PRM-54-6 (75FR59158)

MARK STRAUCH 10130 SOLEDAD CANYON RD. LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO 88011

DOCKETED USNRC

October 27, 2010 (4:00pm)

October 25, 2010

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555–0001 Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

Reference: Docket Number NRC–2010–0291

I would like to offer the following comments on docket NRC-2010-0291:

By petitioner's logic, the proposed 10 year renewal limit should be 5 years, since there will be people born and commercial interests affected after 10 years that are "yet unable or unprepared to speak for themselves." This is nonsense. One could argue that having a licensee apply more than 10 years out actually gives residents, potential residents, or commercial interests greater opportunity not to locate in the area of the plant if they so desire.

Petitioner would have one believe that the NRC is powerless once a renewal is docketed to address any of the potential safety or aging-related issues enumerated in the petition. This is more nonsense.

Petitioner would have one believe that a 20 year renewal window somehow circumvents or frustrates NEPA. It does no such thing. This assertion is predicated on the (misguided) belief that somehow there will be dramatic changes in how solar, wind, or other renewables penetrate the grid. When employed, I watched the California Altamont wind farm in dismay every day. I look forward to Cape Wind being litigated over the next decade. Consumers and energy regulators need certainty in the near, mid, and long-term horizon. Early nuclear power plant license renewal injects more certainty, not less, in that process.

Petitioner's conclusions convey no demonstrable safety, security, or environmental concerns regarding Seabrook; just their ideological opposition. This petition needs to be rejected.

Sincerely,

Mark Strauch

Template=SECY-067

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: October 27, 2010 Received: October 25, 2010 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 80b7678b Comments Due: December 13, 2010 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2010-0291

Earth Day Commitment/Friends of the Coast, Beyond Nuclear, Seacoast Anti-Pollution League, C-10 Research and Education Foundation, Pilgrim Watch, and New England Coalition

Comment On: NRC-2010-0291-0003

Earth Day Commitment/Friends of the Coast, Beyond Nuclear, Seacoast Anti-Pollution League, C-10 Research and Education Foundation, Pilgrim Watch, and New England Coalition; Notice of Receipt of Petition for Rulemaking

Document: NRC-2010-0291-DRAFT-0003 Comment on FR Doc # 2010-24132

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Strauch

General Comment

Comment attached as PDF

Attachments

NRC-2010-0291-DRAFT-0003.1: Comment on FR Doc # 2010-24132

Rulemaking Comments

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Gallagher, Carol Wednesday, October 27, 2010 11:22 AM Rulemaking Comments Comment on PRM-54-6 NRC-2010-0291-DRAFT-0003.pdf

Van,

Attached for docketing is a comment on PRM-54-6 (75 FR 59158) that I received via the regulations.gov website on October 25, 2010.

1

Thanks, Carol

Received: from HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov ([148.184.44.76]) by TWMS01.nrc.gov ([148.184.200.145]) with mapi; Wed, 27 Oct 2010 11:21:47 -0400 Content-Type: application/ms-tnef; name="winmail.dat" Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary From: "Gallagher, Carol" <Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov> To: Rulemaking Comments <Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov> Date: Wed, 27 Oct 2010 11:21:49 -0400 Subject: Comment on PRM-54-6 Thread-Topic: Comment on PRM-54-6 Thread-Index: Act16qzp8ba8ker3QMudkaQdI30CmQ== Message-ID: <6F9E3C9DCAB9E448AAA49B8772A448C5469C192419@HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov> Accept-Language: en-US Content-Language: en-US X-MS-Has-Attach: yes X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1 X-MS-TNEF-Correlator: <6F9E3C9DCAB9E448AAA49B8772A448C5469C192419@HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov> MIME-Version: 1.0