

**Rafael Flores** Senior Vice President & Chief Nuclear Officer rafael.flores@Luminant.com

Luminant Power P O Box 1002 6322 North FM 56 Glen Rose, TX 76043

**T** 254 897 5550 C 817 559 0403 F 254 897 6652

## Ref. # 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)

CP-201001468 TXX -10150

November 16, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

### SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT (CPNPP) DOCKET NO. 50-445 and 50-446 INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE TEST PROCEDURE **RESULTING IN FAILURE TO MEET TS REQUIREMENTS** LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 445/10-003-01, SUPPLEMENT 1

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is Supplement 01 to Licensee Event Report (LER) 445/10-003-00, " Inadequate Surveillance Test Procedure Resulting In Failure To Meet TS Requirements," for Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant (CPNPP) Units 1 and 2.

This communication contains the following licensing basis commitments which will be completed or incorporated into the CPNPP licensing basis as noted:

Number

### Commitment

4053750

Due Date/Event

Prior to the next performance of SR 3.3.4.2 for each Unit

Surveillance test procedures will be revised to verify isolation of the Control Room circuitry for those devices required for remote shutdown capability on transfer of controls to the Remote Shutdown Panel from the Control Room.

Should you have any questions, please contact Gary Merka at (254) 897-6613.

NRA

A member of the STARS (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance

Callaway · Comanche Peak · Diablo Canyon · Palo Verde · San Onofre · South Texas Project · Wolf Creek

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission TXX-10150 Page 2 of 2 11/16/2010

Sincerely,

Luminant Generation Company LLC

**Rafael Flores** 

By: Fred W. Madden

Director, Oversight & Regulatory Affairs

## Enclosure

c - E. E. Collins, Region IV B. K. Singal, NRR Resident Inspectors, Comanche Peak

				······									
NRC FOR	M 366		U.s	S. NUCLEAR RE	GULA	TORY COM	MISSIO	N APPR	OVED BY OMB I	NO. 3150-0104		EXPIRES	5: 8/31/2010
(9-2007) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							Estima requess licensi estima Nuclea e-mail and Ro Budge collect	Extrinct-bit GMB MC. S100-104 EXAMPLE 2010 EXAMPLE 103 1/2010 Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the					
information collection.													
1. FACILI	TY NAME	:	_			_	_	2. DO	2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE				ε
Comanch	ne Peak I	Nuclear Po	ower Plan	l Unit 1				050	05000 445 1 OF 4				OF 4
	ulate (	Surveill	ance T	Fest Proced	durp	Regultir	n in F	Failure	to Meet T		monte		
	VENT DA			LER NUMBER			PORT D			8. OTHER FA			
									FACILITY NA				T NUMBER
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	CPNPP U	Init 2		05000 4	46
06	18	2010	· 2010	003	01	11	16	2010	FACILITY NAI	ME		05000	IT NUMBER
9. OPERA	ATING MO	DDE	20.2 20.2 20.2	. <b>THIS REPORT</b> 2201(b) 2201(d) 2203(a)(1) 2203(a)(2)(i)		BMITTED P 20.2203(a 20.2203(a 20.2203(a 50.36(c)(1	a)(3)(i) a)(3)(ii) a)(4)	NT TO TI	50.73(a) 50.73(a)	)(2)(i)(C) )(2)(ii)(A) )(2)(ii)(B)	50. 50. 50.	ck all that a 73(a)(2)(vii) 73(a)(2)(viii 73(a)(2)(viii 73(a)(2)(ix)	) i)(A) i)(B)
10. POV	NER LEV	/EL		2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(1	1)(ii)(A)		 50.73(a)	)(2)(iv)(A)	$\equiv$	73(a)(2)(x)	-
	•=	!		2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(2				)(2)(v)(A)		71(a)(4)	
	100	1		2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.46(a)(3				)(2)(v)(B)	=	71(a)(5)	ļ
			20.:	2203(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)(2			Concession of the local division of the loca	)(2)(v)(C)		HER	
			20.:	2203(a)(2)(vi)	X	50.73(a)(2	2)(i)(B)	1	<b>50.73(a</b> )	)(2)(v)(D)	. VOL	UNTARY L	ER
<b></b>			L		42.11			TEOPT	101 ED				
FACILITY N					12. LI	CENSEE U	UNTAC	TPUKI	115 LEK	TELEF		R (Include	Area Code)
				Hope, Nuc						(254	4)897-63	•	
	T			PLETE ONE LIN	_	శుహామ				T			
CAUSE	CAUSE SYSTEM COI		IPONENT MANU- REPOR FACTURER TO E				E	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU- FACTUREI		ORTABLE O EPIX	
	1				· · · ·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u>`</u>	
	_ <b></b>					See.7 **	<u> </u>	<del></del>				<u></u>	1
		14. SUPP	LEMENT	AL REPORT EX	(PEC1)	3D			15. EXPE		MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YES	3 (If yes, c	omplete 15	EXPECTE	ED SUBMISSION I	DATE)	NO K	›		SUBMISSION DATE			l	
ABSTRAC	CT (Limit	to 1400 s	paces, i.e	., approximately	/ 15 sin	gle-spaced :	typewritt	ten lines)					
On June 18, 2010, during performance of the Component Design Bases Inspection, the NRC inspectors determined that surveillance test procedure (STP) OPT-216, "Remote Shutdown Operability Test" was not adequate to meet the Technical Specification (TS) Surveillance Requirement (SR). TS SR 3.0.3 was entered for the affected components and risk assessments were completed which supported continued operability until such time as the STP could be verified to adequately implement the testing requirements to meet the SR or the affected components could be adequately tested.													
The cause of this event was due to a lack of clarity in the description for the basis for SR 3.3.4.2 in the CPNPP Technical Bases.													
There have been no previous similar occurrences of failure to meet TS SRs due to inadequate STPs in the past three years.													
All times in this report are approximate and Central Standard Time unless noted otherwise.													
													I

24.5

NRC FORM 3	66A			U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CO	OMMISSION					
(9-2007)		LICENSEE EVENT F								
		CONTINUATIO		,						
		1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE					
C	oman	che Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO.						
	16	space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 3664	05000 - 445	2010 003 01 2	2 OF 4					
NARRAIIVE	ii more	space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 3004	(17)							
١.	DE	SCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVENT								
	Α.	REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION								
		10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) "Any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications"								
	В.	PLANT CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT			v					
	in Mode 1 operating at 100%									
C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED EVENT										
		There were no inoperable structures, syst event.	ems, or comp	onents that contributed to the						
	DATES AND APPROXIMATE									
		CPNPP TS SR 3.3.4.2 requires verification every 18 months that each required Hot Shutdown Panel power and control circuit and transfer switch is capable of performing the intended function. Contrary to this requirement, the existing surveillance test procedure (STP) was not adequate to verify that capability.								
		On June 18, 2010, during performance of NRC inspectors determined that surveill Shutdown Operability Test" was not ade Surveillance Requirement (SR). The S functional control from the Control Room not provide assurance that a circuit fa identified. Engineering personnel (Utility personnel (Utility, Licensed) and both components and risk assessments w operability.	ance test pro quate to mee TP provided a (CR) to the H ault affecting , Non-License units entered	cedure (STP) OPT-216, "Rem t the Technical Specification ( a method to test the transfer ot Shutdown Panel (HSP), but control from the HSP would ed) reported the condition to d TS SR 3.0.3 for the affect	note TS) did be CR cted					
	E.	THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EAC PROCEDURAL PERSONNEL ERROR	H COMPONE	NT OR SYSTEM FAILURE, OF	२					
		On June 18, 2010, during performance of NRC inspectors determined that surveillar Shutdown Operability Test" was not adeq Surveillance Requirement (SR).	nce test proce	dure (STP) OPT-216, "Remote						
•		·								

-

.

NRC FORM 3	66A	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					
(9-2007)		LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET					
C	1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET 6. LER NUMBER 3. PAGE   Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1 YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NUMBER NO.   05000 - 445 2010 003 01 3 OF 4						
NARRATIVE (	lf more	space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)					
II.	со	MPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES					
	Α.	CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE					
		Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.					
	В.	FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AND EFFECTS OF EACH FAILED COMPONENT					
		Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.					
	C.	SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTIONS THAT WERE AFFECTED BY FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS					
Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.							
	D.	FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION					
		Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.					
111.	AN	ALYSIS OF THE EVENT					
	A.	SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED					
		Not applicable - No safety system responses occurred as a result of this event.					
	В.	DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY					
		The current method provided in the STP to test the transfer of functional control from the Control Room (CR) to the Hot Shutdown Panel (HSP) has been utilized since the initial licensing of Unit 1 in 1990 and Unit 2 in 1993.					
	C.	SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT					
		The existing surveillances verified functional transfer capability to assure the control function did transfer to the Hot Shutdown Panel (HSP) and that the control function from the Control Room (CR) was isolated to the extent that control was not functional from the Control Room (CR).					
		There were no safety system functional failures associated with this event.					
		Based on the above, it is concluded that this event did not adversely impact the safe operation of CPNPP or the health and safety of the public.					
IV.	CA	USE OF THE EVENT					
		e cause of this event was due to a lack of clarity in the description for the basis for SR .4.2 in the CPNPP Technical Bases.					

NRC FORM 3 (9-2007)	66A		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION				
(3-2007)	LICENSEE EVENT	REPORT (LER)						
CONTINUATION SHEET								
1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET 6. LER NUMBER 3. PAGE								
C	omanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1	E. BOOKET	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV					
		05000 – 445	<u>NUMBER NO.</u> 2010 003 01	4 OF 4				
NARRATIVE (	If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366	A) (17)		<u> </u>				
V.	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS							
	Engineering personnel reported the condition to CR personnel and both units entered TS SR 3.0.3 for the affected components. Risk assessments were completed within 24 hours which supported continued operability.							
	As part of the Corrective Action Program, the CPNPP Technical Specifications Bases for SR 3.3.4.2 will be reviewed and revised as appropriate.							
	Surveillance test procedures will be revised to verify isolation of the Control Room circuitry for those devices required for remote shutdown capability on transfer of controls to the Remote Shutdown Panel from the Control Room.							

# VI. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There have been no previous similar reportable events at CPNPP in the last three years.