

From: Hawaiiexport@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 11:02 PM
To: Moore, Johari
Subject: Re: Questions for the EA Supplement

Hi Johari,

Below are the sites that you have chosen and had questions about.

Yes, the proposed irradiator would occupy a **small percentage** of existing **industrial space** at each of the alternative sites.

Yes, the proposed irradiator would be enclosed in an industrial-type building of **similar size and color to the other buildings** at the alternative sites.

1.

Animal Quarantine in Halawa: 99-951 Halawa Valley Street, 99-941 Halawa Valley Street

Halawa Valley is somewhat strategically located for Oahu farmers and inbound / outbound freight at the airport and port of Honolulu. There is plenty of space to expand operations. The site should qualify for commercial use by local authorities.

2.

Plant Quarantine: 1849 Auiki Street 96819

Plant Quarantine is located very close to the port of Honolulu and quite close to the airport to service Oahu farmers and inbound / outbound freight at the airport and port of Honolulu. The site should qualify for commercial use by local authorities.

3.

Kapalama Military : 5 Sand Island Access Road.

It's vacant land. The site is also located close to the port of Honolulu and quite close to the airport to service Oahu farmers and inbound / outbound freight at the airport and port of Honolulu. The site should qualify for commercial use by local authorities.

4.

This is my current location for our packing facility: 3209 Ualena Street

It is close to the port of Honolulu, but located on airport property. Space is limited to expand. But an existing warehouse is tall and big enough to host the irradiator equipment. A new building does not need to be constructed. Large walk in reefers are also present. The site should qualify for commercial use by local authorities.

Hope that helps.

Aloha,
Michael

In a message dated 10/26/2010 7:59:28 A.M. Hawaiian Standard Time, Johari.Moore@nrc.gov writes:

Hello again Michael,

I'm working on the alternative sites analysis and I would like to verify a few aspects of the proposed action with respect to the alternative sites.

Would you please tell me if the following statements are valid:

- The proposed irradiator would occupy a **small percentage** of existing **industrial space** at each of the alternative sites.
- The proposed irradiator would be enclosed in an industrial-type building of **similar size and color to the other buildings** at the alternative sites.

Also, could you provide me with a few sentences about how the alternative sites were chosen (e.g. based on technical, safety, economic, and/or environmental selection criteria)?

Please give me a call if you have questions.

Thank you,

Johari A. Moore
Environmental Review Project Manager

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
FSME/DWMEP/Environmental Review Branch A
Mail Stop: T-8F05
Washington, DC 20555
Office: (301) 415-7694

Mobile: (301) 832-4919

Fax: (301) 415-5369
johari.moore@nrc.gov