

#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 31, 2011

Mr. David A. Heacock President and Chief Nuclear Officer Virginia Electric and Power Company Innsbrook Technical Center 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060-6711

#### SUBJECT: NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2, ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO ADOPT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION TASK FORCE (TSTF)-425, REVISION 3, FOR THE RELOCATION OF SPECIFIC SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY REQUIREMENTS TO A LICENSEE CONTROLLED PROGRAM (TAC NOS. ME3689 AND ME3690)

Dear Mr. Heacock:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 262 and 243 to Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-4 and NPF-7 for the North Anna Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 30, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated August 30, 2010 and January 18, 2011.

The amendments revise the TSs by relocating specific surveillance frequencies to a licensee-controlled program with the implementation of Nuclear Energy Institute 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies." The changes are consistent with NRC-approved Industry Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Standard Technical Specifications change TSTF-425, Revision 3.

D. Heacock

A copy of our related safety evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

a Orn J

Dr. V. Sreenivas, Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-1 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 262 to NPF-4
- 2. Amendment No. 243 to NPF-7
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: Distribution via Listserv



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

# VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

## DOCKET NO. 50-338

## NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

#### AMENDMENT TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 262 Renewed License No. NPF-4

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Virginia Electric and Power Company et al., (the licensee) dated March 30, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated August 30, 2010 and January 18, 2011, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to paragraph 2.C.(2) of Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-4, as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) <u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 262, are hereby incorporated in the renewed license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of issuance.

#### FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Eller

Gloria Kulesa, Chief Plant Licensing Branch II-1 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to License No. NPF-4 and the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 31, 2011



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

# VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

## DOCKET NO. 50-339

# NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2

## AMENDMENT TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 243 Renewed License No. NPF-7

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Virginia Electric and Power Company et al., (the licensee) dated March 30, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated August 30 and January 18, 2010, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to paragraph 2.C.(2) of Renewed Facility Operating License No. NPF-7, as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 243, are hereby incorporated in the renewed license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 180 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Glam

Gloria Kulesa, Chief Plant Licensing Branch II-1 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to License No. NPF-7 and the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 31, 2011

#### **ATTACHMENT**

#### TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 262

#### **RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-4**

#### DOCKET NO. 50-338

#### <u>AND</u>

#### TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 243

#### **RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-7**

#### DOCKET NO. 50-339

Replace the following pages of the Licenses and the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications (TSs) with the enclosed pages as indicated. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove Pages	Insert Pages
<u>Licenses</u> License No. NPF-4, page 3 License No. NPF-7, page 3	<u>Licenses</u> License No. NPF-4, page 3 License No. NPF-7, page 3
TSs	<u>TSs</u>
3.1.1-1 3.1.2-2 3.1.4-3 3.1.5-2 3.1.6-3 3.1.7-3 3.1.9-2 3.2.1-3 3.2.2-2 3.2.3-1 3.2.4-3 3.2.4-4 3.3.1-8 3.3.1-9 3.3.1-10 3.3.1-11 3.3.1-12 3.3.2-5 3.3.2-6	3.1.1-1 3.1.2-2 3.1.4-3 3.1.5-2 3.1.6-3 3.1.7-3 3.1.9-2 3.2.1-3 3.2.2-2 3.2.3-1 3.2.4-3 3.2.4-4 3.3.1-8 3.3.1-9 3.3.1-10 3.3.1-11 3.3.1-12 3.3.2-5 3.3.2-6
3.3.2-7	3.3.2-7

3.3.3-2 3.3.4-1 3.3.4-2 3.3.5-2	3.3.3-2 3.3.4-1 3.3.4-2 3.3.5-2 3.3.5-3
3.3.6-2	3.3.6-2
3.4.1-2	3.4.1-2
3.4.2-1	3.4.2-1
3.4.3-2	3.4.3-2
3.4.4-1	3.4.4-1
3.4.5-2	3.4.5-2
	3.4.5-3
3.4.6-2	3.4.6-2
3.4.7-3	3.4.7-3
3.4.8-2	3.4.8-2
3.4.9-2	3.4.9-2
3.4.11-3	3.4.11-3
3.4.11-4	3.4.11-4
3.4.12-3	3.4.12-3
3.4.12-4	3.4.12-4
3.4.13-2	3.4.13-2
3.4.14-2	3.4.14-2
3.4.15-3	3.4.15-3
3.4.16-2	3.4.16-2
3.4.17-2	3.4.17-2
3.4.19-1	3.4.19-1
3.5.1-2	3.5.1-2
3.5.2-2	3.5.2-2
3.5.2-3	3.5.2-3
3.5.4-2	3.5.4-2
3.5.5-2	3.5.5-2
3.5.6-2	3.5.6-2
3.6.2-5	3.6.2-5
3.6.3-5	3.6.3-5
3.6.3-6	3.6.3-6
3.6.4-1	3.6.4-1
3.6.5-1	3.6.5-1
3.6.6-1	3.6.6-1
3.6.6-2	3.6.6-2
3.6.7-2	3.6.7-2
3.6.7-3	3.6.7-3
3.6.8-1	3.6.8-1
3.6.8-2	3.6.8-2
3.7.2-2 3.7.3-2 3.7.4-1	3.7.2-2 3.7.3-2 3.7.4-1 3.7.4-2
3.7.5-2	3.7.5-2
3.7.5-3	3.7.5-3

 3.7.6-1 3.7.7-1 3.7.8-3	3.7.5-4 3.7.6-1 3.7.7-1 3.7.8-3 3.7.8-4
3.7.9-1	3.7.9-1
3.7.10-3	3.7.10-3
3.7.11-2	3.7.11-2
3.7.12-4	3.7.12-4
3.7.12-5	3.7.12-5
3.7.15-1	3.7.15-1
3.7.16-1	3.7.16-1
3.7.17-1	3.7.17-1
3.7.19-2	3.7.19-2
3.8.1-8	3.8.1-8
3.8.1-9	3.8.1-9
3.8.1-10	3.8.1-10
3.8.1-11	3.8.1-11
3.8.1-12	3.8.1-12
3.8.1-13	3.8.1-13
3.8.1-14	3.8.1-14
3.8.1-15	3.8.1-15
3.8.1-16	3.8.1-16
3.8.1-17	3.8.1-17
3.8.1-18	3.8.1-18
	3.8.1-19
3.8.3-3	3.8.3-3
3.8.4-2	3.8.4-2
3.8.4-3	3.8.4-3
3.8.4-4	3.8.4-4
	3.8.4-5
3.8.6-2	3.8.6-2
3.8.6-3	3.8.6-3
3.8.7-2 3.8.8-2 3.8.9-3 3.8.10-2 3.9.1-1 3.9.3-2 3.9.4-2 3.9.5-2 3.9.6-3 3.9.7-1	3.8.7-2 3.8.9-3 3.8.10-2 3.9.1-1 3.9.3-2 3.9.4-2 3.9.5-2 3.9.6-3 3.9.7-1
5.5-17	5.5-17

- -3-
- (2) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Part 70, VEPCO to receive, possess, and use at any time special nuclear material as reactor fuel, in accordance with the limitations for storage and amounts required for reactor operation, as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report;
- (3) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70, VEPCO to receive, possess, and use at any time any byproduct, source, and special nuclear material as sealed neutron sources for reactor startup, sealed sources for reactor instrumentation and radiation monitoring equipment calibration, and as fission detectors in amounts as required;
- (4) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70, VEPCO to receive, possess, and use in amounts as required any byproduct, source, or special nuclear material, without restriction to chemical or physical form, for sample analysis or instrument calibration or associated with radioactive apparatus or component; and
- (5) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30 and 70, VEPCO to possess, but not separate, such byproduct and special nuclear materials as may be produced by the operation of the facility.
- C. This renewed operating license shall be deemed to contain and is subject to the conditions specified in the following Commission regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I: Part 20, Section 30.34 of Part 30, Section 40.41 of Part 40, Sections 50.54 and 50.59 of Part 50, and Section 70.32 of Part 70; is subject to all applicable provisions of the Act and to the rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect; and is subject to the additional conditions specified or incorporated below:
  - (1) Maximum Power Level

VEPCO is authorized to operate the North Anna Power Station, Unit No. 1, at reactor core power levels not in excess of 2940 megawatts (thermal).

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No.262 are hereby incorporated in the renewed license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

- (3) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70, VEPCO to receive, possess, and use at any time any byproduct, source, and special nuclear material as sealed neutron sources for reactor startup, sealed sources for reactor instrumentation and radiation monitoring equipment calibration, and as fission detectors in amounts as required;
- (4) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70, VEPCO to receive, possess, and use in amounts as required any byproduct, source, or special nuclear material, without restriction to chemical or physical form, for sample analysis or instrument calibration or associated with radioactive apparatus or components; and
- (5) Pursuant to the Act and 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, and 70, VEPCO to possess, but not separate, such byproduct and special nuclear materials as may be produced by the operation of the facility.
- C. This renewed license shall be deemed to contain and is subject to the conditions specified in the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I and Is subject to all applicable provisions of the Act and to the rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect; and is subject to the additional conditions specified or incorporated below:
  - (1) Maximum Power Level

VEPCO is authorized to operate the facility at steady state reactor core power levels not in excess of 2940 megawatts (thermal).

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No.243 are hereby incorporated in the renewed license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

(3) Additional Conditions

The matters specified in the following conditions shall be completed to the satisfaction of the Commission within the stated time periods following the issuance of the condition or within the operational restrictions indicated. The removal of these conditions shall be made by an amendment to the renewed license supported by a favorable evaluation by the Commission:

a. If VEPCO plans to remove or to make significant changes in the normal operation of equipment that controls the amount of radioactivity in effluents from the North Anna Power Station, the

#### 3.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

- 3.1.1 SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM)
- LCO 3.1.1 SDM shall be within the limits provided in the COLR.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		REQUIRED ACTION COMPLETION TIME	
A. SDM not within limit.		tiate boration to tore SDM to within it.	15 minutes	

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.1.1 Verify SDM to be within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.1.2.1	The predicted reactivity values may be adjusted (normalized) to correspond to the measured core reactivity prior to exceeding a fuel burnup of 60 effective full power days (EFPD) after each fuel loading.	
		Verify measured core reactivity is within $\pm 1\% \Delta k/k$ of predicted values.	Once prior to entering MODE 1 after each refueling
			AND
			NOTE Only required after 60 EFPD
<u>.</u>			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
D.	More than one rod not within alignment limit.	D.1.1	Verify SDM to be within the limit provided in the COLR.	1 hour	
		<u>OR</u>			
		D.1.2	Initiate boration to restore required SDM to within limit.	1 hour	
		AND			
		D.2	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.1.4.1	Verify individual rod positions within alignment limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.1.4.2	Verify rod freedom of movement (trippability) by moving each rod not fully inserted in the core $\geq 10$ steps in either direction.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.1.4.3	Verify rod drop time of each rod, from the fully withdrawn position, is $\leq 2.7$ seconds from the beginning of decay of stationary gripper coil voltage to dashpot entry, with:	Prior to reactor criticality after each removal of the reactor head
		a. $T_{avg} \ge 500^{\circ}F$ ; and	
		b. All reactor coolant pumps operating.	

-----

#### Shutdown Bank Insertion Limits 3.1.5

AC	ΤI	ONS	
~~~~		0.10	

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Β.	One shutdown bank inserted ≤ 18 steps below the insertion limit and immovable. AND	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Verify SDM to be within the limits provided in the COLR.	Once per 12 hours
	Each control and shutdown rod within limits of LCO 3.1.4. AND	B.2	Restore the shutdown bank to within insertion limit.	72 hours
	Each control bank within the insertion limits of LCO 3.1.6.			
С.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	C.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.1.5.1	Verify each shutdown bank is within the insertion limits specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

Control Bank Insertion Limits 3.1.6

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

.

.

		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.1.6.2	Verify each control bank is within the insertion limits specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.1.6.3	Verify each control bank not fully withdrawn from the core is within the sequence and overlap limits specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	One demand position indicator per bank inoperable for one or more banks.	D.1.1	Verify by administrative means all RPIs for the affected banks are OPERABLE.	Once per 8 hours
		AN	D	
		D.1.2	Verify the most withdrawn rod and the least withdrawn rod of the affected banks are ≤ 12 steps apart.	Once per 8 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		D.2	Reduce THERMAL POWER to $\leq$ 50% RTP.	8 hours
Ε.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours

	FREQUENCY		
SR 3.1.7.1	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of each RPI.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	

#### PHYSICS TESTS Exceptions-MODE 2 3.1.9

ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
a 1	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition C not met:	D.1	Be in MODE 3.	15 minutes

.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.1.9.1	Perform a CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST on power range and intermediate range channels per SR 3.3.1.7, SR 3.3.1.8, and Table 3.3.1-1.	Prior to initiation of PHYSICS TESTS
SR	3.1.9.2	Verify the RCS lowest loop average temperature is $\geq$ 531°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.1.9.3	Verify THERMAL POWER is ≤ 5% RTP.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.1.9.4	Verify SDM to be within the limits provided in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.1.9-2

.

.

SR 3.2.1.1

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	(continued)	A.4	THERMAL POWER does not have to be reduced to comply with this Required Action.	
			Perform SR 3.2.2.1.	Prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 50% RTP
				AND
				Prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP
				AND
				24 hours after THERMAL POWER reaching ≥ 95% RTP
Β.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1	Be in MODE 2.	6 hours

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.2.2.1	Verify $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$ is within limits specified in the COLR.	Once after each refueling prior to THERMAL POWER exceeding 75% RTP <u>AND</u> In accordance with the
			Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.2.2-2

Amendments 262 and 243

#### 3.2 POWER DISTRIBUTION LIMITS

#### 3.2.3 AXIAL FLUX DIFFERENCE (AFD)

LCO 3.2.3 The AFD in % flux difference units shall be maintained within the limits specified in the COLR. The AFD shall be considered outside limits when two or more OPERABLE excore channels indicate AFD to be outside limits. ------

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1 with THERMAL POWER  $\geq$  50% RTP.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A. A	FD not within limits.	A.1	Reduce THERMAL POWER to < 50% RTP.	30 minutes

	FREQUENCY		
SR 3.2.3.1	Verify AFD within limits for each OPERABLE excore channel.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	

ACT	IONS	

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	(continued)	A.6	Perform Required Action A.6 only after Required Action A.5 is completed. Perform SR 3.2.1.1 and SR 3.2.2.1.	Within 24 hours after achieving equilibrium Conditions at RTP not to exceed 48 hours after increasing THERMAL POWER above the limit of Required Action A.1
Β.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1	Reduce THERMAL POWER to $\leq$ 50% RTP.	4 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.4.1	1. With input from one Power Range Neutron Flux channel inoperable and THERMAL POWER $\leq 75\%$ RTP, the remaining three power range channels can be used for calculating QPTR.	
	2. SR 3.2.4.2 may be performed in lieu of this Surveillance.	
	Verify QPTR is within limit by calculation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.2.4.	Not required to be performed until 12 hours after input from one or more Power Range Neutron Flux channels are inoperable with THERMAL POWER > 75% RTP. Verify QPTR is within limit using the movable incore detectors.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

٠

ACTIONS

\*

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
R.	One or more channels inoperable.	R.1	Verify interlock is in required state for existing unit conditions.	1 hour
		<u>OR</u>		
		R.2	Be in MODE 2.	7 hours
s.	One trip mechanism inoperable for one RTB.	S.1	Restore inoperable trip mechanism to OPERABLE status.	48 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		S.2	Be in MODE 3.	54 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-----

Refer to Table 3.3.1-1 to determine which SRs apply for each RTS Function.

------

		-
	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.2	Not required to be performed until 12 hours after THERMAL POWER is ≥ 15% RTP.	
	Compare results of calorimetric heat balance calculation to power range channel output. Adjust power range output if calorimetric heat balance calculation result exceeds power range channel output by more than +2% RTP.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2

------

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.1.3	Not required to be performed until 72 hours after THERMAL POWER is ≥ 15% RTP.	
		Compare results of the incore detector measurements to Nuclear Instrumentation System (NIS) AFD. Adjust NIS channel if absolute difference is ≥ 3%.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.4	This Surveillance must be performed on the reactor trip bypass breaker immediately after placing the bypass breaker in service.	
		Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.5	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.6	Verification of setpoint is not required.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

RTS Instrumentation 3.3.1

\_

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.1.7	Not required to be performed for source range instrumentation prior to entering MODE 3 from MODE 2 until 4 hours after entry into MODE 3.	
	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.1.8	NOTE	NOTE Only required when not performed within the frequency specified in the Surveillance Control Program  Prior to reactor startup <u>AND</u> Four hours after reducing power below P-6 for source range instrumentation <u>AND</u> Twelve hours after reducing power below P-10 for power and intermediate range instrumentation <u>AND</u> In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.3.1-10

Amendments 262 and 243

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.1.9	<pre>1. Adjust NIS channel if absolute    difference ≥ 3%.</pre>	
		<ol> <li>Not required to be performed until 72 hours after THERMAL POWER is ≥ 50% RTP.</li> </ol>	
		Compare results of the excore channels to incore detector measurements.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.10	This Surveillance shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values.	
	·	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.11	Neutron detectors are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	
		Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.12	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

RTS Instrumentation 3.3.1

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.1.13	Perform COT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.14	Verification of setpoint is not required.	
		Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.1.15	NOTE Verification of setpoint is not required.	
		Perform TADOT.	Prior to exceeding the P-8 interlock whenever the unit has been in MODE 3, if not performed within the previous 31 days
SR	3.3.1.16	Neutron detectors are excluded from response time testing.	
		Verify RTS RESPONSE TIME is within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2

ACT	IONS
-----	------

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
J. One or more channels inoperable.	J.1 Verify interlock is in required state for existing unit condition.	1 hour
	<u>OR</u>	
	J.2.1 Be in MODE 3.	7 hours
	AND	
	J.2.2 Be in MODE 4.	13 hours

Refer to Table 3.3.2-1 to determine which SRs apply for each ESFAS Function. 

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.3.2.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.2	Perform ACTUATION LOGIC TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.3	Perform MASTER RELAY TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.3.2.4	Perform COT	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ESFAS Instrumentation 3.3.2

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.2.5	Not required to be performed for SLAVE RELAYS if testing would:	
		<ol> <li>Result in an inadvertent Reactor Trip System or ESFAS Actuation if accompanied by a single failure in the Safeguard Test Cabinet;</li> </ol>	
		<ol> <li>Adversely affect two or more components in one or more ESFAS system(s); or</li> </ol>	
		<ol> <li>Create a reactivity, thermal, or hydraulic transient condition in the Reactor Coolant System.</li> </ol>	
		Perform SLAVE RELAY TEST.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.2.6	Verification of relay setpoints not required.	
		Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.3.2-6

*.* .

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.2.7	Verification of setpoint not required for manual initiation or interlock functions.	
		Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.2.8	This Surveillance shall include verification that the time constants are adjusted to the prescribed values.	
		Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.2.9	Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after SG pressure is ≥ 1005 psig.	
		Verify ESFAS RESPONSE TIMES are within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

•

.

I

PAM Instrumentation 3.3.3

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.3.3.1 and SR 3.3.3.3 apply to each PAM instrumentation Function in Table 3.3.3-1 except SR 3.3.3.3 does not apply to Item 10. SR 3.3.3.4 applies only to Item 10. 

		·	
		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.3.2	Not Used	
SR	3.3.3.3	Neutron detectors are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	
		Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.3.4	Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

#### 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

3.3.4 Remote Shutdown System

LCO 3.3.4 The Remote Shutdown System Functions shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, and 3.

#### ACTIONS

Separate Condition entry is allowed for each Function. 

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	One or more required Functions inoperable.	A.1	Restore required Function to OPERABLE status.	30 days
Β.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.4.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK for each required instrumentation channel that is normally energized.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.4.2	Verify each required control circuit and transfer switch is capable of performing the intended function.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Remote Shutdown System 3.3.4

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.4.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required instrumentation channel.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

. .

. . . . . . . .

Amendments 262 and 243

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
C. Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	C.1 Enter applicable Condition(s) and Required Action(s) for the associated EDG made inoperable by LOP EDG start instrumentation.	Immediately	

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

•

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.3.5.1	Verification of setpoint is not required.	
		Perform TADOT for LCO 3.3.5.a and LCO 3.3.5.b Functions.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.3.5.2	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION with Allowable Values as follows: a. Loss of voltage Allowable Values $\geq$ 2935 V and $\leq$ 3225 V with a time delay of 2 ±1 seconds for LCO 3.3.5.a and LCO 3.3.5.b Functions.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		b. Degraded voltage Allowable Values $\geq$ 3720 V and $\leq$ 3772 V with:	
		1. A time delay of 7.5 ±1.5 seconds with a Safety Injection (SI) signal for LCO 3.3.5.a Function; and	
		2. A time delay of 56 ±7 seconds without an SI signal for LCO 3.3.5.a and LCO 3.3.5.b Functions.	

------

# LOP EDG Start Instrumentation 3.3.5

\_\_\_\_

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.5.3	Verify ESF RESPONSE TIMES are within limit for LCO 3.3.5.a and LCO 3.3.5.b Functions.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# MCR/ESGR Envelope Isolation Actuation Instrumentation 3.3.6

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE		FREQUENCY	
SR 3.3.6.1	Verification of setpoint is not required. Perform TADOT.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	

North Anna Units 1 and 2

,

RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow DNB Limits 3.4.1

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.1.1	Verify pressurizer pressure is greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.1.2	Verify RCS average temperature is less than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.1.3	Verify RCS total flow rate is ≥ 295,000 gpm and is greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.1.4	Not required to be performed until 30 days after $\geq$ 90% RTP.	
		Verify by precision heat balance that RCS total flow rate is $\geq$ 295,000 gpm and is greater than or equal to the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality 3.4.2

3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.2 RCS Minimum Temperature for Criticality

LCO 3.4.2 Each RCS loop average temperature ( $T_{avg}$ ) shall be  $\geq$  541°F.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1, MODE 2 with  $k_{\text{eff}} \geq$  1.0.

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. T <sub>avg</sub> in one or more RCS loops not within limit.	A.1 Be in MODE 2 with k <sub>eff</sub> < 1.0.	30 minutes

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE			
SR 3.4.2.1	Verify RCS T <sub>avg</sub> in each loop $\ge$ 541°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

•

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	Required Action C.2 shall be completed whenever this Condition is entered.	- C.1 Initiate action to I restore parameter(s) to within limits.	Immediately	
	Requirements of LCO not met any time in other than MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	C.2	Determine RCS is acceptable for continued operation.	Prior to entering MODE 4

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.3.1	Only required to be performed during RCS heatup and cooldown operations and RCS inservice leak and hydrostatic testing. Verify RCS pressure, RCS temperature, and RCS heatup and cooldown rates are within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### RCS Loops-MODES 1 and 2 3.4.4

3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.4 RCS Loops-MODES 1 and 2

LCO 3.4.4 Three RCS loops shall be OPERABLE and in operation.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2.

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. Requirements of LCO not met.	A.1 Be in MODE 3.	6 hours

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.4.4.1	Verify each RCS loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
с.	Two required RCS loops inoperable.	C.1	Place the Rod Control System in a condition incapable of rod withdrawal.	Immediately
	Required RCS loop not in operation.	AND		
	· · · · · · · · ·	C.2	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately
		AND		
		C.3	Initiate action to restore one RCS loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.4.5.1	Verify required RCS loops are in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.5.2	Verify steam generator secondary side water levels are $\geq$ 17% for required RCS loops.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ž

Ň

.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.5.3	Not required to be performed until 24 hours after a required pump is not in operation. Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

٠

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
В.	Two required loops inoperable. <u>OR</u> Required loop not in operation.	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately	
		AND			
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.6.1	Verify required RHR or RCS loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.6.2	Verify SG secondary side water levels are ≥ 17% for required RCS loops.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.6.3	Not required to be performed until 24 hours after a required pump is not in operation.	
		Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required pump not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# RCS Loops-MODE 5, Loops Filled 3.4.7

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.7.1	Verify required RHR loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.7.2	Verify SG secondary side water level is ≥ 17% in required SG.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.7.3	Not required to be performed until 24 hours after a required pump is not in operation. Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance
		required knk pump not in operation.	Frequency Control Program

•

RCS Loops-MODE 5, Loops Not Filled 3.4.8

ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
Β.	No required RHR loop OPERABLE. <u>OR</u> Required RHR loop not in operation.	B.1	Suspend operations that would cause introduction into the RCS, coolant with boron concentration less than required to meet SDM of LCO 3.1.1.	Immediately	
		AND			
		B.2	Initiate action to restore one RHR loop to OPERABLE status and operation.	Immediately	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.8.1	Verify required RHR loop is in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.8.2	Not required to be performed until 24 hours after a required pump is not in operation.	
		Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power are available to the required RHR pump not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.9.1	Verify pressurizer water level is ≤ 93%.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.9.2	Verify capacity of each required group of pressurizer heaters is ≥ 125 kW.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## Pressurizer PORVs 3.4.11

ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
G.	Two block valves inoperable.	G.1	Required Action G.1 does not apply when block valve is inoperable solely as a result of complying with Required Action C.2.	2 haven
			Restore one block valve to OPERABLE status.	2 hours
Η.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition G not met.	H.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		H.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.11.1	Verify PORV backup nitrogen supply pressure is within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.11.2	<ol> <li>Not required to be performed with block valve closed in accordance with the Required Actions of this LCO.</li> <li>Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.</li> <li>Perform a complete cycle of each block valve.</li> </ol>	In accordance with the
			Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.11.3	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.	
		Perform a complete cycle of each PORV.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.11.4	Perform a complete cycle of each solenoid control valve and check valve on the accumulators in PORV control systems.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACTIONS	
---------	--

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
G.	Two required PORVs inoperable. <u>OR</u> Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A, B, D, E, or F not met. <u>OR</u> LTOP System inoperable for any reason other than Condition A, B, C, D, E, or F.	G.1	Depressurize RCS and establish RCS vent of ≥ 2.07 square inches.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	

.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.12.1	Verify a maximum of one LHSI pump is capable of injecting into the RCS.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.12.2	Verify a maximum of one charging pump is capable of injecting into the RCS.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.12.3	Only required to be met if accumulator pressure is greater than PORV lift setting.	
		Verify each accumulator is isolated and power is removed from the accumulator isolation valve operator.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

١

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.12.4	Verify required RCS vent $\ge$ 2.07 square inches open.	12 hours for unlocked open vent valve(s)
			AND
			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.12.5	Verify PORV block valve is open for each required PORV and PORV keyswitch is in AUTO.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.12.6	Verify required PORV backup nitrogen supply pressure is within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.12.7	Not required to be met until 12 hours after decreasing RCS cold leg temperature to $\leq 280^{\circ}$ F.	
		Perform a COT on each required PORV, excluding actuation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.12.8	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION for each required PORV actuation channel.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

RCS Operational LEAKAGE 3.4.13

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

,

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.13.1	1. Not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation.	
		2. Not applicable to primary to secondary LEAKAGE.	
		Verify RCS operational LEAKAGE is within limits by performance of RCS water inventory balance.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.13.2	Not required to be performed until 12 hours after establishment of steady state operation.	
		Verify primary to secondary LEAKAGE is $\leq$ 150 gallons per day through any one SG.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.4.13-2 Amendments 262 and 243 -**184** (6 - 1) - 12

۱

.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.14.1	<pre>1. Not required to be performed in MODES 3 and 4.</pre>	
	<ol> <li>Not required to be performed on any RCS PIVs required to be tested located in the RHR flow path when in the shutdown cooling mode of operation.</li> </ol>	
	3. RCS PIVs actuated during the performance of this Surveillance are not required to be tested more than once if a repetitive testing loop cannot be avoided.	
	Verify leakage from each RCS PIV required to be tested is equivalent to ≤ 0.5 gpm per nominal inch of valve size up to a maximum of 5 gpm at an RCS pressure ≥ 2215 psig and ≤ 2255 psig.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program, and in accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		AND
		Prior to entering MODE 2 whenever the unit has been in MODE 5 for 7 days or more, if leakage testing has not been performed in the previous 9 months
		AND
		Within 24 hours following valve actuation due to automatic or manual action or flow through the valve

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.4.14-2

# RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation 3.4.15

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.4.15.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK of the required containment atmosphere radioactivity monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.15.2	Perform COT of the required containment atmosphere radioactivity monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.15.3	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment sump monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.4.15.4	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the required containment atmosphere radioactivity monitor.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.16.1	Verify reactor coolant DOSE EQUIVALENT XE- 133 specific activity ≤ 197 µCi/gm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.4.16.2	Verify reactor coolant DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131 specific activity ≤ 1.0 μCi/gm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program <u>AND</u> Between 2 and 6 hours after a THERMAL POWER change of ≥ 15% RTP within a 1 hour period

.

.

RCS Loop Isolation Valves 3.4.17

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.17.2	Verify power removed from each RCS loop isolation valve.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.4.17-2

.

(

- 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)
- 3.4.19 RCS Loops-Test Exceptions
- The requirements of LCO 3.4.4, "RCS Loops-MODES 1 and 2," may be suspended, with THERMAL POWER < P-7. LCO 3.4.19

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2 during startup and PHYSICS TESTS.

#### ACTIONS

٠

۰.

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. THERMAL POWER ≥ P-7.	A.1 Open reactor trip breakers.	Immediately

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	
SR	3.4.19.1	Verify THERMAL POWER is < P-7.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program	
SR	3.4.19.2	Perform a COT for each power range neutron flux—low channel, intermediate range neutron flux channel, P-10, and P-13.	Prior to initiation of startup and PHYSICS TESTS	
SR	3.4.19.3	Perform an ACTUATION LOGIC TEST on P-7.	Prior to initiation of startup and PHYSICS TESTS	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.5.1.1	Verify each accumulator isolation valve is fully open.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.1.2	Verify borated water volume in each accumulator is $\ge$ 7580 gallons and $\le$ 7756 gallons.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.1.3	Verify nitrogen cover pressure in each accumulator is ≥ 599 psig and ≤ 667 psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.1.4	Verify boron concentration in each accumulator is $\ge 2500$ ppm and $\le 2800$ ppm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
			AND
			NOTE Only required to be performed for affected accumulators
			Once within 6 hours after each solution volume increase of $\geq$ 50% of indicated level that is not the result of addition from the refueling water storage tank
SR	3.5.1.5	Verify power is removed from each accumulator isolation valve operator when RCS pressure is $\geq$ 2000 psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2

		FREQUENCY			
SR	3.5.2.1	2.1 Verify the following valves are in the listed position with power to the valve operator removed.		In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control	
		Unit 1			Program
		Number	<u>Position</u>	Function	
	L	1-SI-MOV-1890A 1-SI-MOV-1890B 1-SI-MOV-1836	Closed Closed Closed	LHSI to Hot Leg LHSI to Hot Leg HHSI Pump to	
		1-SI-MOV-1869A	Closed	Cold Leg HHSI Pump to	
		1-SI-MOV-1869B	Closed	Hot Leg HHSI Pump to Hot Leg	
		Unit 2		,	
		Number	<u>Position</u>	Function	
		2-SI-MOV-2890A 2-SI-MOV-2890B 2-SI-MOV-2836	Closed Closed Closed	LHSI to Hot Leg LHSI to Hot Leg HHSI Pump to	
		2-SI-MOV-2869A	Closed	Cold Leg HHSI Pump to Hot Leg	
		2-SI-MOV-2869B	Closed	HHSI Pump to Hot Leg	
SR	3.5.2.2	and automatic v	alve in th ked, seale	d, or otherwise	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.2.3	Verify ECCS pip of water.	ing is suf	ficiently full	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.2.4	Verify each ECC the test flow p equal to the re	oint is gr		In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.5.2-2

~

ECCS-Operating 3.5.2

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.5.2.5	Verify each ECCS automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.2.6	Verify each ECCS pump capable of starting automatically starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.2.7	Verify each ECCS throttle valve listed below is secured in the correct position. Unit 1 Valve Number Unit 2 Valve Number 1-SI-188 2-SI-89 1-SI-191 2-SI-97 1-SI-193 2-SI-103 1-SI-203 2-SI-116 1-SI-204 2-SI-111 1-SI-205 2-SI-123	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.2.8	Verify, by visual inspection, each ECCS train containment sump component is not restricted by debris and shows no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

RWST 3.5.4

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.4.1	Verify RWST borated water temperature is $\geq$ 40°F and $\leq$ 50°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.4.2	Verify RWST borated water volume is $\geq$ 466,200 gallons and $\leq$ 487,000 gallons.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR 3.5.4.3	Verify RWST boron concentration is ≥ 2600 ppm and ≤ 2800 ppm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Seal Injection Flow 3.5.5

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.5.5.1	Not required to be performed until 4 hours after the Reactor Coolant System pressure stabilizes at $\geq$ 2215 psig and $\leq$ 2255 psig. 	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.5.5-2

.

		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.5.6.1	Verify BIT borated water temperature is ≥ 115°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.6.2	Verify BIT borated water volume is ≥ 900 gallons.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.5.6.3	Verify BIT boron concentration is $\geq 12,950$ ppm and $\leq 15,750$ ppm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

,

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.2.1	<ol> <li>An inoperable air lock door does not invalidate the previous successful performance of the overall air lock leakage test.</li> </ol>	
		<ol> <li>Results shall be evaluated against acceptance criteria applicable to SR 3.6.1.1.</li> </ol>	
		Perform required air lock leakage rate testing in accordance with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program.	In accordance with the Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program
SR	3.6.2.2	Verify only one door in the air lock can be opened at a time.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Containment Isolation Valves 3.6.3

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.3.1	Valves and blind flanges in high radiation areas may be verified by use of administrative controls. Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located outside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.3.2	Valves and blind flanges in high radiation areas may be verified by use of administrative means.	
		Verify each containment isolation manual valve and blind flange that is located inside containment and not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured and required to be closed during accident conditions is closed, except for containment isolation valves that are open under administrative controls.	Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 if not performed within the previous 92 day
SR	3.6.3.3	Verify the isolation time of each automatic power operated containment isolation valve is within limits.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR	3.6.3.4	Perform leakage rate testing for containment purge valves with resilient seals.	Prior to entering MODE 4 from MODE 5 after containment vacuum has been broken

#### Containment Isolation Valves 3.6.3

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

.

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.3.5	Verify each automatic containment isolation valve that is not locked, sealed or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.3.6	Cycle each weight or spring loaded check valve not testable during operation through one complete cycle of full travel, and verify each check valve remains closed when the differential pressure in the direction of flow is < 1.2 psid and opens when the differential pressure in the direction of flow is $\geq$ 1.2 psid and < 5.0 psid.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

# North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.6.3-6 Amendments 262 and 243

#### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

### 3.6.4 Containment Pressure

LCO 3.6.4 Containment air partial pressure shall be within the acceptable operation range shown on Figure 3.6.4-1.

#### APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Containment air partial pressure not within limits.	A.1	Restore containment air partial pressure to within limits.	1 hour
Β.	Required Action and associated Completion	B.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	Time not met.	AND		
		в.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

`

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.6.4.1	Verify containment air partial pressure is within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Containment Air Temperature 3.6.5

#### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

- 3.6.5 Containment Air Temperature
- LCO 3.6.5 Containment average air temperature shall be  $\geq$  86°F and  $\leq$  115°F.

# APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Containment average air temperature not within limits.	A.1	Restore containment average air temperature to within limits.	8 hours
Β.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.6.5.1	Verify containment average air temperature is within limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

#### 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3.6.6 Quench Spray (QS) System

LCO 3.6.6 Two QS trains shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	One QS train inoperable.	A.1	Restore QS train to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
в.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

.

		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.6.6.1	Verify each QS manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.6.2	Verify each QS pump's developed head at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program

	_	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.6.3	Verify each QS automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.6.4	Verify each QS pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.6.5	Verify each spray nozzle is unobstructed.	Following maintenance which could cause nozzle blockage

## ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
F.	F. One outside RS subsystem and one inside RS subsystem inoperable and not in the same train.		Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately	
	<u>OR</u>				
	Three or more RS subsystems inoperable.				
	<u>OR</u>				
	Two outside RS subsystems inoperable.				

•		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.7.1	Verify casing cooling tank temperature is $\geq$ 35°F and $\leq$ 50°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.7.2	Verify casing cooling tank contained borated water volume is ≥ 116,500 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.7.3	Verify casing cooling tank boron concentration is $\ge$ 2600 ppm and $\le$ 2800 ppm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

RS System 3.6.7

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

•

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.7.4	Verify each RS and casing cooling manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.7.5	Verify each RS and casing cooling pump's developed head at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR	3.6.7.6	<ul> <li>Verify on an actual or simulated actuation signal(s):</li> <li>a. Each RS automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position;</li> <li>b. Each RS pump starts automatically; and</li> <li>c. Each casing cooling pump starts automatically.</li> </ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.7.7	Verify, by visual inspection, each RS train containment sump component is not restricted by debris and shows no evidence of structural distress or abnormal corrosion.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.7.8	Verify each spray nozzle is unobstructed.	Following maintenance which could cause nozzle blockage

Chemical Addition System 3.6.8

## 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

3.6.8 Chemical Addition System

LCO 3.6.8 The Chemical Addition System shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

## ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Chemical Addition System inoperable.	A.1	Restore Chemical Addition System to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
в.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		В.2	Be in MODE 5.	84 hours

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.8.1	Verify each Chemical Addition System manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.8.2	Verify chemical addition tank solution volume is $\geq$ 4800 gal and $\leq$ 5500 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.6.8.3	Verify chemical addition tank NaOH solution concentration is $\ge 12\%$ and $\le 13\%$ by weight.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.8.4	Verify each Chemical Addition System automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.6.8.5	Verify Chemical Addition System flow from each solution's flow path.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

•

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.7.2.1	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.	
		Verify isolation time of each MSTV is ≤ 5 seconds.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR	3.7.2.2	Only required to be performed in MODES 1 and 2.	
		Verify each MSTV actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# MFIVs, MFPDVs, MFRVs, and MFRBVs 3.7.3

ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
D.	One or more MFPDV inoperable.	D.1	Close or isolate MFPDV.	72 hours	
		AND			
		D.2	Verify MFPDV is closed or isolated.	Once per 7 days	
Ε,	Two valves in the same flow path inoperable.	E.1	Isolate affected flow path.	8 hours	
F.	Required Action and	F.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours	
	associated Completion Time not met.	AND			
		F.2	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours	

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.7.3.1	Verify the isolation time of each MFIV, MFRV, and MFRBV is $\leq$ 6.98 seconds and the isolation time of each MFPDV is $\leq$ 60 seconds.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR	3.7.3.2	Verify each MFIV, MFPDV, MFRV, and MFRBV actuates to the isolation position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

•

#### 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

3.7.4 Steam Generator Power Operated Relief Valves (SG PORVs)

LCO 3.7.4 Three SG PORV lines shall be OPERABLE.

MODES 1, 2, and 3, APPLICABILITY: MODE 4 when steam generator is relied upon for heat removal.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	One required SG PORV line inoperable.	A.1	Restore required SG PORV line to OPERABLE status.	7 days
Β.	Two or more required SG PORV lines inoperable.	B.1	Restore all but one SG PORV line to OPERABLE status.	24 hours
с.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	C.1 <u>AND</u> C.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4 without reliance upon steam generator for heat removal.	6 hours 24 hours

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.4.1	Verify one complete cycle of each SG PORV.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.7.4-1

Amendments 262 and 243

SG PORVs 3.7.4

÷

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.4.2	Verify one complete cycle of each SG PORV manual isolation valve.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

•

## ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
c.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A or B not met.	C.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	OR	C.2	Be in MODE 4.	18 hours
	Two AFW trains inoperable in MODE 1, 2, or 3.			
D.	Three AFW trains inoperable in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	D.1	LCO 3.0.3 and all other LCO Required Actions requiring MODE changes are suspended until one AFW train is restored to OPERABLE status. Initiate action to restore one AFW train to OPERABLE status.	Immediately
Ε.	Required AFW train inoperable in MODE 4.	E.1	Initiate action to restore AFW train to OPERABLE status.	Immediately

.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE					
SR 3.7.5.1	Verify each AFW manual, power operated, and automatic valve in each water flow path, and in both steam supply flow paths to the steam turbine driven pump, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program				

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.7.5-2

AFW System 3.7.5

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.7.5.2	Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after $\geq$ 1005 psig in the steam generator.	
		Verify the developed head of each AFW pump at the flow test point is greater than or equal to the required developed head.	In accordance with the Inservice Testing Program
SR	3.7.5.3	Not applicable in MODE 4 when steam generator is relied upon for heat removal.	
		Verify each AFW automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.7.5.4	1. Not required to be performed for the turbine driven AFW pump until 24 hours after ≥ 1005 psig in the steam generator.	
		<ol> <li>Not applicable in MODE 4 when steam generator is relied upon for heat removal.</li> </ol>	
		Verify each AFW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

AFW System 3.7.5

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.5.5	Verify proper alignment of the required AFW flow paths by verifying flow from the emergency condensate storage tank to each steam generator.	Prior to entering MODE 3, whenever unit has been in MODE 5, 6, or defueled for a cumulative period > 30 days

.

•

3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

3.7.6 Emergency Condensate Storage Tank (ECST)

LCO 3.7.6 The ECST shall be OPERABLE.

MODES 1, 2, and 3, MODE 4 when steam generator is relied upon for heat removal. APPLICABILITY:

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	ECST inoperable.	A.1	Verify by administrative means OPERABILITY of Condensate Storage Tank.	4 hours <u>AND</u> Once per 12 hours thereafter
		AND		
		A.2	Restore ECST to OPERABLE status.	7 days
Β.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		B.2	Be in MODE 4, without reliance on steam generator for heat removal.	24 hours

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.6.1	Verify the ECST contains ≥ 110,000 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.7.6-1

## Amendments 262 and 243

Secondary Specific Activity 3.7.7

- 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS
- 3.7.7 Secondary Specific Activity
- LCO 3.7.7 The specific activity of the secondary coolant shall be  $\leq 0.10 \ \mu \text{Ci/gm}$  DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

## ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. Specific activity not within limit.	A.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
WICHTH THAT.	AND		
	A.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

	SURVEILLANCE			
SR 3.7.7.1	Verify the specific activity of the secondary coolant is $\leq$ 0.10 µCi/gm DOSE EQUIVALENT I-131.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program		

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Actions and associated Completion	D.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
Times of Conditions A, B or C not met.		<u>AND</u>		
		D.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours
Ε.	Two SW System loops	E.1	Be in MODE 4.	12 hours
	inoperable for reasons other than only two SW	AND		
	pumps being OPERABLE.	E.2	Initiate actions to be in MODE 5.	13 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.7.8.1	NOTE	
		Verify each SW System manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing safety related equipment, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.7.8.2	Verify each SW System automatic valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

SW System 3.7.8

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.8.3	Verify each SW pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2

3.7.8-4

Amendments 262 and 243

3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

3.7.9 Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)

LCO 3.7.9 The UHS shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

## ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. UHS inoperable.	A.1	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
	<u>AND</u>		
	A.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

		FREQUENCY	
SR	3.7.9.1	Verify water level of the Service Water Reservoir is ≥ 313 ft mean sea level.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.7.9.2	Verify average water temperature of the Service Water Reservoir is ≤ 95°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

ACT	IC	INS
-----	----	-----

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Ε.	(continued)			
<u>0r</u>				
	Two required MCR/ESGR EVS trains inoperable during movement of recently irradiated fuel assemblies for reasons other than Condition B.			
F.	Two required MCR/ESGR EVS trains inoperable in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4 for reasons other than Condition B.	F.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

.

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.7.10.1	Operate each required MCR/ESGR EVS train for $\ge 10$ continuous hours with the heaters operating.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.7.10.2	Perform required MCR/ESGR EVS filter testing in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP).	In accordance with VFTP
SR	3.7.10.3	Not Used	

## ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
E. Less than 100% of the MCR/ESGR ACS cooling equivalent to a single OPERABLE MCR/ESGR ACS subsystem available in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4.	E.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately	

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.11.1	Verify each required MCR/ESGR ACS chiller has the capability to remove the assumed heat load.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

د

## ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
D.	Two ECCS PREACS trains inoperable due to inoperable ECCS pump room boundary affecting filtration capability.	D.1.1	Verify ECCS leakage log is less than the maximum allowable unfiltered leakage.	1 hour
	the second s	AN	D	
		D.1.2	Verify by field walkdown that ECCS leakage is less than the maximum allowable unfiltered leakage.	Once per 12 hours thereafter
		AN	<u>D</u>	
	,	D.1.3	Restore ECCS pump room boundary to OPERABLE status.	14 days
		<u>OR</u>		
		D.2	Restore ECCS pump room boundary to OPERABLE status.	24 hours
Ε.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	E.1 AND	Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
		E.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.12.1	Operate each ECCS PREACS train for $\geq 10$ continuous hours with the heaters operating.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.7.12-4 Amendments 262 and 243

~

.

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.7.12.2	Actuate each ECCS PREACS train by aligning Safeguards Area exhaust flow and Auxiliary Building Central exhaust flow through the Auxiliary Building HEPA filter and charcoal adsorber assembly.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.7.12.3	Perform required ECCS PREACS filter testing in accordance with the Ventilation Filter Testing Program (VFTP).	In accordance with the VFTP
SR	3.7.12.4	Verify Safeguards Area exhaust flow is diverted and each Auxiliary Building filter bank is actuated on an actual or simulated actuation signal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.7.12.5	Verify one ECCS PREACS train can maintain a negative pressure relative to adjacent areas during post accident mode of operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

#### 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

### 3.7.15 Fuel Building Ventilation System (FBVS)

LCO 3.7.15 The FBVS shall be OPERABLE and in operation.

The fuel building boundary may be opened intermittently under administrative control.

# APPLICABILITY: During movement of recently irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.

#### ACTIONS

LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. FBVS inoperable. <u>OR</u> FBVS not in operation.	A.1 Suspend movement of recently irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel building.	Immediately

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.15.1	Verify the FBVS can maintain a pressure ≤ -0.125 inches water gauge with respect to atmospheric pressure.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Fuel Storage Pool Water Level 3.7.16

.

- 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS
- 3.7.16 Fuel Storage Pool Water Level
- The fuel storage pool water level shall be  $\geq 23$  ft over the top of irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage LCO 3.7.16 racks.
- APPLICABILITY: During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.

# ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. Fuel storage pool water level not within limit.	A.1NOTE LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.  Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.	Immediately

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.7.16.1	Verify the fuel storage pool water level is $\geq 23$ ft above the top of the irradiated fuel assemblies seated in the storage racks.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# Fuel Storage Pool Boron Concentration 3.7.17

.

- 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS
- 3.7.17 Fuel Storage Pool Boron Concentration
- LCO 3.7.17 The fuel storage pool boron concentration shall be  $\geq$  2600 ppm.

APPLICABILITY: When fuel assemblies are stored in the fuel storage pool.

### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
Α.	Fuel storage pool boron concentration not within limit.		NOTE .0.3 is not applicable.		
		A.1	Suspend movement of fuel assemblies in the fuel storage pool.	Immediately	
		AND			
		A.2	Initiate action to restore fuel storage pool boron concentration to within limit.	Immediately	

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.17.1	Verify the fuel storage pool boron concentration is within limit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

CC System 3.7.19

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

5

SURVEILLANCE		FREQUENCY
SR 3.7.19.1	Verify each CC manual, power operated, and automatic valve in the flow path servicing the residual heat removal system, that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in the correct position.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.7.19-2

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.1.1	Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each required offsite circuit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.1.2	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.1.3	<ol> <li>NOTES</li></ol>	
		Verify each required EDG is synchronized and loaded and operates for $\geq$ 60 minutes at a load $\geq$ 2500 kW and $\leq$ 2600 kW.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.1.4	Verify each required day tank contains ≥ 450 gal of fuel oil.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.1.5	Check for and remove accumulated water from each required day tank.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.1.6	Verify each required fuel oil transfer pump operates to transfer fuel oil from the storage tank to the day tank.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.1.7	All EDG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.	
		Verify each required EDG starts from standby condition and achieves a. In ≤ 10 seconds, voltage ≥ 3960 V and frequency ≥ 59.5 Hz; and	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		<pre>b. Steady state voltage ≥ 3740 V and ≤ 4580 V, and frequency ≥ 59.5 Hz and ≤ 60.5 Hz.</pre>	
SR	3.8.1.8	<ol> <li>NOTES</li></ol>	
		Verify manual transfer of AC power sources from the normal offsite circuit to the alternate required offsite circuit.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

•

\_\_\_\_\_

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

,

.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.9	<ul> <li>NOTE</li></ul>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

)

AC Sources-Operating 3.8.1

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.10	<ul> <li>SURVEILLANCE</li> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	FREQUENCY In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	5. supplies permanently connected and auto-connected shutdown loads for ≥ 5 minutes.	

# AC Sources-Operating 3.8.1

.

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.11	<ol> <li>All EDG starts may be preceded by prelube period.</li> <li>This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1 or 2. However, portions of the Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced.</li> </ol>	
	Verify on an actual or simulated Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) actuation signal each LCO 3.8.1.b EDG auto-starts from standby condition and:	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	a. In ≤ 10 seconds after auto-start and during tests, achieves voltage ≥ 3960 V and frequency ≥ 59.5 Hz;	
	b. Achieves steady state voltage $\geq$ 3740 V and $\leq$ 4580 V and frequency $\geq$ 59.5 Hz and $\leq$ 60.5 Hz;	
	c. Operates for $\geq$ 5 minutes;	
	<ul> <li>d. Permanently connected loads remain energized from the offsite power system; and</li> </ul>	
	e. Emergency loads are energized or auto-connected through the sequencing timing relays from the offsite power system.	

.

AC Sources-Operating 3.8.1

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

.

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.12	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1 or 2. However, this Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced. Verify each required EDG's automatic trips are bypassed on actual or simulated automatic start signals except: a. Engine overspeed; and b. Generator differential current.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

Amendments 262 and 243

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.13	<ul> <li>NOTES</li></ul>	
	Verify each required EDG operates for $\geq$ 24 hours:	In accordance with the Surveillance
	a. For $\geq$ 2 hours loaded $\geq$ 2900 kW and $\leq$ 3000 kW; and	Frequency Control Program
	b. For the remaining hours of the test loaded ≥ 2500 kW and ≤ 2600 kW.	

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.1.14	<ol> <li>This Surveillance shall be performed within 5 minutes of shutting down the EDG after the EDG has operated ≥ 2 hours loaded ≥ 2500 kW and ≤ 2600 kW or after operating temperatures have stabilized.</li> </ol>	
		Momentary transients outside of load range do not invalidate this test.	
		<ol> <li>All EDG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.</li> </ol>	
		<pre>Verify each required EDG starts and achieves a. In ≤ 10 seconds, voltage ≥ 3960 V and frequency ≥ 59.5 Hz; and</pre>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		b. Steady state voltage $\geq$ 3740 V, and $\leq$ 4580 V and frequency $\geq$ 59.5 Hz and $\leq$ 60.5 Hz.	
SR	3.8.1.15	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. However, this Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced.	
		Verify each required EDG:	In accordance with the
		<ul> <li>a. Synchronizes with offsite power source while loaded with emergency loads upon a simulated restoration of offsite power;</li> </ul>	Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		<ul> <li>b. Transfers loads to offsite power source; and</li> </ul>	
		c. Returns to ready-to-load operation.	

\_\_\_\_\_

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

.

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.1.16	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. However, this Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced.	
		Verify each required sequencing timing relay is within the design tolerance.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

••

.

•

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.1	1. All EDG starts may be preceded by an engine prelube period.	
	<ol> <li>This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. However, portions of the Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced.</li> </ol>	
	Verify on an actual or simulated loss of offsite power signal in conjunction with an actual or simulated ESF actuation signal:	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
	a. De-energization of emergency buses;	Frogram
	b. Load shedding from emergency buses; and	
	c. Each LCO 3.8.1.b EDG auto-starts from standby condition and:	
	<ol> <li>energizes permanently connected loads in ≤ 10 seconds,</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>energizes auto-connected emergency loads through load sequencing timing relays,</li> </ol>	
	3. achieves steady state voltage $\geq$ 3740 V and $\leq$ 4580 V,	
	<pre>4. achieves steady state frequency</pre>	
	5. supplies permanently connected and auto-connected emergency loads for ≥ 5 minutes.	

•

# AC Sources-Operating 3.8.1

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.1.18	<pre>NOTE</pre>	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
		<u> </u>

ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
F.	Required Action and associated Completion Time not met.	F.1	Declare associated EDG(s) inoperable.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more EDGs diesel fuel oil or starting air subsystem not within limits for reasons other than Condition A, B, C, D, or E.			

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.3.1	Verify fuel oil inventory ≥ 90,000 gal.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.3.2	Verify fuel oil properties of new and stored fuel oil are tested in accordance with, and maintained within the limits of, the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program.	In accordance with the Diesel Fuel Oil Testing Program
SR	3.8.3.3	Verify each EDG air start receiver pressure is ≥ 175 psig.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.3.4	Check for and remove accumulated water from each stored fuel oil storage tank.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# DC Sources-Operating 3.8.4

•

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
<ul> <li>DNOTE</li></ul>	D.1 Declare associated shared component(s) inoperable.	Immediately

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.4.1	Verify for each required Station and EDG battery, terminal voltage is $\geq$ 129 V on float charge.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.4.2	Verify for each required Station and EDG battery, there is no visible corrosion at battery terminals and connectors.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
,		Verify battery connection resistance is $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for inter-cell connections, $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for inter-rack connections, $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for inter-tier connections, and $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for terminal connections.	
SR	3.8.4.3	Verify for each required Station and EDG battery, cells, cell plates, and racks show no visual indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration that could degrade battery performance.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.8.4-2

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.4.4	For each required Station and EDG battery, remove visible terminal corrosion, verify battery cell to cell and terminal connections are clean and coated with anti-corrosion material.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.4.5	Verify for each required Station and EDG battery, connection resistance is $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for inter-cell connections, $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for inter-rack connections, $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for inter-tier connections, and $\leq 1.5E-4$ ohm for terminal connections.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.4.6	Verify each required Station battery charger supplies $\ge 270$ amps at $\ge 125$ V for $\ge 4$ hours.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.8.4.7	Verify each required EDG battery charger supplies $\ge 10$ amps at $\ge 125$ V for $\ge 4$ hours.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

# DC Sources-Operating 3.8.4

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.4.8	<ol> <li>The modified performance discharge test in SR 3.8.4.9 may be performed in lieu of the service test in SR 3.8.4.8.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>The performance discharge test in SR 3.8.4.9 may be performed in lieu of the service test in SR 3.8.4.8.</li> </ol>	
	3. This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4. However, portions of the Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced.	
	Verify for each required Station battery, capacity is adequate to supply, and maintain in OPERABLE status, the required emergency loads for the design duty cycle when subjected to a battery service test.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

•

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

•

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.4.9	This Surveillance shall not normally be performed in MODE 1, 2, 3, or 4 for Station batteries. However, portions of the Surveillance may be performed to reestablish OPERABILITY provided an assessment determines the safety of the unit is maintained or enhanced.	
		Verify for each required Station and EDG battery, capacity is ≥ 80% of the manufacturer's rating when subjected to a performance discharge test or a modified performance discharge test.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
			AND 18 months when battery shows degradation or has reached 85% of expected life

ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	(continued)	A.3	Restore battery cell parameters to Table 3.8.6-1 Category A and B limits.	31 days
в.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1	Declare associated battery inoperable.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more Station batteries with average electrolyte temperature of the representative cells < 60°F.			
	<u>OR</u>			
	One or more Station or EDG batteries with one or more battery cell parameters not within Table 3.8.6-1 Category C values.			

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.6.1	Verify for each required Station and EDG battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category A limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

## Battery Cell Parameters 3.8.6

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.8.6.2	Verify for each required Station and EDG battery cell parameters meet Table 3.8.6-1 Category B limits.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
			AND
			Once within 24 hours after a battery discharge < 110 V
			AND
	•		Once within 24 hours after a battery overcharge > 150 V
SR	3.8.6.3	Verify average electrolyte temperature of representative cells for each required Station battery is $\geq$ 60°F.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

,

.

# Inverters-Operating 3.8.7

ACTIONS
---------

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
В.	One or more inverters required by LCO 3.8.7.b inoperable.	B.1	Enter applicable Conditions and Required Actions of LCO 3.8.9, "Distribution Systems-Operating" with any vital bus de-energized. Declare associated	7 days
с.	Paguired Action and	C.1	shared components inoperable. Be in MODE 3.	6 hours
ι.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A	AND	be in mode 3.	
	not met.	C.2	Be in MODE 5.	36 hours

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.7.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignment to required AC vital buses.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

Inverters-Shutdown 3.8.8

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.8.1	Verify correct inverter voltage and alignments to required AC vital buses.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2

.

Amendments 262 and 243

# Distribution Systems-Operating 3.8.9

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
F.	NOTE Separate Condition entry is allowed for each AC vital subsystem.  One or more required LCO 3.8.9.b AC vital electrical power distribution subsystem(s) inoperable.	•F.1	Declare associated shared components inoperable.	Immediately
G.	Required Action and associated Completion Time for Condition A, B, or C not met.	G.1 <u>AND</u> G.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 5.	6 hours 36 hours
н.	Two or more LCO 3.8.9.a electrical power distribution subsystems inoperable that result in a loss of safety function.	H.1	Enter LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.9.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. (continued)	A.2.4 Initiate actions to restore required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems to OPERABLE status. AND	Immediately
	A.2.5 Declare associated required residual heat removal subsystem(s) inoperable and not in operation.	Immediately

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.8.10.1	Verify correct breaker alignments and voltage to required AC, DC, and AC vital bus electrical power distribution subsystems.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

#### 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS

#### 3.9.1 Boron Concentration

Boron concentrations of the Reactor Coolant System (RCS), the refueling canal, and the refueling cavity shall be maintained within the limit specified in the COLR. LCO 3.9.1

APPLICABILITY: MODE 6.

## ----- NOTE-----Only applicable to the refueling canal and refueling cavity when connected to the RCS.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. Boron concentration not within limit.	A.1	Suspend CORE ALTERATIONS.	Immediately
	AND		-
	A.2	Suspend positive reactivity additions.	Immediately
	AND		
<i>.</i>	A.3	Initiate action to restore boron concentration to within limit.	Immediately

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.1.1	Verify boron concentration is within the limit specified in the COLR.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.9.1-1

## Nuclear Instrumentation 3.9.3

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR	3.9.3.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	3.9.3.2	Neutron detectors are excluded from CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	
		Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

x

•

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.9.3-2

~

## Containment Penetrations 3.9.4

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

-

SURVEILLANCE			FREQUENCY
SR	3.9.4.1	Verify each required containment penetration is in the required status.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program
SR	SR 3.9.4.2 Not required to be met for containment purge and exhaust valve(s) in penetration closed to comply with LCO 3.9.4.c.1. Verify each required containment purge an exhaust valve actuates to the isolation position on manual initiation.		In accordance with the Surveillance
			Frequency Control Program

## RHR and Coolant Circulation-High Water Level 3.9.5

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. (continued)	A.4	Close equipment hatch and secure with four bolts.	4 hours
	AND		
	A.5	Close one door in each installed air lock.	4 hours
	AND		
	A.6.1	Close each penetration providing direct access from the containment atmosphere to the outside atmosphere with a manual or automatic isolation valve, blind flange, or equivalent.	4 hours
	<u>OR</u>		
	A.6.2	Verify each penetration is capable of being closed by an OPERABLE Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation System.	4 hours

#### ACTIONS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.9.5.1	Verify one RHR loop is in operation and circulating reactor coolant at a flow rate of $\geq$ 3000 gpm.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

North Anna Units 1 and 2 3.9.5-2

ACT	IONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
B. (continued)	B.5.2 Verify each penetration is capable of being closed by an OPERABLE Containment Purge and Exhaust Isolation System.	4 hours

## SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
circ of:			In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency
		a. ≥ 3000 gpm, or	Control Program
		b. ≥ 2000 gpm if RCS temperature ≤ 140°F and time since entry into MODE 3 ≥ 100 hours.	
SR	3.9.6.2	Not required to be performed until 24 hours After a required RHR pump is not in operation.	
		Verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power available to the required RHR pump that is not in operation.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program

.

•

Refueling Cavity Water Level 3.9.7

- 3.9 REFUELING OPERATIONS
- 3.9.7 Refueling Cavity Water Level
- LCO 3.9.7 Refueling cavity water level shall be maintained  $\geq$  23 ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.

## APPLICABILITY: During movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
Α.	Refueling cavity water level not within limit.	A.1	Suspend movement of irradiated fuel assemblies within containment.	Immediately	

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE				
SR 3.9.7.1	Verify refueling cavity water level is ≥ 23 ft above the top of reactor vessel flange.	In accordance with the Surveillance Frequency Control Program			

,

#### 5.5 Programs and Manuals

#### 5.5.16 <u>Main Control Room/Emergency Switchgear Room Envelope Habitability</u> Program (MCR/ESGR) (continued)

- e. The quantitative limits on unfiltered air inleakage into the MCR/ESGR envelope. These limits shall be stated in a manner to allow direct comparison to the unfiltered air inleakage measured by the testing described in paragraph c. The unfiltered air inleakage limit for radiological challenges is the inleakage flow rate assumed in the licensing basis analyses of design basis accident consequences. Unfiltered air inleakage limits for hazardous chemicals must ensure that exposure of MCR/ESGR envelope occupants to these hazards will be within the assumptions in the licensing basis.
- f. The provisions of SR 3.0.2 are applicable to the Frequencies for assessing MCR/ESGR envelope habitability, determining MCR/ESGR envelope unfiltered inleakage, and measuring MCR/ESGR envelope pressure and assessing the MCR/ESGR envelope boundary as required by paragraphs c and d, respectively.

#### 5.5.17 Surveillance Frequency Control Program

This program provides controls for Surveillance Frequencies. The program shall ensure that Surveillance Requirements specified in the Technical Specification are performed at interval sufficient to assure the associated Limiting Conditions for Operation are met.

- a. The Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall contain a list of Frequencies of those Surveillance Requirements for which the Frequency is controlled by the program.
- b. Changes to the Frequencies listed in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall be made in accordance with NEI 04-10, "Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," Revision 1.
- c. The provisions of Surveillance Requirements 3.0.2 and 3.0.3 are applicable to the Frequencies established in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

## SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

## RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 262

## TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-4

<u>AND</u>

## AMENDMENT NO. 243

## TO RENEWED FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-7

## VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

## NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

## DOCKET NOS. 50-338 AND 50-339

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By application dated March 30, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), Accession Nos. ML100900162, ML100900163, ML100900167), as supplemented by letters dated August 30, 2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML102430462) and January 18, 2011(ADAMS Accession No. ML110200451), Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the North Anna Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (NAPS 1 and 2), Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested change is to relocate specific surveillance frequencies to a licensee-controlled program with the implementation of Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies." The changes are consistent with NRC-approved Industry Technical Specification Task Force (TSTF) Standard Technical Specifications (STS) change TSTF-425, Revision 3. The supplemental letter provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) staff's original proposed no significant hazard consideration determination.

This safety evaluation (SE) documents the NRC staff's review of the impact of the proposed TS changes specifically address when implemented, TSTF-425 relocates most periodic frequencies of TS surveillances to a licensee controlled program, the Surveillance Frequency Control Program (SFCP), and provides requirements for the new program in the Administrative Controls section of the TS. All surveillance frequencies can be relocated except:

- Frequencies that reference other approved programs for the specific interval (such as the In-Service Testing Program or the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program);
- Frequencies that are purely event-driven (e.g., "each time the control rod is withdrawn to

the 'full out' position'');

- Frequencies that are event-driven, but have a time component for performing the surveillance on a one-time basis once the event occurs (e.g., "within 24 hours after thermal power reaching ≥ 95% RTP [rated thermal power]"); and
- Frequencies that are related to specific conditions (e.g., battery degradation, age and capacity) or conditions for the performance of a surveillance requirement (e.g., "drywell to suppression chamber differential pressure decrease").

A new program is added to the Administrative Controls of TS Section 5 as Specification 5.5.17. The new program is called the SFCP and describes the requirements for the program to control changes to the relocated surveillance frequencies. The TS Bases for each of the affected surveillance requirements are revised to state that the frequency is set in accordance with the SFCP. Some surveillance requirements Bases do not contain a discussion of the frequency. In these cases, the Bases describing the current frequency were added to maintain consistency with the Bases for similar surveillances. These instances are noted in the markup along with the source of the text. The proposed licensee changes to the Administrative Controls of the TS to incorporate the SFCP include a specific reference to Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5B, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," Revision 1, as the basis for making any changes to the surveillance frequencies once they are relocated out of the TS.

In a letter dated September 19, 2007, the NRC staff approved NEI 04-10, Revision 1 (ADAMS Accession No. ML072570267), as acceptable for referencing in licensing actions to the extent specified and under the limitations delineated in NEI 04-10, and the SE providing the basis for NRC acceptance of NEI 04-10.

## 2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

In the "Final Policy Statement: Technical Specifications for Nuclear Power Plants" published in the *Federal Register* (FR) (58 FR 39132, published July 22, 1993), the NRC addressed the use of Probabilistic Safety Analysis (PSA), currently referred to as Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) in STS. In this 1993 FR publication, the NRC states, in part:

"The Commission believes that it would be inappropriate at this time to allow requirements which meet one or more of the first three criteria [of 10 CFR 50.36] to be deleted from technical specifications based solely on PSA (Criterion 4). However, if the results of PSA indicate that technical specifications can be relaxed or removed, a deterministic review will be performed."

"The Commission Policy in this regard is consistent with its Policy Statement on 'Safety Goals for the operation of Nuclear Power Plants,' 51 FR 30028, published on August 21, 1986. The Policy Statement on Safety Goals states in part, probabilistic results should also be reasonably balanced and supported through use of deterministic arguments. In this way, judgments can be made about the degree of confidence to be given these [probabilistic] estimates and assumptions. This is a key part of the process for determining the degree of regulatory conservatism that may be warranted for particular decisions. This 'defense-in-depth' approach is expected to continue to ensure the protection of public health and safety." "The Commission will continue to use PSA, consistent with its policy on Safety Goals, as a tool in evaluating specific line item improvements to Technical Specifications, new requirements, and industry proposals for risk-based Technical Specification changes."

Approximately 2 years later the NRC provided additional detail concerning the use of PRA in the "Final Policy Statement: Use of Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Nuclear Regulatory Activities" published in the Federal Register (60 FR 42622, August 16, 1995). In this FR publication, the NRC states, in part:

"The Commission believes that an overall policy on the use of PRA methods in nuclear regulatory activities should be established so that the many potential applications of PRA can be implemented in a consistent and predictable manner that would promote regulatory stability and efficiency. In addition, the Commission believes that the use of PRA technology in NRC regulatory activities should be increased to the extent supported by the state-of-the-art in PRA methods and data and in a manner that complements the NRC's deterministic approach."

"PRA addresses a broad spectrum of initiating events by assessing the event frequency. Mitigating system reliability is then assessed, including the potential for multiple and common-cause failures. The treatment, therefore, goes beyond the single failure requirements in the deterministic approach. The probabilistic approach to regulation is, therefore, considered an extension and enhancement of traditional regulation by considering risk in a more coherent and complete manner."

"Therefore, the Commission believes that an overall policy on the use of PRA in nuclear regulatory activities should be established so that the many potential applications of PRA can be implemented in a consistent and predictable manner that promotes regulatory stability and efficiency. This policy statement sets forth the Commission's intention to encourage the use of PRA and to expand the scope of PRA applications in all nuclear regulatory matters to the extent supported by the state-of-the-art in terms of methods and data."

"Therefore, the Commission adopts the following policy statement regarding the expanded NRC use of PRA:

- (1) The use of PRA technology should be increased in all regulatory matters to the extent supported by the state-of-the-art in PRA methods and data and in a manner that complements the NRC's deterministic approach and supports the NRC's traditional defense-in-depth philosophy.
- (2) PRA and associated analyses (e.g., sensitivity studies, uncertainty analyses, and importance measures) should be used in regulatory matters, where practical within the bounds of the state-of-the-art, to reduce unnecessary conservatism associated with current regulatory requirements, regulatory guides, license commitments, and staff practices. Where appropriate, PRA should be used to support the proposal for additional regulatory requirements in accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.109 (Backfit Rule). Appropriate procedures for including PRA in the process should be developed and followed. It is, of course, understood that the intent of this policy is that existing rules and regulations shall be complied with unless these rules and regulations are

revised.

- (3) PRA evaluations in support of regulatory decisions should be as realistic as practicable and appropriate supporting data should be publicly available for review.
- (4) The Commission's safety goals for nuclear power plants and subsidiary numerical objectives are to be used with appropriate consideration of uncertainties in making regulatory judgments on the need for proposing and backfitting new generic requirements on nuclear power plant licensees."

In 10 CFR 50.36, the NRC established its regulatory requirements related to the content of TSs. Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.36, TSs are required to include items in the following five specific categories related to station operation: (1) safety limits, limiting safety system settings, and limiting control settings; (2) limiting conditions for operation; (3) surveillance requirements; (4) design features; and (5) administrative controls.

As stated in 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3), "Surveillance requirements are requirements relating to test, calibration, or inspection to assure that the necessary quality of systems and components is maintained, that facility operation will be within safety limits, and that the limiting conditions for operation will be met." These categories will remain in the TSs. The new TS SFCP provides the necessary administrative controls to require that surveillance frequencies relocated to the SFCP are conducted at a frequency to assure that the necessary quality of systems and components are maintained, that facility operation will be within safety limits, and that the limiting conditions for operation will be met. Changes to surveillance frequencies in the SFCP are made using the methodology contained in NEI 04-10, including qualitative considerations, results of risk analyses, sensitivity studies and any bounding analyses, and recommended monitoring of structures, systems, and components (SSCs), and required to be documented. Furthermore, changes to frequencies are subject to regulatory review and oversight of the SFCP implementation through the rigorous NRC review of safety-related SSC performance provided by the reactor oversight program.

Licensees are required by TS to perform surveillance test, calibration, or inspection on specific safety-related system equipment (e.g., reactivity control, power distribution, electrical, and instrumentation) to verify system operability. Surveillance frequencies, currently identified in TSs, are based primarily upon deterministic methods such as engineering judgment, operating experience, and manufacturer's recommendations. The licensee's use of NRC-approved methodologies identified in NEI 04-10 provides a way to establish risk-informed surveillance frequencies that complement the deterministic approach and support the NRC's traditional defense-in-depth philosophy.

The licensee's SFCP ensures that surveillance requirements specified in the TSs are performed at intervals sufficient to assure the above regulatory requirements are met. Existing regulatory requirements, such as 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," and 10 CFR 50, Appendix B (corrective action program), require licensee monitoring of surveillance test failures and implementing corrective actions to address such failures. One of these actions may be to consider increasing the frequency at which a surveillance test is performed. In addition, the SFCP implementation guidance in NEI 04–10 requires monitoring the performance of SSCs for which surveillance frequencies are decreased to assure reduced testing does not adversely impact the SSCs. These requirements, and the monitoring required by NEI 04–10, ensure that surveillance frequencies are sufficient to assure that the requirements of 10 CFR 50.36 are satisfied and that any performance deficiencies will be identified and appropriate corrective actions taken.

Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.174, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," describes a risk-informed approach, acceptable to the NRC, for assessing the nature and impact of proposed permanent licensing-basis changes by considering engineering issues and applying risk insights. This RG also provides risk acceptance guidelines for evaluating the results of such evaluations.

In RG 1.177, "An Approach for Plant-Specific, Risk-Informed Decisionmaking: Technical Specifications," it describes an acceptable risk-informed approach specifically for assessing proposed permanent TS changes.

In RG 1.200, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities," it describes an acceptable approach for determining whether the quality of the PRA, in total or the parts that are used to support an application, is sufficient to provide confidence in the results, such that the PRA can be used in regulatory decision making for light water-reactors.

## 3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

The licensee's adoption of TSTF-425 for NAPS provides for administrative relocation of applicable surveillance frequencies, and provides for the addition of the SFCP to the administrative controls of TS. TSTF-425 also requires the application of NEI 04-10 for any changes to surveillance frequencies within the SFCP. The licensee's application for the changes proposed in TSTF-425 included documentation regarding the PRA technical adequacy consistent with the requirements of RG 1.200, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities," Revision 1. In accordance with NEI 04–10 PRA methods are used, in combination with plant performance data and other considerations, to identify and justify modifications to the surveillance frequencies of equipment at nuclear power plants. This is in accordance with guidance provided in RG 1.174, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis," and RG 1.177 in support of changes to surveillance test intervals.

## 3.1 RG 1.177 Five Key Safety Principles

In RG 1.177 it identifies five key safety principles required for risk-informed changes to TSs. Each of these principles is addressed by the industry methodology document, NEI 04-10.

## 3.1.1 The Proposed Change Meets Current Regulations

In 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3) it provides that TSs will include surveillances which are "requirements relating to test, calibration, or inspection to assure that necessary quality of systems and components is maintained, that facility operation will be within safety limits, and that the limiting conditions for operation will be met." In NEI 04-10 it provides guidance for relocating the surveillance frequencies from the TSs to a licensee-controlled program by providing an NRC-approved methodology for control of the surveillance frequencies. The surveillance category remains in the TSs, as required by 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3).

This change is consistent with other NRC-approved TS changes in which the surveillance frequencies are relocated to licensee-controlled documents, such as surveillances performed in accordance with the In-service Testing Program or the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program. Thus, this proposed change meets the first key safety principle of RG 1.177 by complying with current regulations.

## 3.1.2 The Proposed Change Is Consistent With the Defense-in-Depth Philosophy

Consistency with the defense-in-depth philosophy, the second key safety principle of RG 1.177, is maintained if:

- A reasonable balance is preserved among prevention of core damage, prevention of containment failure, and consequence mitigation.
- Over-reliance on programmatic activities to compensate for weaknesses in plant design is avoided.
- System redundancy, independence, and diversity are preserved commensurate with the expected frequency, consequences of challenges to the system, and uncertainties (e.g., no risk outliers). Because the scope of the proposed methodology is limited to revision of surveillance frequencies, the redundancy, independence, and diversity of plant systems are not impacted.
- Defenses against potential common cause failures are preserved, and the potential for the introduction of new common cause failure mechanisms is assessed.
- Independence of barriers is not degraded.
- Defenses against human errors are preserved.
- The intent of the General Design Criteria in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, is maintained.

In TSTF-425, it requires the application of NEI 04-10 for any changes to surveillance frequencies within the SFCP. In NEI 04-10 it uses both the core damage frequency (CDF) and the large early release frequency (LERF) metrics to evaluate the impact of proposed changes to surveillance frequencies. The guidance of RG 1.174 and RG 1.177 for changes to CDF and LERF is achieved by evaluation using a comprehensive risk analysis, which assesses the impact of proposed changes including contributions from human errors and common cause failures.

Defense-in-depth is also included in the methodology explicitly as a qualitative consideration outside of the risk analysis, as is the potential impact on detection of component degradation that could lead to an increased likelihood of common cause failures. Both the quantitative risk analysis and the qualitative considerations assure a reasonable balance of defense-in-depth is maintained to ensure protection of public health and safety, satisfying the second key safety principle of RG 1.177.

## 3.1.3 The Proposed Change Maintains Sufficient Safety Margins

The engineering evaluation that will be conducted by the licensee under the SFCP when frequencies are revised will assess the impact of the proposed frequency change with the principle that sufficient safety margins are maintained. The guidelines used for making that assessment will include ensuring the proposed surveillance test frequency change is not in conflict with approved industry codes and standards or adversely affects any assumptions or inputs to the safety analysis, or, if such inputs are affected, justification is provided to ensure

sufficient safety margin will continue to exist.

The design, operation, testing methods, and acceptance criteria for SSCs, specified in applicable codes and standards (or alternatives approved for use by the NRC) will continue to be met as described in the plant licensing basis (including the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report and bases to TS), since these are not affected by changes to the surveillance frequencies. Similarly, there is no impact to safety analysis acceptance criteria as described in the plant licensing basis.

Thus, safety margins are maintained by the proposed methodology, and the third key safety principle of RG 1.177 is satisfied.

#### 3.1.4 When Proposed Changes Result in an Increase in Core Damage Frequency or Risk, the Increases Should Be Small and Consistent With the Intent of the Commission 's Safety Goal Policy Statement

In RG 1.177 it provides a framework for evaluating the risk impact of proposed changes to surveillance frequencies. This requires the identification of the risk contribution from impacted surveillances, determination of the risk impact from the change to the proposed surveillance frequency, and performance of sensitivity and uncertainty evaluations. TSTF-425 requires application of NEI 04-10 in the SFCP. In NEI 04-10 it satisfies the intent of RG 1.177 requirements for evaluating the change in risk, and for assuring that such changes are small.

#### 3.1.4.1 Quality of the PRA

The quality of the NAPS PRA is compatible with the safety implications of the proposed TS change and the role the PRA plays in justifying the change. That is, the more the potential change in risk or the greater the uncertainty in that risk from the requested TS change, or both, the more rigor that must go into ensuring the quality of the PRA.

The licensee used RG 1.200 to address the technical adequacy of the NAPS PRA. RG 1.200 is NRC's developed regulatory guidance, which endorses with comments and qualifications the use of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) RA-Sb-2005, "Addenda to ASME RA-S-002 Standard for Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Nuclear Power Plant Applications," NEI 00-02, "PRA Peer Review Process Guidelines," and NEI 05-04, "Process for Performing Follow-On PRA Peer Reviews Using the ASME PRA Standard." The licensee has performed an assessment of the PRA models used to support the SFCP against the requirements of RG 1.200 to assure that the PRA models are capable of determining the change in risk due to changes to surveillance frequencies of SSCs, using plant-specific data and models. Capability category II of ASME RA-Sb-2005 is applied as the standard, and any identified deficiencies to those requirements are assessed further to determine any impacts to proposed decreases to surveillance frequencies, including by the use of sensitivity studies where appropriate.

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's assessment of the NAPS PRA and the remaining open deficiencies that do not conform to capability category II of the ASME PRA standard (Table 1 of Attachment 2 of the license amendment request, as modified by a response to the NRC staff's request for additional information). The NRC staff's assessment of the remaining open "gaps," to assure that they may be addressed and dispositioned for each surveillance frequency evaluation per the NEI 04–10 methodology, is provided below.

<u>Gap #1</u>: The model for anticipated transient without scram (ATWS) uses a conservative unfavorable exposure time. The licensee identified that this conservative simplification assumes that pressure relief capacity is always insufficient, and that ATWS will be assessed in sensitivity studies when required. The NRC staff

concurs that assuming a conservative exposure time can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.

- <u>Gap #2</u>: Support system initiating events fault tree include a multiplier which should be replaced with the newer methodology of Electric Power and Research Institute (EPRI) EPRI-TR-1013490, "Support System Initiating Events: Identification and Quantification Guideline." The licensee identified that this gap is important for changes involving the support systems and will be addressed using the EPRI methodology. The NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gap #3</u>: Operator action to restore Emergency Core Cooling System functions for station blackout is not addressed and the documentation does not reflect this required action. The licensee identified the impact as being a small nonconservatism and that a sensitivity analysis would include consideration of this human action. The NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gap #4</u>: Consequential loss of reactor coolant pump seal cooling is not included in the model. Since this failure mode would require concurrent failure of two separate systems, the licensee stated that the impact should be comparable or lower than consequential pressurizer power-operated relief valve failures, which are modeled. Sensitivity analyses will include consideration of this failure mode. The NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10 by additional logic models when required.
- <u>Gap #5</u>: Electrical bus cross-tie unavailability is not modeled. Additional modeling of this capability will be made to address the gap with sensitivity studies, and therefore the NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gap #6</u>: Success criteria involving operator response is not discussed. The licensee identified this as a documentation issue, and therefore the NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gap #7</u>: Inadvertent safety injection actuation is not addressed in the PRA model. The licensee has identified that this deficiency has been addressed subsequent to the submittal to add this initiator and therefore this gap no longer exists. Therefore, the NRC staff finds this action acceptable.
- <u>Gap #8</u>: No formal documentation of plant walkdowns and interviews with plant personnel. The licensee has identified this as a documentation issue and therefore the NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gap #9</u>: The PRA model does not distinguish between water relief and steam relief for pressurizer power-operated relief valves failure to reclose failure mode. The guidance of EPRI-1011047 will be used to develop sensitivity models. Therefore, the NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04–10.
- <u>Gap #10</u>: Time windows for successful completion of operator actions need to be updated. The licensee identified similarities with other PRA models based on plant-specific analyses, and identified that a sensitivity study using a factor of two for the

probability of failure of the action would be used. Therefore, the NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.

- <u>Gap #11</u>: Required action times are based on similar actions for other PRA models rather than on time measurements from walkthroughs or simulator scenarios. The licensee does not expect any significant changes based on comparisons with other PRA models, and considers this to be a documentation issue. The NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gaps #12, #13, #14, #15, #16</u>: Deficiencies in documentation of human errors, key assumptions and key sources of uncertainty were identified. The NRC staff concurs that these deficiencies in documentation can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.
- <u>Gap #17</u>: A realistic evaluation of secondary side isolation capability for steam generator tube releases is required. The licensee identified that additional steam generator relief valve demands are not considered, and that a more realistic model will be developed to support sensitivity studies. The NRC staff concurs that this deficiency can be addressed per the methodology of NEI 04-10.

Based on the licensee's assessment using the applicable PRA standard and RG 1.200, the level of PRA quality, combined with the proposed evaluation and disposition of gaps, is sufficient to support the evaluation of changes proposed to surveillance frequencies within the SFCP, and is consistent with Regulatory Position 2.3.1 of RG 1.177.

## 3.1.4.2 Scope of the PRA

The licensee is required to evaluate each proposed change to a relocated surveillance frequency using the guidance contained in NEI 04-10 to determine its potential impact on risk, due to impacts from internal events, fires, seismic, other external events, and from shutdown conditions. Consideration is made of both CDF and LERF metrics. In cases where a PRA of sufficient scope or where quantitative risk models were unavailable, the licensee uses bounding analyses, or other conservative quantitative evaluations. A qualitative screening analysis may be used when the surveillance frequency impact on plant risk is shown to be negligible or zero.

The individual plant examination of external events (IPEEE) fire-induced vulnerability evaluation analysis, and the IPEEE seismic margins analysis, will be used to provide insights for fires and seismic events. Other external hazards were screened during the IPEEE assessment, and will therefore be qualitatively assessed for this application.

The licensee's evaluation methodology is sufficient to ensure the scope of the risk contribution of each surveillance frequency change is properly identified for evaluation, and is consistent with Regulatory Position 2.3.2 of RG 1.177.

#### 3.1.4.3 PRA Modeling

The licensee will determine whether the SSCs affected by a proposed change to a surveillance frequency are modeled in the PRA. Where the SSC is directly or implicitly modeled, a quantitative evaluation of the risk impact may be carried out. The methodology adjusts the failure probability of the impacted SSCs, including any impacted common cause failure modes, based on the proposed change to the surveillance frequency. Where the SSC is not modeled in the PRA, bounding analyses are performed to characterize the impact of the proposed change to the

surveillance frequency. Potential impacts on the risk analyses due to screening criteria and truncation levels are addressed by the requirements for PRA technical adequacy consistent with guidance contained in RG 1.200, and by sensitivity studies identified in NEI 04-10.

The licensee will perform quantitative evaluations of the impact of selected testing strategy (i.e., staggered testing or sequential testing) consistently with the guidance of NUREG/CR–6141 and NUREG/CR–5497, as discussed in NEI 04-10.

Thus, through the application of NEI 04-10 the NAPS PRA modeling is sufficient to ensure an acceptable evaluation of risk for the proposed changes in surveillance frequency, and is consistent with Regulatory Position 2.3.3 of RG 1.177.

## 3.1.4.4 Assumptions for Time-Related Failure Contributions

The failure probabilities of SSCs modeled in the NAPS PRA include a standby time-related contribution and a cyclic demand-related contribution. The NEI 04-10 criterion adjusts the time-related failure contribution of SSCs affected by the proposed change to surveillance frequency. This is consistent with RG 1.177, Section 2.3.3, which permits separation of the failure rate contributions into demand and standby for evaluation of surveillance requirements. If the available data does not support distinguishing between the time-related failures and demand failures, then the change to surveillance frequency is conservatively assumed to impact the total failure probability of the SSC, including both standby and demand contributions. The SSC failure rate (per unit time) is assumed to be unaffected by the change in test frequency, and will be confirmed by the required monitoring and feedback implemented after the change in surveillance frequency is implemented. The process requires consideration of qualitative sources of information with regards to potential impacts of test frequency on SSC performance, including industry and plant-specific operating experience, vendor recommendations, industry standards, and code-specified test intervals. Thus, the process is not reliant upon risk analyses as the sole basis for the proposed changes.

The potential beneficial risk impacts of reduced surveillance frequency, including reduced downtime, lesser potential for restoration errors, reduction of potential for test caused transients, and reduced test-caused wear of equipment, are identified qualitatively, but are conservatively not required to be quantitatively assessed. Thus, through the application of NEI 04-10, the licensee has employed reasonable assumptions with regard to extensions of surveillance test intervals, and is consistent with Regulatory Position 2.3.4 of RG 1.177.

## 3.1.4.5 Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analyses

In NEI 04-10 it requires sensitivity studies to assess the impact of uncertainties from key assumptions of the PRA, uncertainty in the failure probabilities of the affected SSCs, impact to the frequency of initiating events, and of any identified deviations from capability category II of ASME PRA Standard (ASME RA-Sb-2005). Where the sensitivity analyses identify a potential impact on the proposed change, revised surveillance frequencies are considered, along with any qualitative considerations that may bear on the results of such sensitivity studies. Required monitoring and feedback of SSC performance once the revised surveillance frequencies are implemented will also be performed. Thus, through the application of NEI 04-10, the licensee has appropriately considered the possible impact of PRA model uncertainty and sensitivity to key assumptions and model limitations, and is consistent with regulatory position 2.3.5 of RG 1.177.

## 3.1.4.6 Acceptance Guidelines

The licensee will quantitatively evaluate the change in total risk (including internal and external

events contributions) in terms of CDF and LERF for both the individual risk impact of a proposed change in surveillance frequency and the cumulative impact from all individual changes to surveillance frequencies using the guidance contained in NRC-approved NEI 04-10 in accordance with the TS SFCP. Each individual change to surveillance frequency must show a risk impact below 1E-6 per year for change to CDF, and below 1E-7 per year for change to LERF. These are consistent with the limits of RG 1.174 for very small changes in risk. Where the RG 1.174 limits are not met, the process either considers revised surveillance frequencies which are consistent with RG 1.174 or the process terminates without permitting the proposed changes. Where quantitative results are unavailable to permit comparison to acceptance guidelines. appropriate gualitative analyses are required to demonstrate that the associated risk impact of a proposed change to surveillance frequency is negligible or zero. Otherwise, bounding quantitative analyses are required which demonstrate the risk impact is at least one order of magnitude lower than the RG 1.174 acceptance guidelines for very small changes in risk. In addition to assessing each individual SSC surveillance frequency change, the cumulative impact of all changes must result in a risk impact below 1E-5 per year for change to CDF, and below 1E-6 per year for change to LERF. The total CDF and total LERF must be reasonably shown to be less than 1E-4 per year and 1E-5 per year, respectively. These are consistent with the limits of RG 1.174 for acceptable changes in risk, as referenced by RG 1.177 for changes to surveillance frequencies. The NRC staff interprets this assessment of cumulative risk as a requirement to calculate the change in risk from a baseline model utilizing failure probabilities based on the surveillance frequencies prior to implementation of the SFCP, compared to a revised model with failure probabilities based on changed surveillance frequencies. The NRC staff further notes that the licensee includes a provision to exclude the contribution to cumulative risk from individual changes to surveillance frequencies associated with insignificant risk increases (less than 5E-8 CDF and 5E-9 LERF) once the baseline PRA models are updated to include the effects of the revised surveillance frequencies.

The quantitative acceptance guidance of RG 1.174 is supplemented by qualitative information to evaluate the proposed changes to surveillance frequencies, including industry and plant-specific operating experience, vendor recommendations, industry standards, the results of sensitivity studies, and SSC performance data and test history.

The final acceptability of the proposed change is based on all of these considerations and not solely on the PRA results compared to numerical acceptance guidelines. Post implementation performance monitoring and feedback are also required to assure continued reliability of the components. The licensee's application of NEI 04-10 provides reasonable acceptance guidelines and methods for evaluating the risk increase of proposed changes to surveillance frequencies, consistent with Regulatory Position 2.4 of RG 1.177. Therefore, the proposed methodology satisfies the fourth key safety principle of RG 1.177 by assuring any increase in risk is small consistent with the intent of the Commission's Safety Goal Policy Statement.

#### 3.1.5 <u>The Impact of the Proposed Change Should Be Monitored Using Performance</u> <u>Measurement Strategies</u>

The licensee's adoption of TSTF-425 requires application of NEI 04-10 in the SFCP. In NEI 04-10 it requires performance monitoring of SSCs whose surveillance frequency has been revised as part of a feedback process to assure that the change in test frequency has not resulted in degradation of equipment performance and operational safety. The monitoring and feedback includes consideration of maintenance rule monitoring of equipment performance. In the event of degradation of SSC performance, the surveillance frequency will be reassessed in accordance with the methodology, in addition to any corrective actions which may apply as part of the

maintenance rule requirements. The performance monitoring and feedback specified in NE 04-10 is sufficient to reasonably assure acceptable SSC performance and is consistent with regulatory position 3.2 of RG 1.177. Thus, the fifth key safety principle of RG 1.177 is satisfied.

## 3.2 Addition of Surveillance Frequency Control Program to Administrative Controls

The licensee has included the SFCP and specific requirements into the Administrative Controls, TS Section 5.5.17, Surveillance Frequency Control Program, as follows:

This program provides controls for Surveillance Frequencies. The program shall ensure that Surveillance Requirements specified in the Technical Specifications are performed at intervals sufficient to assure that the associated Limiting Conditions for Operation are met.

- a. The Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall contain a list of Frequencies of the Surveillance Requirements for which the Frequency is controlled by the program.
- b. Changes to the Frequencies listed in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program shall be made in accordance with NEI 04–10, "Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies," Revision 1.
- c. The provisions of Surveillance Requirements 3.0.2 and 3.0.3 are applicable to the Frequencies established in the Surveillance Frequency Control Program.

The proposed program is consistent with the model application of TSTF-425, and is therefore acceptable.

## 3.3 <u>Summary</u>

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's proposed relocation of some surveillance frequencies to a licensee controlled document, and controlling changes to surveillance frequencies in accordance with a new program, the SFCP, identified in the administrative controls of TS. The SFCP and TS Section 5.5.17 references NEI 04-10, which provides a risk-informed methodology using plant-specific risk insights and performance data to revise surveillance frequencies within the SFCP. This methodology supports relocating surveillance frequencies from TS to a licensee-controlled document, provided those frequencies are changed in accordance with NEI 04-10 which is specified in the Administrative Controls of the TS.

The proposed licensee adoption of TSTF-425 and risk-informed methodology of NEI 04-10 as referenced in the Administrative Controls of TS, satisfies the key principles of risk-informed decision making applied to changes to TS as delineated in RG 1.177 and RG 1.174, in that:

- The proposed change meets current regulations;
- The proposed change is consistent with defense-in-depth philosophy;
- The proposed change maintains sufficient safety margins;
- Increases in risk resulting from the proposed change are small and consistent with the Commission's Safety Goal Policy Statement; and
- The impact of the proposed change is monitored with performance measurement strategies.

In 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3) it states "[Technical specifications will include items in the following categories:] Surveillance Requirements. Surveillance Requirements are requirements relating to test, calibration, or inspection to assure that the necessary quality of systems and components is

maintained, that facility operation will be within safety limits, and that the limiting conditions for operation will be met." The NRC staff finds that with the proposed relocation of surveillance

frequencies to an owner-controlled document and administratively controlled in accordance with the TS SFCP, the licensee continues to meet the regulatory requirement of 10 CFR 50.36, and, specifically, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3), surveillance requirements.

The NRC has concluded, on the basis of the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the NRC's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

## 4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Virginia State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

## 5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to the installation or use of facility components within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards considerations, and there has been no public comment on such finding (75 FR 27833). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

## 6.0 CONCLUSION

The license amendment request proposes TS changes that will revise the TSs by relocating specific surveillance frequencies to a licensee-controlled program with the implementation of NEI 04-10, "Risk-Informed Technical Specifications Initiative 5b, Risk-Informed Method for Control of Surveillance Frequencies." The changes are consistent with NRC-approved Industry TSTF Standard Technical Specifications change TSTF-425, Revision 3.

The NRC staff finds that with the proposed relocation of surveillance frequencies to an owner-controlled document and administratively controlled in accordance with the TS SFCP, the licensee continues to meet the regulatory requirement of 10 CFR 50.36, and specifically, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3), surveillance requirements.

Therefore, the NRC staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations; and, (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: Andrew Howe, NRR/DRA

Date of Issuance: January 31, 2011

D. Heacock

A copy of our related safety evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* notice.

Sincerely,

#### /RA/

Dr. V. Sreenivas, Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-1 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 262 to NPF-4
- 2. Amendment No. 243 to NPF-7
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

Public LPL2-1 R/F RidsNrrDorlLpl2-1 Resource RidsNrrDirsItsb Resource RidsRgn2MailCenter RidsNrrDorlDprResource RidsAcrsAcnw\_MailCTR Resource RidsOgcRpResource RidsNrrPMNorthAnna (hard copy) RidsNrrLAMO'Brien (hard copy) DHarrison, NRR AHowe, NRR GWaig, NRR RElliott, NRR RidsNrr/Dra/Apla Resource

#### ADAMS Accession No.: ML103140657

\*SE input by memo dated 9-24-2010

OFFICE	LPL2-1/PM	LPL2-1/LA	DRA/APLA/BC	DIRS/ITSB/BC	OGC	LPL2-1/BC	LPL2-1/PM
NAME		MO'Brien (SRohrer for)	DHarrison*	RElliott	DRoth NLO	GKulesa	VSreenivas
DATE	11/10/10	11/15/10	09/24/2010	11/17/10	11/29/10	1/28/11	1/31/11

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY