



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS
WASHINGTON, DC 20555 - 0001**

November 15, 2010

Dr. Brian Sheron, Director
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

SUBJECT: ACRS ASSESSMENT OF THE QUALITY OF SELECTED NRC RESEARCH
PROJECTS - FY 2010

Dear Dr. Sheron:

Enclosed is our report on the quality assessment of the following research projects:

- Modeling a Digital Feedwater Control System Using Traditional Probabilistic Risk Assessment Methods (NUREG/CR-6997)
 - This project was found to be of superior professional quality with some elements of innovation
- Human Factors Considerations with Respect to Emerging Technology in Nuclear Power Plants (NUREG/CR-6947)
 - ACRS concluded that NUREG/CR-6947 by itself is not a technical research report suitable for quality review by ACRS. Even as a summary/management report, it could be substantially improved by clarifying priorities, interdependencies, and intended continued activities

These projects were selected from a list of candidate projects suggested by the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

We anticipate receiving a list of candidate projects for quality assessment in FY-2011 prior to our February 10-12, 2011, meeting.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Said Abdel-Khalik
Chairman

Enclosure: As stated

Dr. Brian Sheron, Director
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

SUBJECT: ACRS ASSESSMENT OF THE QUALITY OF SELECTED NRC RESEARCH
PROJECTS - FY 2010

Dear Dr. Sheron:

Enclosed is our report on the quality assessment of the following research projects:

- Modeling a Digital Feedwater Control System Using Traditional Probabilistic Risk Assessment Methods (NUREG/CR-6997)
 - This project was found to be of superior professional quality with some elements of innovation
- Human Factors Considerations with Respect to Emerging Technology in Nuclear Power Plants (NUREG/CR-6947)
 - ACRS concluded that NUREG/CR-6947 by itself is not a technical research report suitable for quality review by ACRS. Even as a summary/management report, it could be substantially improved by clarifying priorities, interdependencies, and intended continued activities

These projects were selected from a list of candidate projects suggested by the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

We anticipate receiving a list of candidate projects for quality assessment in FY-2011 prior to our February 10-12, 2011, meeting.

Sincerely,
/RA/
Said Abdel-Khalik
Chairman

Enclosure: As stated

Distribution:

See next page

Accession No: Publicly Available (Y/N): Y Sensitive (Y/N): N

Pkg: ML103140150

If Sensitive, which category?

Viewing Rights: NRC Users or ACRS only or See restricted distribution

OFFICE	ACRS	SUNSI Review	ACRS	ACRS	ACRS
NAME	HNourbakhsh	HNourbakhsh	CSantos	EHackett	EHackett for SAbdel-Khalik
DATE	11/15/10	11/15/10	11/15/10	11/15/10	11/15/10

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Letter to Dr. Brian Sheron, Director, NRR, from Said Abdel-Khalik, Chairman, ACRS, dated November 15, 2010

SUBJECT: ACRS ASSESSMENT OF THE QUALITY OF SELECTED NRC RESEARCH PROJECTS - FY 2010

Distribution:

ACRS Staff
ACRS Members
B. Champ
A. Bates
S. McKelvin
L. Mike
J. Ridgely
RidsSECYMailCenter
RidsEDOMailCenter
RidsNMSSOD
RidsNSIROD
RidsFSMEOD
RidsRESOD
RidsOIGMailCenter
RidsOGCMailCenter
RidsOCAAMailCenter
RidsOCAMailCenter
RidsNRROD
RidsNROOD
RidsOPAMail
RidsRGN1MailCenter
RidsRGN2MailCenter
RidsRGN3MailCenter
RidsRGN4MailCenter