

**Ziev, Tracey**

---

**From:** Conte, Richard *RT*  
**Sent:** Friday, April 09, 2010 9:34 AM  
**To:** OHara, Timothy; Haskell, Russell  
**Cc:** Cline, Leonard; Burritt, Arthur  
**Subject:** RE: OpE Inputs for today

good comment Tim, Russell can you put it before the last sentence on extent of conditions related to safety related piping.

There is a typo in the first section "a buried sections" should be a buried section

---

**From:** OHara, Timothy *RT*  
**Sent:** Friday, April 09, 2010 9:28 AM  
**To:** Conte, Richard; Haskell, Russell  
**Cc:** Cline, Leonard; Burritt, Arthur  
**Subject:** RE: OpE Inputs for today

Rich,

May not need to say it but I would point out that the AFW system piping is safety related, ASME Class 3 in this portion of the system.

Tim OHara

---

**From:** Conte, Richard *RT*  
**Sent:** Friday, April 09, 2010 9:17 AM  
**To:** Haskell, Russell  
**Cc:** Cline, Leonard; Burritt, Arthur; OHara, Timothy  
**Subject:** RE: OpE Inputs for today

Here is some information we have been following this week during an ISI baseline inspection at Salem 1:

This week, based on implementation of the PSEG buried pipe program at Salem Unit 1, they excavated a buried sections of two of four auxilliary feedwater headers (No. 14 and No. 12 headers) going toward the outer penetration area of the Unit 1 containment. Based on visual and other inspection results they excavated two additional areas for the same headers. The measurements from 4/7/10 on the 2nd and 3rd excavation turnout to have many measurements which are below calculated min wall of 0.278 inches (nominal .337 inches). The headers are 4 inch ID. Based on these readings, PSEG decided that they cannot show operability for an additional cycle and is pursuing two possible options: (a) replace the piping in the same location as presently, i.e. buried, or (b) replace the piping and redesign it to be above ground. Preliminarily, the license has decided that the coating on the present piping has either failed or was not applied. The other two headers (Nos. 11 and 13) enter the containment through the inner penetration room and are not buried. Extent of conditions for other safety related piping is being reviewed.

Consider this the concurrent review the OpE would do in the morning before screening, reply to all with your comments.

Rich Conte  
610-337-5183

(b)(6) cell *6*

*D-15*

**From:** Haskell, Russell

**Sent:** Friday, April 09, 2010 8:05 AM

**To:** Brown, Michael; Dentel, Glenn; Gray, Mel; Burritt, Arthur; Krohn, Paul; Jackson, Donald; Bellamy, Ronald; Powell, Raymond; Perry, Neil; Hawkins, Justin; Sloan, Scott; Bickett, Brice; Osborn, Matthew; McCarver, Sammy; Cline, Leonard; Turilin, Andrey; Moore, Ross; Rosebrook, Andrew; Fuhrmeister, Roy; Bream, Jeffrey; Torres, Edgardo; Setzer, Thomas; Rao, Ami; Heinly, Justin; Barber, Scott; Newport, Christopher; Conte, Richard; Burket, Elise; White, John; Rogge, John; Trapp, James; Doerflein, Lawrence; Schroeder, Daniel; Balian, Harry; Schneider, Max

**Subject:** RE: OpE Inputs for today

(On Behalf of Mike Brown)

Good morning folks,

This is a data call to anyone whom would like to provide RGN I OpE input for today. Your information will be captured for today's daily OpE Clearinghouse briefing (HQ).

Thx

***Russell S. Haskell II***

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

Reactor Systems Engineer (NRR/DIRS/IOEB)

email: [Russell.Haskell@nrc.gov](mailto:Russell.Haskell@nrc.gov)

phone: 301.415.1129

office: O-7E15