

Conte, Richard

From: OHara, Timothy 
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 8:05 PM
To: Conte, Richard
Subject: RE: Attached Image

Rich,

There may be a problem with the licensee-identified violation because we don't know what their corrective action process is going to say.

My interpretation was that IAW 0612-10, paragraph c. NRC added value "If a problem exists with the licensee's evaluation or corrective actions associated with the finding and if further inspection added significant value, then document the finding as a NRC-identified finding under the applicable cornerstone of the report in accordance with Section 0612-06, 'The four part format,' of this IMC. Documentation should clearly emphasize that the licensee identified the issue but failed to recognize or correct the problem identified by the inspector." So this is why I wrote it up in 4 part format. And the violation should be in the mitigating systems cornerstone section. I note that this should not be in 4OA7

We can discuss this tomorrow, but a solution could be to call this issue a URI until we get their EQ:ACE and Root Cause. If we decide to do this we can have the resident's update the issue at their exit on Friday, 7/9/10.

Tim

From: Conte, Richard 
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 7:35 AM
To: OHara, Timothy
Subject: FW: Attached Image

As promised, Chris' comments are in the back of mine. I tried to incorporate his comment into mine not knowing he had a markup.

Caution: the stuff he calls assessment are really observations which should be strongly considered in the PIR writeup now that we have a finding.

From: r1scan@nrc.gov [mailto:r1scan@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 7:36 AM
To: Conte, Richard
Subject: Attached Image