Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards

Joint ABWR, Material and Metallurgy and

Thermal-Hydraulic Subcommittees

Open Sesion

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Rockville, Maryland

Date: Tuesday, October 19, 2010

Work Order No.: NRC-501 Pages 1-13

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433 c

DISCLAIMER

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

The contents of this transcript of the proceeding of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, as reported herein, is a record of the discussions recorded at the meeting.

This transcript has not been reviewed, corrected, and edited, and it may contain inaccuracies.

	2
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS
5	(ACRS)
6	+ + + +
7	JOINT ABWR, MATERIAL AND METALLURGY AND
8	THERMAL-HYDRAULIC SUBCOMMITTEES
9	+ + + +
LO	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2010
L1	+ + + +
L2	ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND
L3	+ + + +
L4	The Advisory Committee met at the Nuclear
L5	Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North, Room
L6	T2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, at 8:30 a.m., Sanjoy
L 7	Banerjee, Chairman, presiding.
L 8	SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS:
L 9	SANJOY BANERJEE, Chairman
20	SAID ABDEL-KHALIK, Member
21	J. SAM ARMIJO, Member
22	DENNIS C. BLEY, Member
23	JOY REMPE, Member
24	MICHAEL T. RYAN, Member
25	JOHN D. SIEBER, Member
	NEAL D. CDOCC

CONS	 1 1	

GRAHAM B. WALLIS

DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL

ZENYA ABDULLAHI

_

_ ′

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

		4
1	INDEX	
2	Open Session:	
3	Opening Remarks by Chairman Banerjee	4
4	Opening Remarks by NRC Staff	7
5	Opening Remarks by STPNOC	8
6		
7		
8		
9		
LO		
L1		
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L 7		
L 8		
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8:30 a.m.

CHAIRMAN BANERJEE: Okay. The meeting will now come to order. The court reporter is ready. This is a joint ABWR thermal hydraulic phenomena materials and metallurgy and reactor fuels Subcommittee meeting.

I'm Sanjoy Banerjee, the Chairman of this Subcommittee for some obscure reason. The Chairman of the Joint Subcommittees are also present. ACRS members in attendance are Said Abdel-Khalik, Sam Armijo, Mario Bonaca is supposed to be here, I guess.

Dennis Bley, Joy Rempe, Jack Sieber, and John Stetkar. Oh, Mike. Are you filling in for John? Sorry for the chaos. And, of course, Mike Ryan.

The ACRS consultant who will help us is Graham Wallis, a former Chairman of the ACRS. Zenya Abdullahi of the ACRS staff is the designated Federal official for this meeting.

In today's meeting, Westinghouse and the Licensees for the South Texas project will brief us on eleven topical reports, six of which are under NRO staff review, and the other five are projected to be submitted in the future.

These topical reports cover Westinghouse's fuel dependent analyses methodologies and codes that

would be applied to the licensing of the South Texas Project. As you are all aware, safety analyses supporting licensing and operation of new power plant designs extend beyond the limited reload set of analyses.

Therefore, one of the objectives of these topical reports is to establish the methodology that would be used to identify and perform the safety analyses that, that would be documented in the final safety analysis report.

The topical reports also need to demonstrate that the licensing methodology and the codes used to perform the fuel dependent calculations are applicable to the operating conditions and the design of ABWRS.

It is our understanding that Westinghouse is requesting dual application of these topical reports to BWRs operating in extended domains as well as ABWRS. We expect to hear what Westinghouse plans in terms of scope, use, and the review process for these LTRS.

At the moment, we have preliminary, a preliminary ACRS review schedule from the new reactor staff for the ABWR application. A transcript of the meeting is being kept, and will be made available as

NEAL R. GROSS

stated in the Federal Register notice. We request that participants in this meeting use the microphones located throughout the meeting room when addressing the Subcommittee. The participants should first identify themselves and speak with sufficient clarity and volume so that they may be readily heard.

-

We have received no requests from the public to make a statement at today's meeting. The rules for participation in today's meeting have been announced as part of the notice of this meeting, previously published in the Federal Register.

As shown in the agenda, portions of today's meeting will be closed to the public in order to protect material that is proprietary to Westinghouse. WE did receive requests for telephone, the telephone conference line. We provided the closed session grid numbers to representatives of the South Texas project.

WE asked that the telephone participants identify themselves before the start of the meeting. We will now proceed with the meeting, and I think we are going to have STP staff to do an introduction, or is it going to be Westinghouse?

MS. GOVAN: Actually, good morning, my name

is Tekia Govan. South Texas has graciously given us a minute to introduce where the staff is currently on their safety evaluation of these topical reports.

In January 2009, South Texas Project held a meeting here at the NRC with the staff to request that we begin the review of ten topical reports related to fuel in preparation for their anticipated fuel Amendment request.

I would like to note that none of these topicals will be needed to make a safety finding for the South Texas COLA, which is currently under review. The staff accepted, or, or began their acceptance review for the first ABWR fuel related topical report in September 2009.

As the Chairman noted, we are currently reviewing six out of the ten topical reports. We have one topical report which is currently under acceptance review, and We're awaiting three additional topical reports, which we should be receiving within the month.

Seven of those ten topicals, as the Chairman noted, do require dual applicability review from, for ABWRs as well as BWR topicals. The staff plans to complete the review of all the topicals, as requested by South Texas in June of 2012, or by June

NEAL R. GROSS

2012.

The result for the staff for this meeting today will be for the Committee to provide some clarity on which topicals they would like to review in detail before we issue the final SE.

Thank you very much, and with that, I'll turn it over to Scott Head, from South Texas.

MR. HEAD: Okay. Thank, you, Tekia. My name is Scott Head. I'm the regulatory affairs manager at STP units three and four. And in one of our, our briefings today, CRS for South Texas, we did agree to, you know, make this presentation, and we appreciate the opportunity to make this presentation today.

As Tekia indicated, it's, we want to present an overview of the topicals that are necessary to support the transition to the Westinghouse fuel for STP three and four and to provide you the necessary information which, to decide which topical reports should be in your review scope. That's, that's our understanding of the desired outcome of this meeting.

And to support that, we have a, a number of people here today from Japan and Sweden and, and Westinghouse here domestically with, to help us with our presentation, and we look forward to the discussions today.

I thought it was important just to present the background of, of, of, for new members or even for those that have been in the Subcommittee discussions. The ABWR was certified with GE-7 fuel. And STP is being licensed with GE-7 fuel.

We've made, taken no departures that impact that licensing aspect. But our plans are, are to use more modern fuel for STP three and four for the first fuel load. Certification took place in the mid-90's. Here we are, you know, in, a number of years later, and it's just a logical place for us to be to, to want to use, take advantage of more recent fuel developments.

And, our current plan is for Westinghouse to supply SVFA 96 Optima 2 fuel. That's, that's where We're headed, and that's a lot of what the discussion that you'll, you'll hear today. Any questions on the background, at least that aspect of it?

(No Response.)

MR. HEAD: We did hold an initial meeting with NRC where this was discussed, back in January of 2009, and, you know, the schedule of what we've submitted and We're on track for the three that there's to be submitted this October.

And as Tekia mentioned, we requested

NEAL R. GROSS

approval by June of 2012 to support the fuel Amendment that would be submitted to the transition to the, the Optima 2 fuel. And there's the fuel load date that we'll be, we'll be targeting.

So, that's the, that's something of the background of the, why we're here and the schedule aspect. The, the fuel Amendment will be submitted post COL and, and there's the contents.

It will be based on Optima 2 fuel, it will be, obviously, revised technical specifications, and as you would expect, Chapters four, six, and fifteen would have significant changes to reflect this, this fuel design. And then some minor changes to chapters one and nine.

The, as we, I think we've demonstrated before, but certainly we'll make it clear today, that Westinghouse is qualified to provide the reload analysis for the BWR fuel. There are ten topical reports, and I know you had mentioned eleven, and in fact, you'll see nine today.

We'll discuss, we'll have to weave through the different numbers, but there hasn't been anything lost, it's just, some topicals are actually now technical reports that have ended up supporting the actual licensing. One of the topicals that you'll see

NEAL R. GROSS

today is actually in two.

So, we'll, we'll go through the bean counting, but it, it's, it'll be clear by the end of the day, I'm sure. But, there, there are a number of reports that are required to extend the qualification of the ABWR and provide the analysis for the initial core.

That's, that's a crucial part, is the initial core. And, three new topicals and seven supplements to the existing topicals, and I'll have a, I'll have a chart that shows you that, you know, for, for your, I think, use, as you go through the review today.

And, these topicals qualify the methods and hardware, in the case of control rods, for the ABWR. And, and as you, well, I just, they do not submit any specific STP three and four specific results. These are generic, these are topical reports meant to be used generically.

MEMBER ARMIJO: Scott, just, just for information, is there any SVEA 96 Optima 2 fuel currently in operation, or licensed with it for operation in the United States? Or is this a--I'm trying to find out, is this a more advanced design, or is this a current design?

MR. KING: Yes, sir. This, this type of fuel, this SVEA 96 Optima 2, is licensed in the U.S. and it's currently operating in--

MEMBER ARMIJO: Okay.

MR. KING: --several reactors.

MR. HEAD: And we have some slides on that.

Okay, here is the agenda, and, and, with the participants. The point I wanted to make here is the, obviously this meeting is, is for your benefit, and we've provided some preliminary information and you, you had our, our presentation today.

We want to make sure and focus on the areas that are of interest, and some of these, you know, you might not have quite as much interest. And so, we're, we're quite flexible. If you would like us to adjust our focus, adjust the schedule, if people have other schedules today that you need to support, we're going to, we'll do whatever you need to focus on the ones that, that you, of most interest.

And so, please keep that in mind as, as we go through, that if, if we want to, if control rods is not--you know, obviously we put control rods based on some previous feedback that, at the end of this, because of, it may not be quite as interesting. But if, someone does have a, something they want to focus

NEAL R. GROSS

on that, and needs to, needs to movie it up, 2 certainly can do that. So that's the, I guess, our ground rules for today is that we'll support whatever detailed 5 discussions you need. I expect that those--MEMBER ARMIJO: Do you have a, a, WCAP or licensing topical report on the thermal mechanical 8 design of the proposed fuel for the ABWR? 9 MR. KING: Yes, sir. In one of the, one of the upcoming slides, I'll show you a box diagram that 10 references all of our current licensed topicals. And 11 12 it includes thermal hydraulic nuclear design. MEMBER ARMIJO: Okay. Okay, so, 13 I, you know, somewhere along the line, I'd like to request 14 15 copies of some of those. MR. HEAD: Okay. 16 17 MEMBER ARMIJO: That's the plan. HEAD: Okay. And then, this is, 18 MR. 19 think, actually mirroring what Tekia had mentioned. This is the status of the topical 20 reports, their applicability. You'll see three new ones that are 21 22 being created to support the, our licensing activities. And then, there's the review status and 23 the desired approval date. 24 25 (Whereupon, the proceedings

went

into

Closed Session.)

(No Separate Open Slides)