The following status is from the revised WB2 Common Q PAMS ISG-6 Compliance Matrix submitted in response to Item 43: a. Al687, Al688 – Scheduled for September 28, 2010 b. Upgraded PC node box and flat panel displays – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2024 (Reference 7), these items are available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office. c. Power supplies – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2035 (Reference 12), these items are available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville office.	3. N	Open This item is addressed in Rev. 2 of the Licensing Technical Report	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/3/10	N/A - No question was asked. Item was opened to track comm8ittment made by applicant.	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	

rad321AB.docx

			T	-		Dessesses				- 	
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					To be addressed during 9/20-9/21 audit						
		/				4					
068	7.5.2	7.5.1		By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submitta	I Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	4. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	
			(Car	date for the "Summary Report on acceptance of Al687, Al688, Upgraded PC node box, flat panels, and power supplies." was	The following status is from the revised WB2 Common Q		This item is addressed in Rev. 2	Due 12/3/10	was asked. Item was opened to track		
				September 28, 2010.	PAMS ISG-6 Compliance Matrix submitted in response to		of the Licensing Technical	Due 12/3/10	comm8ittment		
			Ĕ		Item 43:		Report		made by applicant.		
									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
					a. Al687, Al688 – Scheduled for September 28, 2010						
					b. Upgraded PC node box – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-						
					D-2024 (Reference 7), this item is available for audit at the						
					Westinghouse Rockville office.						
					c. Flat panel displays – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-						
					2024 (Reference 7), this item is available for audit at the						
					Westinghouse Rockville office.						
					d. Power supplies – Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2035						
					(Reference 12), these items are available for audit at the						
					Westinghouse Rockville office.						
					To be addressed during 9/20-9/21 audit						
					To be addressed during 5/20-5/21 addit						
069	7.5.2	7.5.1	(B)	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submitta date for the "Watts Bar 2 PAMS Specific FAT Report" was Octobe 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	5. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC		N/A	
			Carl	date for the "Watts Bar 2 PAMS Specific FAT Report" was Octobe	r		Augustican for de concert to be	D 0/40/44	was asked. Item		
			9	2010.			Awaiting for document to be docketed by TVA.	Due 2/18/11	was opened to track comm8ittment	(
									made by applicant.		
070	7.5.2	7.5.1	te)	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submitte	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	6. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC	N/A - No question	TVA Letter dated	
				date for the "Concept and Definition Phase V&V Report" was March 31, 2010.	Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-1961, (Reference 8) this		Partial Response is included in	Due 12/17/10	was asked. Item was opened to track	6/18/10	
			CB (document is available for audit at the Westinghouse		letter dated 10/5/10.		comm8ittment	TVA Letter dated	
			EIC		Rockville office.				made by applicant.	8/20/10	
							Regulations require that the				
					WNA-VR- 00283-WBT, Rev 0 was submitted on TVA letter		NRC review be based on			TVA Letter dated	
					to the NRC dated August 20, 2010 (Reference 7).		docketed material. Awaiting for document to be docketed by			10/5/10	
					The submitted V&V did not address the Requirements		TVA.				
					Traceability Matrix and did not summarize anomalies. At the						
					September 15th public meeting, Westinghouse agreed to		NNC 8/25/10: Requirements				
					include the Concept and Definitions Phase Requirements		Phase SVVR provided by TVA				
					Traceability Matrix (RTM) in the next IV&V report along with partial Design Phase updates to the RTM.		letter dated 8/20/10.				
					אין איז						
					TVA Revised Response:						
					TVA submitted WNA-VR- 00283-WBT, Rev 0 to NRC in						
					letter dated August 20, 2010 (Reference 6).						
					The next Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)						
					report will include the Design						
					Phase Requirements Traceability Matrix. The Design Phase						
					IV&V Report will be submitted						
		.			to NRC by February 11, 2011.			o			
071	7.5.2	7.5.1	art (C B	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submitta date for Revision 2 of the I V&V Report" covering the Design and	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	7. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC	N/A - No question was asked. Item	N/A	
				date for Nevision 2 of the FVav Neport Covering the Design and					was askeu. Item		

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			Implementation phases was July 30, 2010.			Awaiting for document to be docketed by TVA.	Due 12/10/10	was opened to track commitment made by applicant.		
073	7.5.2	7.5.1	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for Revision 3 of the IV&V Report covering the Integration phase was October 29, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	8. N	Open Awaiting for document to be docketed by TVA.	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/22/10	N/A - No question was asked. Item was opened to track commitment made by applicant.	N/A	
074	7.5.2	7.5.1	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the Post FAT IV&V Phase Summary Report was November 30, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	9. N	Open TVA to provide due date.	Open-TVA/WEC Due 2/21/11	N/A - No question was asked. Item was opened to track commitment made by applicant.	N/A	
075	7.5.2	7.5.1	By letter dated March 12, 2010 TVA stated that the target submittal date for the "Watts Bar 2 PAMS Specific FAT Procedure" was September 30, 2010.	Responder: WEC Date: 5/25/10	10. N	Open Awaiting for document to be docketed by TVA.	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/3/10	N/A - No question was asked. Item was opened to track commitment made by applicant.	N/A	
081	7.5.2	7.5.1	The PAMS Licensing Technical Report (WNA-LI-00058-WBT Rev. 0, Dated April 2010), in Section 7, lists codes and standards applicable to the Common Q PAMS. This list contains references to old revisions of several regulatory documents, for example: (1) RG 1.29 - September 1978 vs. March 2007 (2) RG 1.53 - June 1973 vs. November 2003 (a) IEEE 379-1994 vs2000 (3) RG 1.75 - September 1975 vs. February 2005 (a) IEEE 384-1992 vs1992 (4) RG 1.100 - June 1988 vs. September 2009 (a) IEEE 344-1987 vs2004 (5) RG 1.152 - January 1996 vs. January 2006	Responder: Merten/WEC The codes and standards documents listed in Section 7 of the Common Q PAMS Licensing Technical Report are the documents that the Common Q platform was licensed to when the NRC approved the original topical report and issued the approved SER. The WBN Unit 2 Common Q PAMS is designed in accordance with the approved Common Q topical report and approved SER and the codes and standards on which the SER was based. Since the current versions referenced are not applicable to WBN Unit 2, there is no basis for a comparison review. Bechtel to develop a matrix and work with Westinghouse to provide justification.	11. N	Open ML101600092 Item No.1: There are three sets of regulatory criteria that relate to a Common Q application (e.g. WBN2 PAMS): (a) Common Q platform components – Common Q TR (b) Application Development Processes – Common Q SPM (c) Application Specific – current regulatory criteria The Common Q Topical Report and associated appendices primarily addressed (a) and (b). The Common Q SER states: 'Appendix 1, "Post Accident Monitoring Systems," provides the functional requirements and conceptual design approach for upgrading an existing PAMS based on Common Q components (page 58, Section 4.4.1.1, "Description")On the basis of the above review, the staff concludes that Appendix 1 does not contain sufficient information to establish the generic acceptability of the proposed PAMS design (page 56, Section 4.4.1.3, "PAMS Evaluation")' The NRC did not approve the proposed PAMS design. Section 6, "References," and Section 7, "Codes and	TVA to provide requested information.	EICB RAI ML102910002 Item No. 9	TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	

Ν	lo. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	R/
							Standards Applicable to the Common Q PAMS," of the PAMS Licensing Technical Report contain items that are not the current regulatory criteria.		
							Please provide an explanation of how the WBN2 PAMS conforms with the application specific regulatory criteria applicable to the WBN2 PAMS design. For example IEEE Std. 603-1991 Clause 5.6.3, "Independence Between Safety Systems and Other Systems," and Clause 6.3, "Interaction Between the Sense and Command Features and Other Systems," contain application specific requirements that must be addressed by a PAMS system. Awaiting TVA Response.		
0	82 7.5.2	7.5.1	EICB (Carte)	5/6/2010 The PAMS Licensing Technical Report (WNA-LI-00058-WBT Rev. 0, Dated April 2010), in Section 2.3, lists hardware/software changes to the Common Q PAMS previously reviewed by the NRC. However the Common Q ISG-6 Compliance Matrix does not contain activities that address qualification of all changes specifically:	Responder: WEC Date: 6/18/10 These components can be found in the Summary Qualification Report Of Hardware Testing For Common Q Applications, 00000-ICE-37764, Rev 3 and TWICE Qualification Status Report, WNAQR-00011-SSP Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2024, (Reference) dated June 9, 2010, these documents are available for audit at the Westinghouse Rockville Office. TVA provided information by letter dated July 30, 2010 (ML102160349) - See Enclosure 1 Item No. 7. Revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report provides additional detail on the platform specific to WBN2 and references to the evaluation documentation.	12. N	Open Regulations require that the NRC review be based on	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/3/10 Commercial Grade Dedication to be addressed in LTR Rev. 2,	EICE ML10 Item

RAI Resp. Date RAI No. & Date Comments ICB RAI IL102910002 em No. 10 TVA Letter dated
7/30/10NNC 11/18/10: See also Open Item No.41, Item No. 3.

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s) Acc	esponse ceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
						dedication program and implementation in Rev. 2 of the CQ PAMS LTR.				
085	7.5.2	7.5.1	Please provide a detailed description of the PAMS MTP data link to the plant computer. This description should identify all equipment (model & version) and describe the functions that each piece of equipment performs. This description should be of sufficient detail for the NRC to independently evaluate the statements made in WNA-LI-00058-WBT Rev. 0, Section 5.3.	Responder: WEC 13. Is the WEC ISG4 evaluation inadequate? Operation of the MTP as a barrier device. MTP Fails as a barrier device. Describe what prevents a MTP failure from propagating to the AC160? Node loss on the bus? Bus loss? Revise the ISG4 section of the Licensing Technical Report (Rev. 2) to provide a more detailed description of the MTP as a barrier device.		A response will be provided by 10/31/10 NNC 8/11/10: Design information should be available now. By letter dated July 30, 2010 (ML102160349) TVA stated that the MTP was connected to a Red Hat Linux Server (see Enclosure 1, Item No. 14 part b.). It is presumed that this server is not safety- related. IEEE 603-1991 Clause 5.6.3(1) states, "Isolation devices used to affect a safety system boundary shall be classified as part of the safety system." Please describe how the MTP serves as the isolation device.	Due 12/3/10 Hardware is in Rev. 1 of the Licensing Technical Report due 10/22. NNC 8/25/10: Disagree with path forward input by TVA above. An explanation is about the design is	EICB RAI ML102910002 Item No. 13		
086	7.5.2	7.5.1	The PAMS Licensing Technical Report (WNA-LI-00058-WBT Rev. 0, Dated April 2010), in Section 6, lists references applicable to the I Common Q PAMS. This list contains references to old revisions of several regulatory documents, for example: (1) DI&C-ISG04 - Rev. 0 (ML072540138) vs. Rev. 1 (ML083310185) However, LIC-110, "Watts Bar Unit 2 License Application Review," states: "Design features and administrative programs that are unique to Unit 2 should then be reviewed in accordance with the current staff positions." Please identify all differences between the versions referenced and the current staff positions. Please provide a justification for the acceptability PAMS with respect to these	The regulatory documents listed in the Common Q PAMS Licensing Technical Report are the documents that the Common Q platform was licensed to when the NRC approved the original topical report and issued the approved SER. The WBN Unit 2 Common Q PAMS is designed in accordance with the approved Common Q topical report and approved SER and the regulatory documents on which the SER was based. Since the current versions referenced are not applicable to WBN Unit 2, there is no basis for a			Open-TVA/WEC		TVA Letter dated 6/18/10	
138				Responder: WEC 15. This item is used to track all Commercial Grade Dedication issues.		description of the generic Westinghouse <u>hardware</u>	Due 12/3/10 To be addressed by Rev. 2 of the Licensing Technical Report.	ML101650255, Item No. 2		

-		-							-	
No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.		Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			 Please provide the commercial grade dedication plans for each Common Q hardware or software component that has not been previously reviewed and approved by the NRC. (b) The draft ISG6 states that a commercial grade dedication report should be provided within 12 months of requested approval for a Tier 2 review. (i) Please provide 00000-ICE-37722 Rev. 0, "Commercial Grade Dedication Report for the QNX Operating System for Common Q Applications." (ii) Please provide WNA-CD-00018-GEN Rev. 3, "Commercial Dedication Report for QNX 4.25G for Common Q Applications." 			characteristics for commercial Westinghouse <u>hardware</u> components against the generic critical characteristics. (see ML102920031 Item No 2) TVA agreed to include a description of the generic Westinghouse <u>software</u> commercial grade dedication process in the PAMS licensing technical report. (see ML102920031 Item No 3) TVA agreed to include (in the PAMS licensing technical report) an evaluation of WBN2 critical characteristics for commercial <u>software</u> components against the generic critical characteristics. (see ML102920031 Item No 4)				
140		EICB (C	rational for this requirement is that it is necessary to meet	Responder: Clark WBN Unit 2 FSAR Amendment 100 Section 7.5.1.8, "Post Accident Monitoring System (PAMS)" specifies the Reg. Guide 1.97 variables implemented in the Common Q based WBN Unit 2 PAMS	16. N	Open NNC 11/3/10: The origin of the requirements in the SysRS are not clearly document. Rev. 1 of the Common Q PAMS Licensing Technical Report contains an open item that will be addressed in Rev. 2; this open item is to include "TVA's enhanced contract compliance matrix". It is expected that this matrix will address this open item.	TVA to docket PAMS	ML101650255, Item No. 4	TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 10	WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01617-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Requirements Specification," dated December 2009.
142		EICB (C	Software Requirements Specifications." IEEE 830-1994 Section 4.3.8, "Traceable," states: "A [requirements specification] is traceable of the origin of each of its requirements is clear"	 This item is used to track all traceability issues with the Software Requirements Specification (SRS). At the September 15 public meeting in Rockville, the following actions were agreed to. These items address the traceability concerns with the Software Requirements Specification. 1. Westinghouse will perform completed a review of the Requirements Traceability Matrix(RT), using the issues identified at the 9/15 public meeting as a guide (documented below) and update the RTM as required. 	17. N	development process in the	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/22/10 To be addressed by Revision of the RTM, SRS, SysRS, and SysDS.	ML101650255, Item No. 6		WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01617-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Requirements Specification," dated December 2009.

No. SE FSAR PO		TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
Sec. Sec. PO	 Does the same apply to documents referenced by the SRS? Such as WCAP-16096-NP-A, Rev. 1A, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems," which is incorporated by reference in requirement R2.3-2 in the SRS. R2.3-2 [The PAMS software shall comply with the requirements and guidelines defined in WCAP-16096-NP-A, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems" (reference 5).] If any requirements are expressed in such unnumbered paragraph form instead of individually identified requirements, please list them, describe why they satisfy the fundamental requirement of unambiguity, and describe how they were verified. A re there any sources of requirements in parallel with the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification? Meaning does the SRS contain, explicitly or by reference, all the requirements that were used in the design phase for the application specific software, or do software design phase activities use requirements found in any other source or document? If so, what are these sources or documents? References 12, 27, 29, and 31-44 in the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification are various types of "Reusable Software Element". These references are used in the body of the SRS, for example:" R5.3.14-2 [The Addressable Constants CRC error signal shall be TRUE when any CAL CRC's respective ERROR terminal = TRUE (WNA-DS-00315-GEN, "Reusable Software Element Document CRC for Calibration Data" [Reference 12]).] They are also included via tables such as found in requirement R7.1.2-1 [The Watts Bar 2 PAMS shall use the application-specific type circuits and custom PC element documents include requirements not explicitly stated in the SRS? If so what is their 	 IEEE 830 says you shouldn't have planning information in the SRS. Westinghouse has agreed to remove this information. IEEE 830 says you shouldn't have process requirements in the SRS. Westinghouse has agreed to remove these requirements. Westinghouse will perform and document an evaluation of the SRS to ensure compliance with Reg. Guide 1.172 and justify any deviations. 25 issues identified by V&V where some requirements have not been included in the SDS (14) and SRS (11) at the revisions reviewed by V&V. Have these been addressed? Yes. The next revisions of the SDS and SRS address these issues. Some hardware requirements are contained in the SRS instead of the System Design Specification (SDS). These will be removed from the SRS and incorporated into the next revision of the SDS. RTM item R4.2-2 protection class software set to 0. Needs to be fixed internally write CAPs to revise the application restrictions document on AC160. Westinghouse to improve the traceability of the tests that are performed with the function enable (FE) switch in the "ENABLE" position. Westinghouse to revise documents to be consistent with referring to the FE switch in the "ENABLE" position The flow of information is from the SysRS to the SDS (hardware) and SRS (software). Describe how the documents are used. Describe in 1.1 of the SysRS. Need a good write up of how the process works. Westinghouse and TVA will develop a revised schedule for document submittals and provide it to the NRC no later than 9/30/10 						

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 18. Westinghouse to resolve the following questions concerning SDDs a. Is the SDD a standalone document or will it incorporate the generic SDD by reference? b. What are the SDDs? c. PAMS is a delta document so how do we capture all the generic requirements for traceability. For Reusable Software Elements, Westinghouse to describe as qualified libraries by following the SPM and qualified using the Software Elements Test procedure under Appendix B program. Provide a summary of RSEDs generic WCAP. Westinghouse to determine if the WCAP was docketed under the AP1000 RSED concept is not in the SPM. WCAP-15927 AP-1000 does not discuss RCEDs. WCAP process was acceptable. RSEDs are listed in the SDD References. 						
143			EICB (Carte	 The WBN2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification (WBN2 PAMS SRS – ML101050202) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SRS was created to support the three documents identified (one of which is the WBN2 PAMS SysRS). Section 1.1, "Overview," of the WBN2 PAMS SRS states: "This document describes requirements for the major software components" (a) Please list and describe each of the "major software components". Please include a description of any NRC review for each of these components. (b) Please list and describe each of the other software components. Please include a description of any NRC review for each of these components. (c) What other documents contain the requirements for the other software components? The WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification (WBN2 PAMS SDS) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SysRS was created to support the WBN2 PAMS SysRS. Section 1.1, "Purpose," of the WBN2 PAMS SDS states: "The purpose of this document is to define the hardware design requirements" (c) Do the WBN2 PAMS SRS and SDS, together, implement all of the requirements in the WBN2 PAMS SysRS? (e) Please briefly describe all of the documents that implement the WBN2 PAMS SysRS. 		18. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/22/10 To be addressed by Revision of the RTM, SRS, SysRS, and SysDS.	ML101650255, Item No. 7		WBN2 PAMS System Requirements Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01617-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Requirements Specification," dated December 2009.
144			EICB (C	PAMS SRS) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SRS was created to support the three documents identified (two of these documents have been provided on the docket).	(a) The purpose of NABU-DP-00014-GEN document is to	19. N	Open Response provided in letter dated 10/5/10 NRC Review and WEC to complete response.	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/3/10 Responses to items a and e provided.	ML101650255, Item No. 8	10/5/10	WBN2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification By letter dated April 8, 2010 (ML10101050203), TVA docketed WNA-SD-00239-WBT, Revision 1, ""RAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				 GEN Revision 2, "Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems"). (b) Please describe the flow of information between these three documents. (c) Does the PAMS SRS implement the requirements in these three documents? (d) Please describe if and how these three documents are used in the development of the PAMS Software Design Description. (e) Do the WBN2 V&V activities include verification that the requirements of these three documents have been incorporated into the WBN2 PAMS SRS. 	 16096-NP-A. The scope of NABU-DP-00014-GEN includes the design and implementation processes for the application development. For a fuller description of the design process described in NABU-DP-00014-GEN please refer to the Design Process for AP1000 Common Q Safety Systems, WCAP-15927 on the AP1000 docket. Since this is a Westinghouse process document that is not specifically referenced in the SRS, it will be removed in the next revision of the document. (b) - Closed to items 142 and 145 (c) - Closed to items 142 and 145 (c) - Closed to item 142 (e) WBN2 PAMS Software Requirements Specification (WNA-SD-00239-WBT, Rev. 1) refers to Document Traceability & Compliance table on page iii. This table has three entries; Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems (NABU-DP-00014-GEN, Rev. 2), RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System – System Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-01617-WBT, Rev. 1), and RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System – System Design Specification (WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 1). IV&V performed a Requirements Traceability Assessment during which it reviewed Software Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 1). Requirements within Software Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-01667-WBT, Rev. 1). Requirements within Software Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-0167-WBT, Rev. 1). Requirements within Software Requirements Specification (WNA-DS-0167-WBT, Rev. 2, Design Process for Common Q Safety Systems, have also been reviewed for traceability and compliance. During IV&V's RTA effort the anomaly reports V&V-769 and V&V-770 have been initiated and reported in the IV&V Phase Summary Report for the System Definition Phase, WNA-VR-00283-WBT, Rev. 0. IV&V has verified that the requirements in SRS are derived from the specified documents listed in the Document Traceability and Compliance Table of WBN2 PAMS SRS. 		b-d to be addressed at public meeting and audit. Will require information to be docketed.	NNC 11/18/10: (1) Items b-d closed to other Open Item nos. (2) The point of these questions was to understand how the origin of the requirements in the requirements specifications were documented. TVA stated that the origin of the requirements would be demonstrated in Rev. 2 of the CQ PMAS LTR.			Program I&C Projects, Software Requirements Specification for the Post Accident Monitoring System," dated February 2010 (ML101050202).
145			EICB (C	 The WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification (WBN2 PAMS SDS) contains a table (see page iii) titled, "Document Traceability & Compliance," which states that the WBN2 PAMS SDS was created to support the WBN2 PAMS SysRS. (a) Does the WBN2 PAMS SDS implement all of the hardware requirements in the WBN2 PAMS SysRS? (b) Please briefly describe all of the documents that implement the hardware requirements of the WBN2 PAMS SysRS. 		20. N	Open During the September 20-21, 2010 audit at Westinghouse, it was acknowledged that TVA/Westinghouse had previously (in September 15, 2010 public meeting) stated: TVA would provide the RSED RTM. (see ML102920031 Item No 6)		ML101650255, Item No. 9		WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification TVA docketed WNA-DS-01667-WBT Rev. 1, "RRAS Watts Bar 2 NSSS Completion Program I&C Projects Post Accident Monitoring System- System Design Specification," dated December 2009.

	SE	FSAR	NRC			Response					
No.	SE Sec.	Sec.	POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable Y/N	e Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
					 Requirements Traceability Matrix(RT), using the issues identified at the 9/15 public meeting as a guide (documented below) and update the RTM as required. Some hardware requirements are contained in the SRS instead of the System Design Specification (SDS). These will be removed from the SRS and incorporated into the next revision of the SDS. 25 issues identified by V&V where some requirements have not been included in the SDS (14) and SRS (11) at the revisions reviewed by V&V. Have these been addressed? Yes. The next revisions of the SDS and SRS address these issues. TVA will update the Procurement Requisition Resolution Matrix and submit it to show how the Common Q PAMS design meets the contract requirements. The next issue of the IV&V report will include the Requirements phase review of the RTM and a partial review for the Design phase. Westinghouse to provide the generic AC160 and flat panel specifications. Westinghouse and TVA to develop a schedule of licensing document submittals that can be met by the project team. The flow of information is from the SysRS to the SDS (hardware) and SRS (software). Describe how the documents are used. Describe in 1.1 of the SysRS. Need a good write up of how the process works. 		TVA would revise and resubmit the PAMS RTM to address all types of issues identified in the public meeting. (see ML102920031 Item No 7) TVA would revise and resubmit the Software Verification and Validation phase summary report for the requirements phase to document the completion of the requirements phase review. (see ML102920031 Item No 8)				
156	7.2	7.2	EICE	change states that this was done to bring the text of this section in agreement with section 4.3.2.2.5, 4.4.2.2.6 and table 4.1-1.		21. N	Open Response is included in letter dated 10/5/10	Open-TVA/WEC Due Amendment 101 Submitted 10/29/10. TVA needs to justify why some places 121% is used and other places 118% is used . What does 121% or 118% means.	ML101720589, Item No. 8		Response on hold pending Westinghouse review.
183			EICB	7/15/2010 An emphasis is placed on traceability in System Requirements Specifications in the SRP, in the unmodified IEEE std 830-1993, and even more so given the modifications to the standard listed in Regulatory Guide 1.172, which breaks with typical NRC use of the	Responder: WEC The generic Software Requirements Specification applies except as modified by the WBN Unit 2 System Requirements Specification.	22. Y	Open Response provided in letter dated 10/21/10	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/3/10 NNC 11/18/10 : The point behind this open	ML102980066 Item No. 9	TVA Letter dated 10/21/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 4	

No. SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			word "should" to say "Each identifiable requirement in an SRS must be traceable backwards to the system requirements and the design bases or regulatory requirements that is satisfies" On page 1-2 of the Post Accident Monitoring System's Software Requirements Specification in the background section, is the sentence "Those sections of the above references that require modification from the generic PAMS are defined in the document" referring purely to the changes from WNA-DS-01617-WBT "Post Accident Monitoring System-System Requirements Specification" or is it saying that there are additional changes beyond those and that the SRS defines them? If there are additional changes, what is their origin?				item was that TVA must demonstrate that the origin of each requirement in the WEC requirements specification is known and documented. TVA stated that this information would be in CQ PAMS LTR Rev. 2.			
185		EICB (Carte)	7/15/2010 An emphasis is placed on the traceability of requirements in Software Requirements Specifications in the SRP, in the unmodified IEEE std 830-1993, and even more so given the	Responder: WEC Steve Clark to look at how to combine traceability items. Was addressed to during the 9/15 meeting and 9/20 - 9/21 audit.	23. N	Open		EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 17		
187		EICB (Carte)	requests for information. 1) Enclosure 1, Item No. 33 of the TVA letter dated June 18, 2010, did not identify any connection from the PAMS Operator Modules (OMs) to the plant computer and printers; however, Figure 2.1-1 of	Responder: Merten 1) Please refer to the revised response to letter dated 10/5/10 Item 18 (RAI Matrix item 115). 2) This is a duplicate of closed RAI Matrix Item 45.	24. N	Open Partial Response provided in letter dated 10/5/10 NNC 8/25/10: Why did TVA not catch this on the review of the	Due 12/22/10 Revise Response	ML101970033, Item No. 1 & 2	n TVA Letter dated 10/5/10	Are these connections already docketed?

						Response					1
No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				OMs to the plant computer and printer. Please explain. 2) Please clarify whether any digital safety-related systems or components have a digital communications path to non-safety- related systems or with safety related systems in another division. If so, NRC staff will need these paths identified on the docket.			PAMS SysRS or SRS? Does TVA check that the CQ PAMS system meets the requirements in its purchase specifications?				
202	7.5.2			Evaluation for the Common Q topical report to Westinghouse stated: "Should our criteria or regulations change so that our conclusions as to the acceptability of the report are invalidated, CE	Revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report will provide more detailed information on the changes to the platform.	25. N	Open Partial Response provided in letter dated 10/5/10		EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 4	TVA Letter dated 10/5/10	
212	7.5.2		EICB (Carte)		Responder: WEC Application specific requirements for testing. This cannot be addressed in a topical report. Evaluation of how the hardware meets the regulatory requirements. WEC to provide the information and determine where the information will be located.	26. N	Open	• 	EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 10		
244			Ξ	should address the software product, not the process of producing the software. In addition Section 4.3.2.1 of the SPM states "Any	The process related requirements have been removed from revision 2 of the Software Requirements Specification (SRS). Attachment 3 of letter dated 10/25/10 contains the	27. N	Open Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10. NNC 11/18/10: SysRS Rev. 2 also contains process requirements that are more appropriately incorporated into process documentation.		EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 14	Response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10.	LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
245			(Carte)	8/3/2010 Section 5.8 of the Common Q SPM (ML050350234) identifies the	Relates to the commitment to provide the test plan and the SPM compliance matrix	28. N	Open		EICB RAI ML102980066 Item No. 119		LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
											requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
250			Ξ	The SPM describes the software and documents that will be created and placed under configuration control. The SCMP (e.g., SPM Section 6, "Software Configuration Management Plan") describes the implementation tasks that are to be carried out. The	Responder: WEC Westinghouse develops Software Release Reports/Records and a Configuration Management Release Report. Describe the documents and when they will be produced. Summarize guidance on how to produce these records, focus on project specific requirements in SPM etc.		Open Response included in letter dated 10/25/10.	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/22/10 10/25/10 is a partial response. Still waiting on Software Test Plan and all other testing documentation.			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
251				The SPM describes the software testing and documents that will be created. The SPM also describes the testing tasks that are to be carried out. The acceptance criterion for software test implementation is that the tasks in the SPM have been carried out in their entirety. Please provide information that shows that	Responder: WEC The software testing performed and documents created are addressed by the SPM Compliance matrix contained in Revision 1 of the SPM Compliance matrix contained in Revision 1 of the Licensing Technical Report. Attachment 1 of the letter dated 10/25/10 contains the Proprietary version of Westinghouse's document titled: "Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), Watts Bar Unit 2 (WBN2), Post-Accident Monitoring System (PAMS), Licensing Technical Report, Revision 1, WNA-LI-00058- WBT-P, Dated October 2010"		Open Partial response is provided in letter dated 10/25/10	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/22/10. 10/25/10 is a partial response. Still waiting on Software Test Plan and all other testing documentation.			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
252			CB	The SPM contain requirements for software requirements	Responder: WEC Explain response to AP1000 audit report. RTM docketed NRC awaiting V&V evaluation of RTM.		Open Read ML091560352	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/10/10 Check on this Hilmes			LIC-101 Rev. 3 Appendix B Section 4, "Safety Evaluation" states: "the information relied upon in the SE must be docketed correspondence." LIC-101 Rev. 3 states: "The safety analysis that supports the change requested should include technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposal in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety."
268			EICB	8/19/2010 By letter dated March 12, 2010 (ML101680577), TVA stated that the application specific hardware and software architecture descriptions are addressed in the WBN2 PAMS System Design Specification (ML101680579, ML102040481, & ML102040482) and Software Requirements Specification (ML101050202, ML102040486, & ML1022040487).	Responder: WEC 11/18/10 Warren Odess-Gillett took action to discuss with Design Engineering to generate a non-prop figure	32. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC Due 12/22/10			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			Neither of these documents contain a non-proprietary figure of the architecture that can be used in the SE. Please provide a non-proprietary figure of the architecture.							
301			 common cause failure including all potential resulting failures (i.e. total loss of CERPI, system fail as-is). 2. In addition, address how the actions stipulated in the plant Technical Specifications will be taken when the CERPI system indications are lost. Information notice IN 2010-10 (ML100080281) addresses the need to consider software failures and the actions required to assure that the plant will stay within its licensing basis. 3. Provide FMEA in support of your response. 4. FSAR Table 7.7-1, Plant Control System Interlocks lists interlock C-11 to block automatic rod withdrawal when 1/1 Control Bank D rod position is above setpoint. This interlock capability would be lost in case of total loss of CERPI. How is the rod block assured for this event? 5. How is automatic rod withdrawal affected in case of total loss of signals from the CERPI to the ICS? Is this interlock fail safe? 6.FSAR chapter 15, Section 2.3.2.1states that the resolution of the rod position indicator channel is 5% of span (7.2 inches). The CERPI system accuracy specified in the CERPI System requirements Specification, WNDS-DS-00001_WBT, Rev. 2 is 12 steps or 5.19%. The specified system accuracy seems to be greater than the accuracy assumed in the FSAR Chapter 15. Please clarify this anomaly. 	 TVA Partial Response: For all accidents analyzed in WBN Unit 2 FSAR, Chapter 15, no credit is taken for the rod position indication system. For all continuous rod withdrawal accidents analyzed in WBN Unit 2 FSAR, Chapter 15, no credit is taken for any rod stop/block. (1) Technical Specification 3.1.8, Rod Position Indication, does not have an action for total loss of indication; therefore, a total loss of CERPI puts the plant into LCO 3.0.3 which states: When an LCO is not met and the associated ACTIONS are not met, an associated ACTIONS the unit shall be placed in a MODE or other specified condition in which the LCO is not applicable. Action shall be initiated within 1 hour to place the unit, as applicable, in: 					TVA Letter dated 10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 21	

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR NR Sec. PO	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
No.	SE Sec.		 The basic PLC software associated with the CERPI system has been in use for over ten years. The first plant to utilize the CERPI PLC software was Beaver Valley. In 2003, the CERPI software was deployed with interfaces to the Common Q MTP and OM interfaces within the systems for Surry Units 1 & 2, and Watts Bar Unit 1. In 2009, the Watts Bar Unit 1 CERPI system was modified to allow for two independent trains of CERPI. The Watts Bar Unit 2 CERPI system is based on the Unit 1 design. Only the detectors and the detector interface boards are not redundant within the Watts Bar CERPI systems. CERPI Software Failure Analysis With regard to the CERPI system software: The software used on PLC-A is identical to that used on PLC-B. The software used on OM-A is identical to that used on MTP-B The software used on OM-A is identical to that used on OM-B. A common cause failure affecting the software of one CERPI train would affect the other train as well. Common cause problems associated with the CERPI software were mitigated by the Westinghouse software development process, factory acceptance testing, and site acceptance testing. There is no "fail as-is" scenario. Any failure of a hardware/software component (resulting in processor lock-up) would be annunciated, and the data values on the flat panel display would be displayed in magenta (indicating failure). A hardware/software failure in the PLC (resulting in processor lock-up) would result in an annunciator because of the watchdog alarm circuit associated with the PLC processor module. A total loss of CERPI indication (e.g., loss of both AC power sources to the rod position cabinets) is possible, but this condition would be immediately annunciated. Ac complete loss of CERPI indication ted as the acte of and ware failure in the PLC intering Technical Specification LCO 3.0.3. A more likely scenario would be loss of a	Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			 technical specification conditions to enter because a single train is capable of providing all rod indications needed for control. (3) There is no FMEA for the CERPI system. 						
			(4) Control Bank D Automatic Rod Withdrawal Limit would be assured by Operations and control circuitry by the following 2 methods:						

No.		FSAR Sec.	NRC POC Issue	TVA Response(s) Ac	esponse cceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				 a. A simultaneous failure of all indications of the Rod Position Indication System places the plant in LCO 3.0.3, since it would prevent compliance with actions in LCO 3.1.8. b. CERPI cabinet relays A-KX-18 and B-KX-18 are the PLC controlled components of Rod Withdrawal Limit. The relays are "active low" requiring power to activate the contacts in the control circuit. Total loss of CERPI will open the contacts and block Automatic Rod Withdrawal. Additionally, Annunciator window 64F will annunciate to show "C-11 BANK D AUTO WITHDRAWAL BLOCKED." (5) The CERPI Maintenance and Test Panels are used to set the Rod Withdrawal Limit with output signal to ICS as a parallel path. As stated above, the relays are the controlling functions and loss of signal to ICS will not affect the capability of the control circuit to disable the Automatic Rod Withdrawal function. The C-11 interlock is fail safe with regards to loss of power. (6) The cycle-specific analyses for the static rod misalignment assume full misalignment of an individual rod from the bank position indicator(s). Such a misalignment exceeds that which is possible during plant operations when accounting for the most adverse combination of the rod deviation alarm and uncertainty of the rod position indicator (both 12 steps). For consistency of parameter (and units) with the deviation alarm and position indicator (both 12 steps). For consistency of parameter (and units) with the deviation alarm and position indicator channel is ± 12 steps. Deviation of any RCCA from its group by twice this distance (24 steps) will not cause power distributions worse than the design limits. The deviation alarm alerts the operator to rod deviation with respect to group demand position in excess of 12 steps. If the rod deviation alarm alerts the operator to rod deviation with respect to group demand position in excess of 12 steps. If the rod deviation alarm is not operable, the operator is required to take action as required by the Technical Specifica						
331	7.6.1	7.6.7	As a follow up of OI 190, Staff has reviewed the proprietary version	Limiting Power Distributions Page 4.3-13, which states the maximum deviation assumed is 12 steps. Responder: WEC/Harless/Clark 34.	. N (Open				Follow-up of OI-190.
			 of the DMIMS-DX system description to verify the conformance claims in the FSAR. Staff has noted the following insufficiencies and discrepancies between the FSAR and the proprietary version of the system description for loose parts monitoring system provided by TVA. 1) FSAR, Amendment 100, page 7.6-5 states, "During baseline testing, the reactor vessel and steam generator are impacted three feet from each sensor with a force of 0.5 ft-lb. Loose 	 TVA Partial Response: The source of the information is the DMIMS-DXTM Operations and Maintenance Manual, TS3176, Revision 0, dated August 2010. Attachment 14 contains the revised system description, "Westinghouse DIMMS- DX[™] Loose Part Detection System Description," Revision 1. The Westinghouse DIMMS-DX[™] Loose 				10/26/2010	10/29/10 Enclosure 1 Item No. 40	

No. SE Sec		R NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			 Issue parts detection is accomplished at a frequency of 1 kHz to 20 kHz, where background signals from the RCS are acceptable. Spurious alarming from control rod stepping is prevented by a module that detects CRDM motion commands and automatically inhibits alarms during control rod stepping. The online sensitivity of the DMIMS-DX™ is such that the system will detect a loose part that weighs from 0.25 to 30 lb and impacts with a kinetic energy of 0.5 ft-lb on the inside surface of the RCS pressure boundary within 3 ft of a sensor." The source of this information is not cited nor is it described in the system description. TVA to provide the source of the information and update the system description as needed. Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.133, rev.1, regulatory position C.1.g states that, "Operability for Seismic and Environmental Conditions. Components of the loose-part detection system within containment should be designed and installed to perform their function following all seismic events that do not require plant shutdown, i.e., up to and including the Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE). Recording equipment need not functional. The system should also be shown to be adequate by analysis, test, or combined analysis and test for the normal operating radiation, vibration, temperature, and humidity environment. FSAR, Amendment 100, page 7.6-5 states, "The DMIMS-DXTM audio and visual alarm capability will remain functional after an Operating Basis Earthquake (OBE). All of the 	 Part Detection System Description," Revision 1 will be added as Reference 9 to section 7.6 in FSAR Amendment 102. 2) The source of the information is the DMIMS-DXTM seismic qualification report, Westinghouse report EQ-QR-33-WBT, Revision 0, Seismic Evaluation of the Digital Metal Impact Monitoring System (DMIMS-DXTM) for Watts Bar Unit 2. Attachment 14 contains the revised system description, "Westinghouse DIMMS-DXTM Loose Part Detection System Description," Revision 1. 3) The entries for the following items in FSAR Section 7.6.7 will be modified in Amendment 102 as shown in Attachment 3 for draft revision to WBN Unit 2 FSAR Section 7.6.7, "Loose Part Monitoring System (LPMS) System Description." Sensors (In Containment) Softline Cable (In Containment) Preamplifier (In Containment) Attachment 3 contains the FSAR Amendment 102 Change Markups that reflect these changes. 	Acceptable	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path Operations Manual in the FSAR as the source document TVA to reference the source document for item# 4 per the response.	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
			 DMIMS-DX[™] components are qualified for structural integrity during a Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) and will not mechanically impact any safety-related equipment." Paragraphs 4.c and 4.d of the system description are not consistent with the seismic qualifications described in the FSAR. TVA to provide the source of the information contained in the FSAR and update the system description as needed. 3) The system description clearly describes the "In-containment 	In responding to Item 4, conflicting information was found between the Westinghouse-prepared FSAR section and various Westinghouse technical documents. To fully respond to this item, a change to the FSAR is required to change the minimum flat sensor frequency response from 5 Hz to 10 Hz. Attachment 3 contains the FSAR Amendment 102 Change Markups that reflect the revised frequency response of the sensor.						
			 and "DIMMS-DX Cabinet equipment. The FSAR should be updated to reflect the equipment locations for clarification purposes. The information regarding frequency ranges of the sensors is included on page 7.6-6 of Amendment 100 of the FSAR but the system description does not contain this information. Please provide the source of this information and update the system description to reflect the appropriate information. Please provide information as to how the in-containment 	 Westinghouse document 1TS3182, Revision 0, Watts Bar Unit 2 DMIMS-DXTM System Validation Data Package, dated July 2010 has been added as reference 8 to FSAR Section 7.6 in amendment 102. Per Westinghouse letter WBT-D-2580, this document will be revised to reflect the 10Hz minimum frequency and provide the basis for the frequency response values in the FSAR. In-containment component qualification for vibration as 						
			components are qualified for vibration as addressed in regulatory position C.1.g of RG 1.133.	addressed in regulatory position C.1.g of RG 1.133, will be addressed in a future RAI response letter.						
335 7.6.	1 7.6.7	EICB (Sing h)	LPMS: Reference to OI-331, sub item 2.	Responder: WEC	35. N	Open	Open-TVA/WEC			
		EI (S	Provide analysis, test, or combined analysis and test for normal				Due			

No.	SE Sec.	FSAR Sec.	NRC POC	Issue	TVA Response(s)	Response Acceptable Y/N	Status/ Current Actions	Resolution Path	RAI No. & Date	RAI Resp. Date	Comments
				operating radiation, temperature, and humidity environment per regulatory position C.1.g of RG 1.133. As an alternate TVA may confirm that the required equipment has been qualified for the environments stated in RG 1.133, position C.1.g and that TVA has reviewed the test report and found it acceptable.							