NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Ontonagon County Road Commission **415 Spar Street** **Ontonagon, MI 49953** **REPORT NUMBER(S)** **2010-01**	**U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road** **Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-32894	**21-26441-01**	September 15, 2010

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

☑ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

☑ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	**Robert P. Hays**		9/15/10

NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (10-2003)

RPH

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Ontonagon County Road Commission REPORT NUMBER(S) **2010-01**	**Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, IL 60532**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
03032894	**21-26441-01**	**September 15, 2010**

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124 (11/25/03)	**03.01-03.07**

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03121	**5**	**Mike Maloney, RSO**	**906-884-2332**

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: **September 2015**

[ ] Field Office

[ ] Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is authorized to possess and use Troxler Model; 3400 Series moisture density gauges at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the NRC maintains regulatory jurisdiction. At the time of the inspection, the licensee has one gauge (Model 3430) and has been used occasionally during construction season within the boundaries of Ontonagan County. The RSO was the only gauge user and the gauge was not stored at a temporary job site or at the RSO's residence overnight. At the time of the inspection, the gauge was in storage with two tangible barriers. The gauge was last used during September 2009, and recently leak tested, but results have not been received by the licensee. The inspector performed independent radiation measurements which indicated no dose concerns and consistent with licensee survey records and postings.

Performance Observations

During the inspection, the inspector reviewed and discussed with the RSO: (1) Two tangible barriers for gauge security and padlocks while unattended outside the storage facility; (2) DOT requirements; (3) dosimetry and records; (5) leak tests and inventories; (6) survey meter availability (through the State of Michigan DOT); (7) emergency procedures; and (8) corrective actions pertaining to a SL IV violation for the licensee's failure to leak test the gauge from February 2003 until September 2004. A review of the licensee's leak test records indicated that the licensee has leak-tested the gauge as required since the NOV was issued on June 21, 2005. The violation should be considered now closed.