Franke, Mark

From:	Williams, Charles R. [Charles.Williams@pgnmail.com]
Sent:	Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:27 PM
То:	Lake, Louis; Thomas, George; nausdj@ornl.gov; Carrion, Robert
Subject:	() RE: Draft Refute 8.4 For review
Attachments:	FM 8.4.pptx; Selected Pages from S07-0033.pdf=WL of 10-25-09.pdf
	(\mathcal{G})

I was too quick sending again. Documents and attachments are here now.

From: Williams, Charles R.
Sent: Tuesday, December 01, 2009 2:21 PM
To: 'louis.lake@nrc.gov'; 'George.Thomas2@nrc.gov'; 'nausdj@ornl.gov'; 'rpc1@nrc.gov'
Subject: Draft Refute 8.4 For review

Mr Lake and others, Attached for your review is draft of refute 8.4 with supporting exhibits. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you, Charles Williams 919-516-7417

R/ 180



8.4 Inadequate Concrete Structure Monitoring/Maintenance (ILW)

Description:

The Tendon Surveillance Program, contained within the ASME Section XI Containment Inspection Program, includes a provision to conduct a visual examination of the containment exterior surface (IWL). These containment surface monitoring inspections sometimes result in repairs to the concrete surfacet.

Data to be collected and Analyzed:

- 1. Review the Tendon Surveillance Program procedure for the periodic containment monitoring (ILW). (FM 8.4 Exhibit 1)
- 2. Review the most recent IWL Monitoring. (FM 8.4 Exhibit 2)

Verified Refuting Evidence:	Verified Supporting Evidence:
 Containment outer surface inspections were conducted in accordance with the procedure. The purpose of those inspections are to "determine the general structural condition of concrete surfaces of containments by identifying areas of concrete deterioration and distress"(Exhibit 1, ILW Section 2300, pg 252 as numbered at the bottom of the page) The most recent IWL monitoring was conducted a few days prior to beginning the SGR hole cut activities (FM 8.4 Exhibit 2) and did not reveal any surface abnormalities that would suggest an indication of delamination. In addition, it is neither likely that delamination would be detected during the periodic concrete surface inspections, nor was it the purpose of the (IWL) inspections to detect internal delaminations of the containment structure. 	Not applicable
Conclusion: The IWL monitoring was adequate for its intended purpose.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



May identify additional perspective on this issue as RCA related efforts proceeds

3/19/2010

P.S.C	DOCUMENT NUMBER	CR-N1002-500	REVISION:	PAGE:	S
an Surmeellante	DOCUMENT TITLE: PROJECT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING P 30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE A	ACKAGE T CRYSTAL RIVER	DATE: 08/15/07	Progre
<u> </u>					
		DOCUMENT COVE	R SHEET		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Docum	ent No: CR-1002	2-500			<u> </u>
Tit	tle: PRE-SU	JRVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PA	CKAGE		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	SAN TH				~
			F		
ំ សំព័ន៌ ភាពព្រំ ព ា	() (1200
N.N.N.					

B.A. GIOMETTI

Prepared By

REVISIONS

08/15/07

Date

PSC SIGN OFF

5

0

No.

Original Issue

Description

Calculation S07-0033
Revision 0
1.11 1.0

8/15/07

Date

za 1.5

Attachment 2 Page 1 of 325

C.E. COX

Reviewed By

psc

ĩ

REVISION: 0 PAGE: ii PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE



Alante	PROJECT TITLE: <u>30^{1H} YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER</u> DATE: <u>08/15/07</u>	Energ
ener and and	ی در می وسیر می وسیر می وسیر می وسیر و می وسیر در می وسیر در می وسیر وسیر وسیر وسیر وسیر ورد. در در در در می و می در می وسیر می وسیر در در در در در در می وسیر وسیر در می وسیر در می وسیر وسیر وسیر وسیر ورد. در در در در در د	
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
DOC	UMENT COVER SHEET	i
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	, ii
1.0	PURPOSE	1
1.1	SURVEILLANCE PURPOSE	1
1.2	DOCUMENT PURPOSE	1
2.0	REFERENCES	2
2.1	REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	2
2.2	REFERENCE DRAWINGS	2
3.0	BACKGROUND	3
3.1	CONTAINMENT ARRANGEMENT	3
3.2		3
	FIGURE 2: UPPER SUPPORT FRAMES INSTALLED ON CONTAINMENT DOME	4
4.0	SCOPE OF WORK	5
4.1	TENDON SELECTION REQUIREMENTS	5
4.2	TENDON SELECTION	5
	TABLE 1 : SCOPE OF WORK Table 2 : Adjacent Tendons	6
4.3	TENDON LAYOUT	7
	FIGURE 3: CR03 8TH PERIOD SURVEILLANCE – TENDON LAYOUT – PLAN	7
	FIGURE 4: CR03 8TH PERIOD SURVEILLANCE – TENDON LAYOUT – ELEVATION 0° TO 180°	8
	FIGURE 5: CR03 8TH PERIOD SURVEILLANCE – TENDON LAYOUT – ELEVATION 180° TO 360°	9
5.0	MONITOR TENDON FORCES	10
5.1	PERFORMING LIFT-OFF TESTING	10
	TABLE 3 : SQ 9.1 – PREDICTED FORECS SUMMARY	10
6.0	RETENSION TENDONS	. 11
6.1	DETENSIONING, WIRE REMOVAL, AND RETENSIONING OF TENDONS	11
	TABLE 4 : SQ 11.1 – RESTRESSING DATA	11

PSC 3 Teregina Surveiture Corporation	DOCUMENT NUMBER: DOCUMENT TITLE: PROJECT TITLE:	CR-N1002-500 REVISION PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE 30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER	: <u>0</u> PAGE: <u>iii</u> DATE: <u>08/15/07</u>	Progress Energy
7.0	GREASE VOID VO		and and the second s	12
7.1	GREASE REPLACEME	NT		12
	TABLE 5 : SQ 12.1 –	GREASE VOID VOLUMES		12
8.0	UPPER SUPPORT	FRAME & WORK PLATFORM DESIGN	ne es ja se	13
8.1	EQUIPMENT ARRANG	EMENT		13
	FIGURE 6: USF & WC	RK PLATFORM COMPONENT SETUP		13
	FIGURE 7: USF DIMEN	NSIONS		14
8.2	DESIGN LOADS			15
	TABLE 6 : USF DESIG	N LOADS		15
8.3	LATERAL LOADS			16
	TABLE 7 : WIND LOAD	ING VARIABLES		16 16
	TABLE 9 :SEISMIC DE	AD LOADS		17
	TABLE 10 :SEISMIC D	IMENSIONS		17
8.4	FRAME ANALYSIS			18
	TABLE 11 : USF VER	FICAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION		21
	TABLE 12 : USF VER	FICAL REACTIONS – MOVING POSITION		21
	TABLE 13 : USF LATE	RAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION		22
	TABLE 14 : USE LATE	RAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION		23
	TABLE 15 : USF LATE	RAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION		23
	FIGURE 11: MOVING	POSITION OVERTURNING STABILITY		24
	TABLE 16 : USF LATE	RAL REACTIONS – SET POSITION		24
ATT	ACHMENTS			
- Атт	ACHMENT A - ASME S	ECTION XI. 1992 EDITION WITH 1992 ADDENDA		I-14
Атт	ACHMENT B - NRC REG	GULATORY GUIDE 1.35. REVISION 3		ı- 7
Αττ	ACHMENT C - CR-N99	-100, "Predicted Base Forces"	1-	142
Атт	ACHMENT D - CR-N100)2-010, "SQ 11.1 RESTRESSING"		
Атт	ACHMENT E - CR-N100	2-010, SQ 12.1 "GREASE VOID VOLUMES"	· - 1	- 43
Αττ	ACHMENT F - CR-N100	2-100, USF "SET POSITION DESIGN"	I	- 35
Атт	ACHMENT G - CR-N100	2-101, "USF MOVING POSITION DESIGN"	I	- 27
Атт	ACHMENT H – CR-N100	2-102, "Work Platform Design"	I.	- 21

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 3 of 325

P SC /	DOCUMENT NUMBER:	CR-N1002-500	REVISION:	0	PAGE: 1	
SX)	DOCUMENT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE				
in Survetlants	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATE	: 08/15/07	Progress Energy
- Warming						

1.0 PURPOSE

1.1 SURVEILLANCE PURPOSE

1.1.1 The purpose of the Tendon Surveillance Program is to demonstrate the integrity of the containment posttensioning system, including containment tendons, tendon end anchorage hardware; general and adjacent concrete integrity, and evaluation of the corrosion protective (grease) system. Individual inspections of selected tendons, as well as tendon wire and grease sample testing evaluate the overall integrity of the post-tensioning system.

1.2 DOCUMENT PURPOSE

- 1.2.1 The purpose of this document is to provide the engineering data and evaluations necessary to perform surveillance related activities. The scope of this document addresses:
- 1.2.1.1 The scope of work for the 8th period (30th Year) Tendon Surveillance
- 1.2.1.2 Predicted forces and normalization factors for selected scope tendons as well as the tendons adjacent to the ones selected. The predicted forces are used to accept as-found force levels if they are within the outlined acceptance criteria.
- 1.2.1.3 Tendon retensioning elongation tendon for all tendons scheduled to be detensioned for wire removal. The retension data is used to compare the elongation data obtained while restoring the tendon's force to the elongation that was observed while originally stressing the tendon in order to assure the force has been properly restored.
- 1.2.1.4 Grease void volumes for selected surveillance tendons and their respective adjacent tendons. The volumes allow IWL Level II Inspectors to document the percentage of the total void volume that is removed during inspection and replaced upon completion. This documentation is required per IWL-2526. If the absolute difference between the subject amounts exceeds 10% of the net duct volume, the Licensee shall report the conditions as required by 10CFR50.55a.
- 1.2.1.5 The design of the support frames and work platforms, which will be used to access hoop and dome tendons in order to assure their ability to withstand working and natural loadings.
- 1.2.1.5.1 The platform and the Upper Support Frame have been designed for both lateral and vertical loads by analyzing various loading conditions with RISA-3D Version 5.5. RISA-3D calculates both the force and stress in each individual member with respect to the member size designation. The entire system has been designed in accordance with AISC 9th Ed. ASD.



2.0 REFERENCES

2.1 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- 2.1.1 Crystal River Unit 3 Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), latest revision
- 2.1.2 American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components", 1992 Edition, with 1992 Addenda.
- 2.1.3 NRC Regulatory Guide 1.35, Revision 3. Dated July 1990, "Inservice Inspection of Ungrouted Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containment"
- 2.1.4 Code of Federal Regulation 10 CFR 50.55a
- 2.1.5 AISC Manual of Steel Construction Allowable Stress Design, 9th Edition.
- 2.1.6 ASCE Standard SEI/ASCE 7-02 Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures, 2nd Edition.
- 2.1.7 ASME Standard A120.1-2001 Safety Requirements for Powered Platforms for Building Maintenance
- 2.1.8 PSC Engineering Document E-GEN-500 Rail Clamp Capacity Test
- 2.1.9 PSC Engineering Document CR-N991-100, "Predicted Base Forces For The 30th Year Containment IWL Inspection"
- 2.1.10 PSC Engineering Document CR-N1002-010, "SQ 11.1 Restressing"
- 2.1.11 PSC Engineering Document CR-N1002-010, "SQ 12.1 Grease Void Volumes"
- 2.1.12 PSC Engineering Document CR-N1002-100, "Upper Support Frame Set Position Design"
- 2.1.13 PSC Engineering Document CR-N1002-101, "Upper Support Frame Moving Position Design"

2.1.14 PSC Engineering Document CR-N1002-102, "Work Platform Design"

2.2 REFERENCE DRAWINGS

- 2.2.1 S 425 001 IWE/IWL Inspection Concrete Layout 0° to 180°
- 2.2.2 S 425 002 IWE/IWL Inspection Concrete Layout 180° to 360°
- 2.2.3 S 425 003 IWE/IWL Inspection Exterior Dome Layout
- 2.2.4 S 425 004 IWE/IWL Inspection Vertical Tendon Layout
- 2.2.5 S 425 005 IWE/IWL Inspection Hoop Tendon "13" Layout
- 2.2.6 S 425 006 IWE/IWL Inspection Hoop Tendon "42" Layout
- 2.2.7 S 425 007 IWE/IWL Inspection Hoop Tendon "53" Layout
- 2.2.8 S 425 008 IWE/IWL Inspection Hoop Tendon "64" Layout
- 2.2.9 S 425 009 IWE/IWL Inspection Hoop Tendon "51" Layout
- 2.2.10 S 425 0010 IWE/IWL Inspection Hoop Tendon "62" Layout
- 2.2.11 S 425 0011 IWE/IWL Inspection Dome Tendons Layout Plan
- 2.2.12 S 425 0012 IWE/IWL Inspection Dome Tendons Layout Elevation
- 2.2.13 S 425 0020 IWE/IWL Inspection Tendon Detail
- 2.2.14 SC 421 041 Reactor Building Ring Girder Concrete Outline Plan and Sections

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 5 of 325



3.0 BACKGROUND

3.1 CONTAINMENT ARRANGEMENT

- 3.1.1 The Crystal River Unit 3 containment building is a post-tensioned and reinforced concrete structure comprised of a vertical cylinder with a hemispherical dome roof, and is supported on a conventional reinforced concrete foundation slab.
- 3.1.2 The containment structure post-tensioning system provides sufficient external pressure load on the containment structure to balance the internal pressure of the structure as well as the design basis accident internal containment pressure.
- 3.1.3 The containment post-tensioning system consists of:
- 3.1.3.1 Approximately 144 vertical tendons in the cylinder wall anchored at the top surface of the ring girder and at the bottom of the base slab;
- 3.1.3.2 Approximately 282 hoop tendons in the cylinder wall anchored alternately at 120 degrees to each other. Each tendon encloses 120 degrees of arc and is anchored at two of the six vertical buttresses.
- 3.1.3.3 Three groups of 41 dome tendons (total 123 tendons) alternately oriented at 120 degrees to each other and anchored at the vertical face of the ring girder;
- 3.1.4 Each tendon consists of nominally 163 7mm diameter high strength low relaxation wires with buttonhead anchorages. The tendons are housed in individual spirally wrapped, corrugated, thin wall sheet metal sheathing connected to a steel bearing plate and trumplet at each end. The sheathing is cast into the containment structure concrete walls and dome. The tendons are capped at each anchorage with a sheathing filler cap and the tendon sheathing and caps are filled with corrosion preventing grease.



FIGURE 1: TYPICAL SURVEILLANCE SETUP

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 6 of 325



DOCUMENT NUMBER: DOCUMENT TITLE:	CR-N1002-500 PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE	_ REVISION: _	0	PAGE:	
PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATE:	08/15/07	Progress Energy

3.1.5 Inspection Equipment

3.1.5.1 In order to perform the work required, PSC will supply the following equipment in order to perform the inspection activities. This list is for information only and equipment may be added or subtracted as needed.

1400 Ton Hydraulic Rams	Heise Digital Hydraulic Gauge	55 gallon empty drums
Jack Chairs	Misc. Electrical Transformers	110 volt electric cable
High Pressure Hydraulic Pumps	Tractel Motor Assemblies	480 volts electric cable
Jack chair extensions	2 Ga. OTC pump	Buttonhead puller
163 wire stressing adapters	Jib supports	Smooth wire puller
Pull rods with nuts	Hydraulic wire puller	Surveillance tool trailer
Inspection platforms (2)	1" diameter guide pins	Grease can plugs
Upper Support Frames (2)	1" diameter eye bolts	Graco grease pump
5 ton electric chain hoists (2)	Trolleys	Grease hose 11/2" x 50'
Spider baskets/platforms	1½" diameter brass shut-off valves	55 gallon drum heater
Hydraulic hoses - 20' long	Shop and field pulling caps	Office Trailer
0-10000 psi Hydraulic Gauges	Anchorhead lifting ring	Mobile crane

3.1.6 Access Equipment

3.1.6.1 In order to access the hoop and dome tendons, two Upper Support Frames (hereafter referred to as USF) will be installed on the containment dome rails. Each USF will have a 5-Ton hoist running on a monorail to facilitate the positioning of the hydraulic stressing ram. A work platform will also be hung from each USF attached to four drive motors, which will raise and lower the platform into working position. The entire USF assembly will utilize rollers, which will allow it to travel circumferentially around the dome in order to access all selected tendons.



FIGURE 2: UPPER SUPPORT FRAMES INSTALLED ON CONTAINMENT DOME

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 7 of 325



3.1.6.2 The setup and design information for the Upper Support Frames and work platforms is included in section 8.0 of this document.

3.1.7 Stressing Equipment

- 3.1.7.1 In order to perform stressing activities on the selected tendons (i.e. monitoring tendon forces, detensioning, and retensioning) PSC will provide the required 1400-ton hydraulic ram setups. Each setup includes:
- 3.1.7.1.1 A calibrated hydraulic cylinder used for stressing, whose calibration is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).
- 3.1.7.1.2 A jack chair used to support the cylinder against the bearing plate as well as facilitate the removal and installation of stressing shims.
- 3.1.7.1.3 A pullrod/nut combination to convert the compressive force of the cylinder to a tensile force being applied to the tendon
- 3.1.7.1.4 A 163-wire stressing adapter to couple the pulling rod to each selected tendon's anchorhead.
- 3.1.7.1.5 A two stage high pressure hydraulic pump to power the cylinder
- 3.1.7.1.6 A calibrated field gauge for reading the pressure applied
- 3.1.7.2 Extreme caution shall be taken to ensure that the stressing adapter is fully engaged with the anchorhead in order to eliminate the potential for overstressing of the anchorhead threads.

4.0 SCOPE OF WORK

4.1 TENDON SELECTION REQUIREMENTS

4.1.1 The specific requirements for selection of the scope of work as well as specific requirements and acceptance criteria for the performance of the inspection for the 8th period (30th Year) Tendon Surveillance are defined by The Crystal River Technical Specifications, the Code of Federal Regulations 10 CFR 50.55a and ASME Section XI, Sub-Section IWL, define the specific requirements for selection of the inspection tendons.

4.2 TENDON SELECTION

- 4.2.1 Tendons were selected for the eighth surveillance period by Progress Energy Florida and distributed to Precision Surveillance Corporation. Tendons to be examined are selected on a random basis among the tendons that have not been examined during previous inspections, except for one tendon from each group, which is designated as the common tendon and is examined during each surveillance.
- 4.2.1.1 Eleven tendons were selected for this surveillance consisting of 5 hoop tendons, 3 vertical tendons, and 3 dome tendons. In addition to the eleven surveillance tendons, one tendon from each group (vertical, hoop, dome) was selected as an alternate. The alternate tendons will only be inspected in the event that one of the surveillance tendons is found to be inaccessible. Table 1 below lists the tendons selected for the current surveillance as well as the inspections that will be performed on each tendon.
- 4.2.2 In the event that the acceptance criteria are not met for a certain tendon, it may be necessary to inspect either one or both tendons adjacent to the selected tendon. The criteria and need for this inspection are outlined in the applicable governing codes (see references 2.1.2, 2.1.3, and 2.1.4) as well as the surveillance procedure. The adjacent tendons are listed in Table 2.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 8 of 325



TENDON

12V01

45V20

61V17

34V17

13H36

DOCUMENT NUMBER: CR-N1002-500

DOCUMENT TITLE:

PROJECT TITLE:

END

D & G

D & G

D & G

D & G

BT.1&3

REVISION: 0 PAGE: 6 PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE

30TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER



DATE: 08/15/07

CRYSTALIRIVER UNIT 8= 30" YEAR SURVEILLANCE SCOPE OF WORK VISUAL PHYSICAL 33 ĉ 3 SQ12.1 2 0 SQ6.0 Ö Ô 0 COMMENTS SQ10. SQ10. SQ6.1 SQ11. SQ9.1 SQ8. SQ8. SQ7. $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ COMMON, STEAM ZONE @ DOME • • • • • • • **ORIGINAL SCOPE** ۲ • • ٠ • • • DETENSION • • • • • • • ۲ • ٠ ALTERNATE • . .

							A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	And the second sec				
42H46	BT. 2 & 4	•	٠	•	٠	•	•				•	STEAM ZONE @ BT2
46H21	BT. 4 & 6	ĕ	•	•	٠	÷	•				. •	COMMON
51H34	BT. 5 & 1	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	DETENSION, STEAM ZONE @BT1
62H30	BT. 6 & 2	•	•	•	٠	•	•	-			•	STEAM ZONE @BT 2
46H07	BT. 4 & 6											ALTERNATE
D129	BT. 3 & 5	•	•	٠	٠	•	•				•	STEAM ZONE @BT3
D212	BT. 1 & 3	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	COMMON
D238	BT. 4 & 6	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	DETENSION
D337	BT. 1 & 5					1						ALTERNATE

LEGEND

SQ 6.0 - GREASE CAP REMOVAL

SQ 6.1 - INSPECTION FOR WATER SQ 7.0 - AQUIRE GREASE SAMPLES SQ 8.0 - ANCHORAGE INSPECTION

SQ 8.3 - CONCRETE INSPECTION

SQ 9.0 - MONITOR TENDON FORCE SQ 10.2 - TENDON WIRE INSPECTION SQ 10.3 - TESTING TENDON WIRES SQ 11.0 - RETENSION TENDONS SQ 12.1 - GREASE REPLACEMENT

TABLE 2 : ADJACENT TENDONS

	Graver Alt	IN ERIUNID SEKOW MEAL	REALENS	(अन्य-१०म्रा-राजन	ENOISE STOLED AND
TENDON	END	COMMENTS	TENDON	END	COMMENTS
61V24	D&G	ADJACENT	51H33	BT. 5 & 1	ADJACENT
12V02	D&G	ADJACENT	51H35	BT. 5 & 1	ADJACENT
45V19	D&G	ADJACENT	62H29	BT.6&2	ADJACENT
45V21	D&G	ADJACENT	62H31	BT.6&2	ADJACENT
61V16	D&G	ADJACENT	46H06	BT. 4 & 6	ADJACENT OF ALTERNATE
61V18	D&G	ADJACENT	46H08	BT.4&6	ADJACENT OF ALTERNATE
34V16	D&G	ADJACENT	D128	BT. 3 & 5	ADJACENT
34V18	D&G	ADJACENT	D130	BT. 3 & 5	ADJACENT
13H35	BT. 1 & 3	ADJACENT	D211	BT. 1 & 3	ADJACENT
13H37	BT. 1 & 3	ADJACENT	D213	BT. 1 & 3	ADJACENT
42H45	BT. 2 & 4	ADJACENT	D237	BT. 4 & 6	ADJACENT
42H47	BT. 2 & 4	ADJACENT	D239	BT. 4 & 6	ADJACENT
46H20	BT. 4 & 6	ADJACENT	D336	BT. 1 & 5	ADJACENT
46H22	BT. 4 & 6	ADJACENT	D338	BT. 1 & 5	ADJACENT
					Calculation SU7-003

Attachment 2

Page 9 of 325

TABLE 1 : SCOPE OF WORK

PSC	DOCUMENT NUMBER: DOCUMENT TITLE:	CR-N1002-500 PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE		0	PAGÉ: <u>7</u>	
Precision Surveilland Corporation	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATE	E: 08/15/07	Progress Energy

4.3 TENDON LAYOUT

4.3.1 In order to properly locate the correct tendon in the field, layouts of the selected surveillance tendons as well as alternates have been performed. There is a plan and elevation view showing the tendons as well as surrounding buildings. The tendon layouts are shown below in Figures 3, 4 and 5.





Page 11 of 325

.



Attachment 2 Page 12 of 325



5.0 MONITOR TENDON FORCES

5.1 PERFORMING LIFT-OFF TESTING

- 5.1.1 In order to check the force being held by each of the selected surveillance tendons a Lift-off test will be performed. This process will be performed in accordance with the PSC supplied In-Service Inspection Procedure. A lift-off is achieved by transferring the tension on the tendon from its shim stack to the hydraulic ram. Once the tension has been transferred, the force is calculated from the internal pressure of the hydraulic ram. The steps involved in performing a liftoff are as follows:
- 5.1.1.1 The hydraulic pressure will be applied to the ram until lift-off is achieved.
- 5.1.1.2 After achieving lift-off 2 feeler gauges (0.030 inch) shall be inserted about 180 degrees apart, between the anchor head and the top shim.
- 5.1.1.3 The pressure will then be reduced to transfer the load back to the shim stack.
- 5.1.1.4 Pressure will be applied to the tendon until the feeler gauge can be pulled out with some effort.
- 5.1.1.5 These steps will then be repeated until three consecutive readings within the specified tolerance are achieved.
- 5.1.1.6 The pressure used to achieve liftoff is then converted to the force for that tendon using the hydraulic ram calibration data, which is traceable to NIST, and the normalization factor and compared to the predicted force for that tendon to verify acceptability.
- 5.1.2 The predicted forces and normalization factors for each surveillance tendon, as well as the tendons adjacent to it, have been calculated and included in Attachment C and are summarized below in Table 3.

	CRY CRY	STAL EIV	BRUND	9-509;	1-1	अल्लाक विजिला	त्वान <u>ा</u> र्व्स	SOMMA	$\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$	
TENDON	PREDICTED BASE (kips)	95% PREDICTED BASE (kips)	90% PREDICTED BASE (kips)	NORMALI- ZATION FACOTR		TENDON	PREDICTED BASE (kips)	95% PREDICTED BASE (kips)	90% PREDICTED BASE (kips)	NORMALI- ZATION FACOTR
61V24	1529	1452	1376	-14		13H35	1373	1304	1235	+56
12V01	1525	1449	1372	-9		13H36	1484	1410	1336	-56
12V02	1596	1516	1437	-80		13H37	1368	1299	1231	+61
45V19	1484	1409	1335	+31		62H29	1421	1350	1279	+7
45V20	1507	1432	1357	+7		62H30	1413	1342	1272	+14
45V21	1533	1456	1380	-19		62H31	1475	1401	1328	-46
61V16	1523	1447	1371	-8		D128	1268	1205	1141	+53
61V17	1498	1423	1348	+17		D129	1287	1223	1159	+34
61V18	1493	1418	1344	+21		D130	1261	1198	1135	+60
46H20	1467	1394	1321	-39		D211	1305	1239	1174	+18
46H21	1441	1369	1297	-12		D212	1305	1240	1175	+15
46H22	1486	1412	1337	-57		D213	1312	1246	1180	+10
51H33	1392	1323	1253	+36		D237	1426	1335	1283	-104
51H34	1487	1413	1339	-59		D238	1348	1281	1213	-26
51H35	1348	1281	1213	+79		D239	1409	1338	1268	-88
42H45	1473	1399	1325	-44]					
42H46	1456	1383	1310	-28		= SURVEI	LLANCE TH	ENDON		
42H47	1425	1354	1283	+3		= ADJACE		N		
	•			· · · · ·	•	·			Calculation Revision 0	1807-0033

TABLE 3 : SQ 9.1 - PREDICTED FORECS SUMMARY

Revision 0 Attachment 2

Page 13 of 325

UMENT NUMBER:	CR-N1002-500
UMENT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE
JECT TITLE:	30TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYST
	UMENT NUMBER: UMENT TITLE: DECT TITLE:

DATE:

08/15/07

CRYSTAL RIVER



6.0 RETENSION TENDONS

6.1 DETENSIONING, WIRE REMOVAL, AND RETENSIONING OF TENDONS

- 6.1.1 One tendon out of each group (vertical, hoop, and dome) is selected to have a wire removed and tested. This process will be performed in accordance with the PSC supplied In-Service Inspection Procedure. In order for this to be accomplished the following will happen:
- 6.1.1.1 Liftoff-testing will be completed to ensure the tendon's force is at an acceptable level.
- 6.1.1.2 Once the liftoff has been completed, the force will be transferred to the hydraulic ram and all of the shims will be removed.
- 6.1.1.3 After the shims have been removed, the pressure will then be released from both ends of the tendon until there is no longer any force on it. This is the detensioning of the tendon.
- 6.1.1.4 While the tendon is in the detensioned state, a sample wire is removed and scheduled for physical testing as required by IWL-2523.
- 6.1.1.5 Once the wire has been removed, the tendon is then restored to an acceptable force by pressurizing the ram and replacing the stressing shims.
- 6.1.2 In order to perform an acceptable restressing operation, the following parameters must be calculated since the tendon has one less effective wire (because of the removed test wire).
- 6.1.2.1 The new Pre-Tension Force (PTF)
- 6.1.2.2 The new Overstress Force (OSF) which is 80% of the tendons Gross Ultimate Tensile Strength (GUTS)
- 6.1.2.3 The new elongations at each of the measurement stops and at OSF
- 6.1.3 All of the new restressing calculations are included in Attachment D and the parameters are summarized in Table 4 below.

	CRYST	ALIRMER	UNIFIS-	south	নাহজারা	SEINCE	XATA -		
	PREVI	OUSLY		AT RETENSIONING					
TENDON	ORIGINAL PTF (kips)	ORIGINAL OSF (kips)	NUMBER OF WIRES	NEW PTF (Kips)	NEW OSF (kips)	600 (kips)	1200 (kips)	ORIGINAL ELONGATIO (in.)	
61V17	357	1866	162 161 160	354 352 350	1855 1843 1832	1.92 1.95 1.98	6.62 6.68 6.74	11.75	
51H34	362	1866	162 161 160	359 357 355	1855 1843 1832	1.65 1.67 1.70	5.76 5.81 5.87	10.25	
D238	359	1866	162 161 160	357.1 354.9 352.7	1854.6 1843.1 1831.7	1.34 1.36 1.38	4.64 4.68 4.73	8.25	

TABLE 4 : SQ 11.1 - RESTRESSING DATA

Calculation S07-0033

Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 14 of 325

PSC	DOCUMENT NUMBER: DOCUMENT TITLE:	CR-N1002-500 PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE	REVISION	0	PAGE: <u>12</u>	
Thereisian Surveillande Conporation	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATE	: 08/15/07	Progress Energy

7.0 GREASE VOID VOLUMES

7.1 GREASE REPLACEMENT

7.1.1 Once all inspections have concluded, any sheathing filler (grease) that was lost during the inspections must be replaced. Throughout the inspection all grease that is removed is documented. The required methods of refilling, as well as the acceptance requirements for amount refilled, are dependent upon the percentage of the total void that is lost. In order to calculate this percentage, the grease void volume has been calculated for each selected surveillance tendon as well as both of its adjacent tendons. The grease void calculations are included in Attachment E and are summarized below in Table 5.

	(এর	YSTALR	Ma	RONDRES	(0) (24) - (AREASE	VOI	D WOLLUIME		
TENDON	NET DUCT VOLUME (GALLONS)	10% DUCT VOLUME (GALLONS)	-	TENDON	NET DUCT VOLUME (GALLONS)	10% DUCT VOLUME (GALLONS)		TENDON	NET DUCT VOLUME (GALLONS)	10% DUCT VOLUME (GALLONS)
61V24	144.09	14.41		13H35	121.77	12.18		D128	118.31	11.83
12V01	143.97	14.40		13H36	121.67	12.17		D129	116.41	11.64
12V02	144.12	14.41		13H37	122.18	12.22		D130	116.12	11.61
45V19	144.51	14.45		42H45	121.40	12.14		D211	115.58	11.56
45V20	144.47	14.45		42H46	122.57	12.26		D212	115.99	11.60
45V21	144.05	14.40		42H47	121.84	12.18		D213	116.85	11.69
61V16	144.36	14.44	\$ *1	46H20	121.37	12.14		D237	104.93	10.49
61V17	144.74	14.47		46H21	122.06	12.21		D238	102.59	10.26
61V18	144.15	14.42		46H22	122.43	12.24		D239	100.43	10.04
34V16	145.50	14.55		51H33	121.94	12.19		D336	107.62	10.76
34V17	145.67	14.57		51H34	120.88	12.09		D337	106.08	10.61
34V18	144.97	14.50		51H35	122.21	12.22		D338	103.92	10.39
				62H29	121.93	12.19				
				62H30	121.75	12.17				
				62H31	122.13	12.21				
				46H06	122.00	12.20				
				46H07	121.18	12.12		= SURVE	ILLANCE TE	NDON
				46H08	121.46	12.15		= ADJAC	ENT TENDO	N

TABLE 5 : SQ 12.1 - GREASE VOID VOLUMES

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 15 of 325

		CR-N1002-500 REVIS	SION: _	0	PAGE: <u>13</u>	
Receiptan Surveillands Conformation	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER		DATE	. 08/15/07	Progress Energy

8.0 UPPER SUPPORT FRAME & WORK PLATFORM DESIGN

8.1 EQUIPMENT ARRANGEMENT

8.1.1 The two Upper Support Frames (a.k.a. USF) will be installed on top of the containment rail system. The USF will support the work platform with four drive cables and four safety cables, as well as a hydraulic stressing ram hanging from a 5-Ton hoist. See Figures 6 and 7 below for the setup of components and dimensions respectively.



- 8.1.2 The work platform will be raised/lowered by four Tractel 2050XE drive units. The Tractel units have a manriding capacity of 4 kips each, for a total of 16 kips when using a single part line. The drive and safety cables (each a set of 4 cables) will consist of 9/16" diameter wire rope. The steel cable has a minimum breaking strength of 40 kips, for a total ultimate strength of 160 kips (for each set). This means in this configuration, the support cables have a safety factor of 10.
- 8.1.3 The Tractel drive units will be arranged on a separate assembly unit, which will attach to the top flanges of the USF. This allows for the placement of the basket in an optimal location for the work being performed. The entire assembly, including framing, drive units, and 200 feet of drive cables, weighs 4.4 kips.
- 8.1.4 A spider basket may be hung from the USF to facilitate the transportation of personnel and material/tooling between the work platform and the containment dome or ground. The spider basket has a dead weight of 250 lbs. and a capacity of 1,000 lbs. This setup will exert a maximum of 1,250 lbs. of force on the USF when installed.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 16 of 325

C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			_ REVISION: _	0	PAGE: 14	
Recipion Samedlands Conferration	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATE	E: 08/15/07	Progress Energy

- 8.1.5 The hydraulic ram will be supported from a 5-ton capacity (10 kip working load) electric hoist. The hoist itself weighs 1.8 kips. In this configuration the maximum load the hoist could exert on the USF is 11.8 kips.
- 8.1.6 When the USF is in a set position (either working or storage) two outriggers at the outer edge of the USF will be lowered to the concrete to increase its support base width. These outriggers will consist of structural tube stub columns connected to worm gear screw jacks. The screw jacks will be outfitted with a standard hex bolt connection so that they can be raised and lowered using a standard ratchet or impact wrench. The screw jacks are model M15-U-T-12.0-L-B-TP made by T.K. Simplex, and have a working load capacity of 15-ton (30 kips).
- 8.1.7 The counterweight used for the system will be a combination of 22.5 kips of steel plate and 4 kip of concrete. Also, the platform will be tied down to the containment rails using PSC custom tie downs (Reference PSC Calculation E-GEN-500 Rail Clamp Capacity Test). The tie downs are designed and tested for a maximum force of 40 kips each. The total hold-down force for this frame will be 106.5 kips {22.5+4+40+40 = 106.5 kips}.





DOCUMENT NUMBER:	CR-N1002-500	REVISION:	0	PAGE:	15	
DOCUMENT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE			•		
PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATI	E: <u>08/15</u>	5/07	Pr

8.2 DESIGN LOADS

TABLE 6 : USF DESIGN LOADS						
CRYS	MERT		ALEON	CONTRA PLANTIA	RMLOADS	
Load Description	Class	Unit Weight	Quantity	Total Weight	Class Totals	
Platform Self-Weight	D.L.	3,850 lbs.	1	3,850 lbs.	3,850 lbs.	
Personnel	L.L.	250 lbs.	4	1,000 lbs.		
New/Scrap Grease Drum	L.L.	500 lbs.	1	500 lbs.		
Shims	L.L.	100 lbs.	1 、	100 lbs.	2,600 lbs.	
Tools	L.L.	250 lbs.	1	250 lbs.		
Hydraulic Jack Pump	L.L.	750 lbs.	1 .	750 lbs.	·	
			Total Work	Platform Weight =	6,450 lbs.	

GRYSPANEWER	UNIT 3-				PLATFORMANALYSIS
Provide Land and Land and Land	within the second		Quantity of	Magnitude of	
Load Description	Class	Load	Loads	Loads	Comments
Loud Description	Ciass	Magnitude	Applied in	Applied in	
	and the second	A STREET	RIJA	NISA Marina Marina Marina Marina Marina Marin	
Platform Live Load	L.L.	2.6 kips	80 sq. ft.	0.033 ksf	
Hydraulic Pump	L.L.	0.75 kips	<u>,</u> 1	0.75 kips	
Miscellaneous Live Load	L.L.	0.5 kips	80 sq. ft.	0.006 ksf	
5:1 Platform Live Load	L.L.	13.0 kips	80 sq. ft.	0.163 ksf	

CRYSTAL RIVERUNI	re de	iel, it a. las	USEDINA	HE GERER SU	PPORTERAME ANALYSIS
Load Description	Class	Load Magnitude	Quantity of Loads Applied in RISA	Magnitude of Loads Applied in RISA	Comments
Platform Dead	D.L.	3.85 kips	4	0.96 kips	
Platform Live	L.L.	2.6 kips	4	0.65 kips	
Tractel Support Basket	D.L.	4.4 kips	4	1.1 kips	
Trolley/Hoist	L.L.	11.8 kips	1	11.8 kips	
Steel Counterweight	D.L.	22.5 kips	8.0 ft.	2.813 kip/ft	
Concrete Counterweight	D.L.	4.0 kips	2	2.0 kips	æ
30mph Wind X-Dir (W _{//30})	W.L.	1.79 kips	64.78 ft.	0.028 kip/ft	
30mph Wind Z-Dir (W $_{\perp 30}$)	W.L.	1.54 kips	40.44 ft.	0.038 kip/ft	
150mph Wind X-Dir (W _{#150})	W.L.	18.19 kips	64.78 ft.	0.281 kip/ft	
150mph Wind Z-Dir (W $_{\perp 150}$)	W.L.	12.22 kips	40.44 ft.	0.302 kip/ft	
DBE Earthquake (EL _X)	E.L.	6.75 kips	53.34 ft.	0.127 kip/ft	
DBE Earthquake (EL _Y)	E.L.	1.78 kips	90.84 ft.	. 0.020 kip/ft	
DBE Earthquake (EL _Z)	E.L.	6.75 kips	37.5 ft.	0.180 kip/ft	. ·
MHE Earthquake (EL _X)	E.Ľ.	17.38 kips	53.34 ft.	0.326 kip/ft	
MHE Earthquake (EL _Y)	E.L.	5.3 kips	90.84 ft.	0.058 kip/ft	
MHE Earthquake (ELz)	E.L.	17.38 kips	37.5 ft.	0.463 kip/ft	

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 18 of 325 ress Energy DOCUMENT NUMBER: CR-N1002-500 REVISION: 0 PAGE: 16 DOCUMENT TITLE: PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE 30TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER PROJECT TITLE: DATE: 08/15/07 Enerav

8.3 LATERAL LOADS

8.3.1 Two percent (2%) of Operating Weight:

 $[(10^{\kappa} + 6.45^{\kappa} + 11.8^{\kappa} + 26.5^{\kappa})*0.02] = 1.10^{\kappa}$ (Conservative) Platform Hoist Counterweight USE DL DL+LL

8.3.2 Wind Loading

The wind loading for the USF has been calculated in two directions for two different wind speeds. The 8.3.2.1 first wind speed is 30 mph and the second is 150 mph. The 30mph condition is evaluated under working conditions, while the 150mph condition is evaluated when the platform is secured and uninhabited. The wind loads are applied perpendicular to containment (along the radius) and parallel to the containment building (tangent to the circle) in order to ensure the structure's ability to withstand these forces, and the tie-down system's ability to secure the USF on top of the containment building. 2% of the operating weight is also included in the wind load calculation to account for any accidental impact loading. The variables and dimensions used for calculating the design wind loads are tabulated in Tables 7 and 8 below, respectively.

TABLE 7 : WIND LOADING VARIABLES

Description	Variable	Value
Basic Wind speed	V	30 / 150 mph
Wind Directionality Factor	Kd	1.0
Importance Factor	1	1.15
Exposure Category	-	D
Building Height	z	195'
Velocity Pressure Coefficient	Kz	1.61
Topographic Factor	K _{zt}	1.0
Gust Effect Factor	G	0.8
Enclosure Classification	1. ₂ =	OPEN
Internal Pressure Coefficient	GC _{pi}	0.0
External Pressure Coefficient	C _f	1.6

P	Physical Dimensions										
Dimension	Parallel to Containment	Perpendicular to Containment									
Projected Area (<i>A</i> _/)	125.26 sq. ft.	81.48 sq. ft.									
Length that Wind Load is Applied in RISA (<i>L</i> _w)	64.78 ft.	40.44 ft.									
* Areas and	d Lengths calculate	ed from AutoCAD									

TABLE 8 : WIND LOADING DIMENSIONS

8.3.2.2 30mph condition:

8.3.2.2.1 Velocity Pressure Calculation:

Applied in RISA

$$q_{z} = 0.00256K_{z}K_{zt}K_{d}V^{2}I$$

$$q_{z30} = 0.00256(1.61)(1.0)(30)^{2}(1.15) = 4.27 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{ft}^{2}}$$

8.3.2.2.2 Design Wind load parallel to containment (W_{II30}):

$$F = q_z GC_f A_f$$

$$F_{I/30} = (4.27)(0.8)(1.6)(125.26) = 684.6/b = 0.6846^K + 1.10^K = 1.79^K$$

Distributed Load
Applied in RISA:

$$W_{I/30} = \frac{F}{L_W} = \frac{1.79}{64.78} = 0.028 \, \text{k/ft}$$

8.3.2.2.3 Design Wind load perpendicular to containment ($W_{\perp 30}$):

> $F = q_7 GC_f A_f$ $F_{\perp 30} = (4.27)(0.8)(1.6)(81.48) = 445.3/b = 0.4453^{k} + 1.10^{k} = 1.54^{k}$ **Distributed Load** $W_{\perp 30} = \frac{F}{L_W} = \frac{1.54}{40.44} = 0.038 \, \text{k/ft}$ Applied in RISA: Calculation S07-0033

Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 19 of 325

 DOCUMENT NUMBER:
 CR-N1002-500
 REVISION:
 0
 PAGE:
 17

 DOCUMENT TITLE:
 PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE
 Progress
 Progress

 PROJECT TITLE:
 30TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER
 DATE:
 08/15/07
 Progress

8.3.2.3 150mph condition:

8.3.2.3.1 Velocity Pressure Calculation:

Distributed Load

Applied in RISA:

$$q_{z} = 0.00256K_{z}K_{zl}K_{d}V^{2}l$$

$$q_{z150} = 0.00256(1.61)(1.0)(1.0)(150)^{2}(1.15) = 106.6 \text{ lb}/\text{ft}^{2}$$

8.3.2.3.2 Design Wind load parallel to containment (W_{II150}):

 $F = q_z G C_f A_f$ $F_{\parallel 150} = (106.6)(0.8)(1.6)(125.26) = 17,091/b. = 17.09^K + 1.10^K = 18.19^K$ $W_{\parallel 150} = \frac{F}{L_W} = \frac{18.19}{64.78} = 0.281 \text{ // ft}$

8.3.2.3.3 Design Wind load perpendicular to containment ($W_{\perp 150}$):

$$F = q_z G C_f A_f$$

$$F_{\perp 150} = (106.6)(0.8)(1.6)(81.48) = 11,118/b. = 11.12^K + 1.10^K = 12.22^K$$
Distributed Load
Applied in RISA:
$$W_{\perp 150} = \frac{F}{L_W} = \frac{12.22}{40.44} = 0.302 \, \text{k/ft}$$

8.3.3 Seismic Loading:

8.3.3.1 The seismic loading conditions have been calculated using peak spectral accelerations from previous experience in designing the upper support frames to determine the representative static loads. The load combinations consist of a static distributed load being applied to the top story of the frame in each of the three ordinate directions. Static loadings have been calculated for two different accelerations, a Design Basis Earthquake (DBE) and a Maximum Hypothetical Earthquake (MHE). The DBE condition is evaluated under working conditions, while the MHE is only evaluated when the USF is secured and the platform uninhabited: The seismic loads considered are extremely conservative considering the required acceleration of 0.05g required by Reference 2.1.6. The dead loads and dimensions used for calculating the design seismic loads are tabulated in Tables 9 and 10 below, respectively.

TABLE 9 : SEISMIC I	DEAD LOADS	TABLE 10 :SEISMIC DIMENSIONS					
DEAD LOADS CALCULATE HOR VERTICAL SEISM	USED TO ZONTAL AND AIC FORCES		LENGTH THAT S	EISMIC LOAD NRISA (<i>L_{eq}</i>)			
USF Dead	10.0 ^K		X-DIRECTION	53.34 ft.			
Counterweight	26.5 ^K		Y-DIRECTION	90.84 ft.			
Tractel Support	4.4 ^K		Z-DIRECTION	37.5 ft.			
Total D.L.	.40.9 ^K		* Lengths calculate	d from AutoCAD			

8.3.3.2 The frequency and period of the USF are calculated below.

Maximum Fundamental Period, $T = T_a \cdot C_V = C_T \cdot h_n^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot C_V = 0.03 \cdot (14)^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot 1.7 = 0.37$ Frame Frequency, $f = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{0.37} = 2.71 \Rightarrow use 3.0$. Conservative

> Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 20 of 325



8.3.3.3 Peak spectral accelerations are listed below. .: Conservative (Reference 2.1.6)

Horizontal DBE = 0.33g Vertical DBE = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot 0.13g = 0.087g$ Horizontal MHE = 0.85g Vertical MHE = $\frac{2}{3} \cdot 0.39g = 0.259g$

8.3.3.4 DBE Seismic Forces are calculated below.

$$\begin{split} EL_X &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.33 \cdot (10 + 26.5 + 4.4) = 6.75^{\kappa} \\ EL_Y &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.087 \cdot (10 + 26.5 + 4.4) = 1.78^{\kappa} \\ EL_Z &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.33 \cdot (10 + 26.5 + 4.4) = 6.75^{\kappa} \end{split}$$

8.3.3.5 MHE Seismic Forces are calculated below.

$$EL_{X} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.85 \cdot (10 + 26.5 + 4.4) = 17.38^{K}$$
$$EL_{Y} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.259 \cdot (10 + 26.5 + 4.4) = 5.30^{K}$$
$$EL_{Z} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.85 \cdot (10 + 26.5 + 4.4) = 17.38^{K}$$

8.4 FRAME ANALYSIS

8.4.1 Member Design:

- 8.4.1.1 All of the members have been designed for both lateral and vertical loads by analyzing various loading conditions with RISA-3D Version 5.5. RISA-3D calculates the stresses in each member, with respect to the member size and designation, and checks them against the allowable stresses defined in the applicable code. All of the members for the USF and work platform have been designed in accordance with AISC 9th Edition ASD [Reference 2.1.5] and ASME A120.1-2001 [Reference 2.1.7].
- 8.4.1.2 Section 3.3.4.1 of ASME A120.1-2001 states "At no time shall the rated load be placed in its most outboard position without a system stability factor of 4." In the RISA-3D analysis, the AISC standard uses 60% of yield to determine the member's allowable stress. Therefore, in order to meet the previously stated requirement, PSC is using a load factor of 2.4 (4 x 60% = 2.4) to reach the 4 to 1 rating. (i.e., rated hoist load x 2.4/60% = rated hoist load x 4) for the overload calculation of the outrigger beams.
- 8.4.1.3 In the USF analysis, two separate models were evaluated. The first model, which includes "set position" in the title, is indicative of the setup the USF will have when in a stationary working position, as well as when stored while not in use. The second model represents the "moving position" in which the front outriggers have been lifted to facilitate the movement of the platform to a new working position. Because of the very limited amount of time the USF will spend in the moving position, the extreme lateral loading cases (150mph & MHE) are not considered for that model.
- 8.4.1.3.1 In the analysis of the set position, sixteen different load combinations have been evaluated and they are detailed, along with the analysis in Attachment F. The model configuration, applied loads with corresponding code checks and reactions, and a tabulation of the envelope solution are also included in Attachment F. All of the load combinations have been considered, and the worst case code check for each member is displayed in the envelope solution. The allowable stress increase of 1/3 for lateral loading conditions have been applied to the appropriate load combinations. All of the member's stresses, code checks and boundary condition reactions are acceptable.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 21 of 325

P\$C)	DOCUMENT NUMBER:	CR-N1002-500	_ REVISION: _	0	PAGE: 19	
XX	DOCUMENT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE				
tian Surveillante	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL	RIVER	DATE	08/15/07	Progress Energy

- 8.4.1.3.2 In the analysis of the moving position, nine different load combinations have been evaluated and they are detailed, along with the analysis in Attachment G. The model configuration, applied loads with corresponding code checks and reactions, and a tabulation of the envelope solution are also included in Attachment G. All of the load combinations have been considered, and the worst-case code check for each member is displayed in the envelope solution. The allowable stress increase of 1/3 for lateral loading conditions have been applied to the appropriate load combinations. All of the member's stresses, code checks and boundary condition reactions are acceptable.
- 8.4.1.3.3 In the work platform analysis, two load combinations were analyzed: the working load and dead load plus five times the live load. All lateral loading on the platform itself is negligible due to the pendulum effect of hanging from cables. The model configuration, applied loads with corresponding code checks and reactions, and a tabulation of the load combinations' solution are included in Attachment H. All of the members' stresses, code checks and boundary condition reactions are acceptable.

8.4.2 Connections:

8.4.2.1 Column Connections (Reference 2.1.5 – ASD 9th Ed, Pgs. 4-116 to 4-122)

Shear = -11.4^{K} , Moment = 20.22^{K-ft} Maximum Forces: Check Bolt Capacity: $F_f = \frac{M}{(d - t_{fb})} = \frac{20.22 \cdot 12}{(8.25 - 0.5625)} = 31.56^K$ $4 \oslash 1$ " A325 Bolts = $4(34.6^{\kappa}) = 138.4^{\kappa} > 31.56^{\kappa}$.: ACCEPTABLE (8) -Ø1 Check remaining A325 Bolts $4 \oslash 1$ " A325 Bolts = $4(16.5^{\kappa}) = 66.0^{\kappa} > 11.4^{\kappa} max$ Shear Bolts: ... ACCEPTABLE $D_{req'd} = \frac{31.56}{[2(8.0625 + 0.5625) - 0.375]0.928} = 2.02$ Check Flange to Plate Weld: Existing ¼" welds, D = 4 > 2.02 :: ACCEPTABLE $P_{e} = 2.375 - \frac{1.0}{4} - 0.707(.1875) = 1.99in.$ Check End Plate: $C_a = 1.13$ for $F_v = 36$ ksi $C_b = \sqrt{\frac{8.0625}{8}} = 1.00$ $\frac{A_f}{A_w} = \frac{8.0625 \cdot .5625}{[8.25 - (2 \cdot 0.5625)] \cdot 0.375} = 1.70$ $\frac{P_{\theta}}{d_{h}} = \frac{1.99}{1.0} = 1.99$ $\alpha_m = 1.13 \cdot 1.00 \cdot (1.70)^{1/3} \cdot (1.99)^{1/4} = 1.602$ $M_{e} = 1.602 \cdot 31.56 \cdot (1.99 / 4) = 25.2 kip - in$ Req'd Plate Thickness: $t_p = \sqrt{\frac{6 \cdot 25.2}{27 \cdot 8}} = 0.837$ in < 1.0 in existing \therefore **ACCEPTABLE** Check Web to Required weld to develop maximum web tension stress ($F_v = 21.6$ ksi) Plate Weld: $D_{req'd} = \frac{21.6 \cdot 0.375}{2 \cdot 0.928} = 4.36 < 6$, existing 3/8" weld \therefore ACCEPTABLE 1/2" stiffeners welded to both flanges and web with 3/16" weld both sides are Beam Stiffeners: ACCEPTABLE by engineering judgment

FIGURE 8: COLUMN CONNECTION

PSC	DOCUMENT NUMBER:	CR-N1002-500	REVISION:	0	PAGE: _ 20	S	
	DOCUMENT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE				Progress	
Recision Surveilland Conporation	PROJECT TITLE:	30 ¹¹¹ YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL F	RIVER	DATE	:08/15/07	Energy	

8.4.2.2 All other framing:

Minimum of (4) $- \emptyset$ 3/4" Bolts Shear Capacity of \emptyset 3/4" A325 Bolt = $9.3^{K} \rightarrow 4(9.3^{K}) = 37.2^{K}$ Tensile Capacity of \emptyset 3/4" A325 Bolt = $19.4^{K} \rightarrow 4(19.4^{K}) = 77.6^{K}$ \therefore ACCEPTABLE by engineering judgment.

8.4.3 <u>X-Bracing:</u>

8.4.3.1 The X-bracing is made up of several rigging components, which have an ultimate capacity able to withstand the maximum forces generated in the bracing due to all the lateral loading conditions. Below, Figure 9 depicts the typical bracing setup, with the ultimate capacities of each component listed. In the design below, the ultimate capacity of the system has been taken as 47.5 kip, because that is the capacity of the weakest link.



8.4.3.2 The maximum force the X-Bracing (members M17, M18, M19, M20) will have to sustain as calculated by the envelope solution of the set position is as follows:

Maximum Tensile Force = $38.257^{\kappa} < 47.5^{\kappa}$: ACCEPTABLE

8.4.4 <u>Tie-Downs:</u>

- 8.4.4.1 The back end of each frame will be tied down to the containment building rails in two places (one each side) using PSC's rail clamp system, or a system that is similar. The total capacity as defined in Reference 2.1.8 is 40 kip for each tie down. A system that is used in lieu of the system in Reference 2.1.8 will be designed and tested acceptably to withstand 40 kip as well.
- 8.4.4.2 The maximum downward reaction vector the rear tie down locations (Nodes N30 and N31 for the set position, and Nodes N32 and N33 for the moving position) will have to sustain is determined by reviewing the resulting reactions from each load combination solution. Tables 11 and 12 below summarize the vertical reaction vectors for all load cases in each model. The maximum downward reactions come from Load combination LC16 from the set position calculation. The PSC tie down system is more than capable of withstanding the required 5.5 kip of hold down force.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 23 of 325



DOCUMENT NUMBER: CR-N1002-500 DOCUMENT TITLE: 30TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL RIVER PROJECT TITLE:

PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE

REVISION: 0 PAGE: 21

DATE: 08/15/07



TABLE 11:	USF VERTICAL	REACTIONS -	SET POSITION

114	USF VERTICAL RI	A GIUCINE #	SETPOSI					
	Load Combination	Vertical Reaction (kips)						
Number	Description	Joint N30	Joint N31	Joint N34	Joint N35			
LC 2	DL	10.90	10.90	11.00	11.00			
LC 3	DL+LL+Hoist In	14.30	14.30	14.80	14.80			
LC 4	DL+LL+Hoist Out	4.70	4.60	24.40	24.40			
LC 5	4:1 Equivalent	1.60	1.60	31.40	31.40			
LC 6	DL+LL+WLX30	5.30	4.00	25.90	23.00			
LC 7	DL+LL-WLX30	4.00	5.30	23.00	25.90			
LC 8	DL+LL+WLZ30	4.10	4.10	25.00	25.00			
LC 9	DL+LL-WLZ30	5.20	5.20	23.90	23.90			
LC 10	DL+LL+WLX150	20.80	9.80	27.80	-2.50			
LC 11	DL+LL-WLX150	9.80	20.90	-3.20	28.10			
LC 12	DL+LL+WLZ150	11.00	11.00	16.80	16.80			
LC 13	DL+LL-WLZ150	19.60	19.60	8.20	8.20			
LC 14	DL+LL+DBE(X)+DBE(Y)+DBE(Z)	1.40	-1.80	39.60	17.20			
LC 15	DL+LL-DBE(X)-DBE(Y)-DBE(Z)	7.90	11.10	9.30	31.70			
LC 16	DL+LL+MHE(X)+MHE(Y)+MHE(Z)	2.40	-5.50	49.70	-8.10			
LC 17	DL+LL-MHE(X)-MHE(Y)-MHE(Z)	-5.80	2.10	-2.50	55.30			

TABLE 12 : USF VERTICAL REACTIONS - MOVING POSITION

	USEVERTICAL REA	CTIONS 3	ANNE RO	SEIDN F	
and the second s	Load Combination		Vertical Rea	action (kips)	
Number	Description	Joint N30	Joint N31	Joint N32	Joint N33
LC 2	DL	17.90	17.90	4.00	4.00
LC 3	DL+LL+Hoist In	24.00	24.00	. 5.00	5.00
LC 4	4:1 Equivalent	35.40	35.40	-2.50	-2.50
LC 5	DL+LL+WLX30	25.80	22.30	5.30	4.70
LC 6	DL+LL-WLX30	22.30	25.80	4.70	5.30
LC 7	DL+LL+WLZ30	24.90	24.90	4.10	4.10
LC 8	DL+LL-WLZ30	23.20	23.20	5.90	5.90
LC 9	DL+LL+DBE(X)+DBE(Y)+DBE(Z)	44.10	16.80	-3.10	-1.50
LC 10	DL+LL-DBE(X)-DBE(Y)-DBE(Z)	4.00	31.30	13.20	11.40

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 24 of 325

PSC)	DOCUMENT NUMBER:	CR-N1002-500	REVISION: _	0	PAGE: _22_	
X X > 1	DOCUMENT TITLE:	PRE-SURVEILLANCE ENGINEERING PACKAGE				
Recision Surveillance Corporation	PROJECT TITLE:	30 TH YEAR TENDON SURVEILLANCE AT CRYSTAL F	RIVER	DATE	:08/15/07	Progress Energy

8.4.4.3 In addition to the rear tie downs, during the periods where the USF is left unattended for extended periods of time (i.e. overnight) the front columns (Nodes N32 and N33) will be secured to the front containment rail using rigging components similar to the X-bracing that have a minimum ultimate capacity of 25 kip. By reviewing the lateral reactions at Nodes N32 and N33 for all load combinations, the maximum reaction vector comes from Load combinations LC16 and LC17 from the set position calculation. Table 13 below summarizes the lateral reactions from all of the load combinations in the set position model. The maximum lateral reaction magnitude of 20.42 kip will be adequately resisted by the rigging components.

	USF LATERAL REAGTIONS - SET POSITION										
		Lateral Reactions (kips)									
	Load Compination		Joint N	132	Joint N33						
Number	Description	X Dir.	Z Dir.	Lateral Reaction Magnitude	X Dir	Z Dir.	Lateral Reaction Magnitude				
LC 2	DL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
LC 3	DL+LL+Hoist In	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
LC 4	DL+LL+Hoist Out	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
LC 5	4:1 Equivalent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
LC 6	DL+LL+WLX30	-0.10	0.10	0.14	-1.10	-0.10	1.10				
LC 7	DL+LL-WLX30	1.40	-0.10	1.40	3.00	0.10	3.00				
LC 8	DL+LL+WLZ30	0.00	-0.40	0.40	0.00	-0.40	0.40				
LC 9	DL+LL-WLZ30	0.00	0.70	0.70	0,00	0.70	0.70				
LC 10	DL+LL+WLX150	-0.90	1.00	1.35	10.30	-1.00	10.35				
LC 11	DL+LL-WLX150	14.40	-1.10	14.44	2.60	1.10	[`] 2.82				
LC 12	DL+LL+WLZ150	0.00	-2.90	2.90	0.00	-2.90	2.90				
LC 13	DL+LL-WLZ150	0:00	5.80	5.80	0.00	5.80	5.80				
LC 14	DL+LL+DBE(X)+DBE(Y)+DBE(Z)	-0.10	-2.20	2.20	6.70	-4.30	7.96				
LC 15	DL+LL-DBE(X)-DBE(Y)-DBE(Z)	6.70	2.20	7.05	0.10	4.30	4.30				
LC 16	DL+LL+MHE(X)+MHE(Y)+MHE(Z)	-0.20	-5.80	5.80	17.20	-11.00	20.42				
LC 17	DL+LL-MHE(X)-MHE(Y)-MHE(Z)	17.20	-11.00	20.42	0.20	-5.70	5.70				

TABLE 13 : USF LATERAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION

8.4.5 Overturning Stability:

8.4.5.1 Stability factors have been calculated for three different load combinations on the USF. When in the set position, the stability factors were calculated for the working load condition as well as to check for the acceptance of the ANSI 4:1 overturning requirement. For the moving position, the frame is checked for the worst case loading while moving to ensure its stability. The stability factor is the ratio of the negative moment (overturning) to the positive moment (tie-downs/counterweight). Figures 10 and 11 depict the locations of each force, and Tables 14 through 16 calculate the stability factor for each load condition.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 25 of 325



TABLE 14 : USF LATERAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION

Set Position - Working Load ; Holstallatworking Position, Basket Fully Loaded, Spider (Loaded, Counterweights Installed, No Tie-Downs

<u>》(1)</u> 《古法学教授》	AG60 あたい おびい ひかいやき	AND AND AND AND A			A La and	CENTRAL AND A	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	《家长、进行《广省》中日的一	24.6 92	The second s	And the second
	Negative	Moment	an ta		Positive Moment					Safety Far	tor
Frame	Weight	Н	oist		Frame	e Weight	ł	loist		A CAR A CAR	
Force	Location	Force	Location	Fc	orce	Location	Force	Location	×.	Negative Moment =	-179.06 K-ft
		11.8 K	7.5 ft.	10.	.0 K	1.29 ft.			22	Positive Moment =	274.28 K-ft
									-SVE13A	Total Down Force =	60.39 K
Ва	sket	Spider	Baskets		Count	erweight	Tie Down		(1). (2)	Reaction at "A" =	50.37 K
Force	Location	Force	Location	Fc	orce	Location	Force	Location		Reaction at "B" =	10.02 K
2.71 K	5.66 ft.	1.25 K	6.00 ft.	22.	.5 K	9.69 ft.					TARGAS
2.71 K	5.66 ft.			4.	0 K	10.85 ft.			C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		The Barrie And
2.71 K	9.66 ft.								100	Saftey Factor	1.53
2.71 K	9.66 ft.									•	

TABLE 15 : USF LATERAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION

Hoisi	Set Position - ANSI A120.1-2001 4:1 Stability Factor . Hoist all the way out, Basket Fully Loaded, Countervergitie Installed, Spider Loaded, Tile Downs Installed										
Negative Moment					Positive	Moment		Sofow For			
Frame	Weight	Н	oist	Fram	e Weight	ŀ	loist	Jaiety I au			
Force	Location	Force	Location	Force	Location	Force	Location	Negative Moment =	-179.06 K-ft		
		11.8 K	7.5 ft.	10.0 K	1.29 ft.			Positive Moment =	761.78 K-ft		
								* Total Down Force =	110.39 K		
Ва	sket	Spider	Baskets	Coun	Counterweight		Down	Reaction at "A" =	49.05 K		
Force	Location	Force	Location	Force	Location	Force	Location	Reaction at "B" =	61.34 K		
2.71 K	5.66 ft.	1.25 K	6.00 ft.	22.5 K	9.69 ft.	25.0 K	9.75 ft.	A CONTRACTOR OF			
2.71 K	5.66 ft.			4.0 K	10.85 ft.	25.0 K	9.75 ft.		Participant in the second		
2.71 K	9.66 ft.							Saftey Factor	4.25		
2.71 K	9.66 ft.							Caicula	ation 507-0033		

Page 26 of 325



1



TABLE 16 : USF LATERAL REACTIONS - SET POSITION

Moving Position - Transit Loads Basket Fully Loaded, Counterweights installed NG the downs of Hoist										
Negative Moment					Positive	Moment		Sofoty Foo		
Frame Weight		н	oist	Fran	ne Weight	ŀ	loist	Salety rac		
Force Location	٦	Force	Location	Force	Location	Force	Location	Negative Moment =	-157.83 K-ft	
, 10.0 K 2.14 ft						9.7 K	3.5 ft.	Positive Moment =	254.87 K-ft	
	<u>.</u>	je i st		×	,			Total Down Force =	68.29 K	
Basket		Spider	Baskets	Cou	nterweight	Tie	Down	Reaction at "A" =	58.08 K	
Force Location	١	Force	Location	Force	Location	Force	Location	Reaction at "B" =	10.21 K	
2.71 K 9.45 ft		1.25 K	9.45 ft.	22.5 K	5.90 ft.	5.0 K	6.0 ft.		- The state	
2.71 K 9.45 ft				4.0 K	7.06 ft.	5.0 K	6.0 ft.	* 		
2.71 K 13.45 ft			·		,			Saftey Factor	1.61	
2.71 K 13.45 ft								Ę		

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 27 of 325



<u>ATTACHMENT A</u> – ASME SECTION XI, 1992 EDITION WITH 1992 ADDENDA

> Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 28 of 325

SUBSECTION IWL REQUIREMENTS FOR CLASS CC CONCRETE COMPONENTS OF LIGHT-WATER COOLED PLANTS

IWL-1000	Scope and Responsibility	251
IWL-1100	Scope	251
IWL-1200	Items Subject to Examination	251
IWL-1210	Examination Requirements	251
IWL-1220	Items Exempt From Examination	251
IWL-2000	Examination and Inspection	252
IWL-2100	Inspection	252
IWL-2200	Preservice Examination	252
IWL-2210	Examination Schedule	252
IWL-2220	Examination Requirements	252
IWL-2220.1	Concrete	252
IWL-2220.2	Unbonded Post-Tensioning Systems	252
IWL-2230	Preservice Examination of Repairs and Modifications	252
IWL-2300	Visual Examination, Personnel Qualification, and Responsible Engineer	252
IWL-2310	Visual Examination and Personnel Qualification	252
IWL-2320	Responsible Engineer	252.1
IWL-2400	Inservice Inspection Schedule	252.1
IWL-2410	Concrete	252.1
IWL-2420	Unbonded Post-Tensioning Systems	252.1
IWL-2421	Sites With Two Plants	253
IWL-2500	Examination Requirements	253
IWL-2510	Examination of Concrete	253
IWL-2520	Examination of Unbonded Post-Tensioning Systems	253
IWL-2521	Tendon Selection	253
IWL-2521.1	Exemptions	253
IWL-2522	Tendon Force Measurements	255
IWL-2523	Tendon Wire and Strand Sample Examination and Testing	255
IWL-2523.1	Tendon Detensioning and Sample Removal	255
IWL-2523.2	Sample Examination and Testing	255
IWL-2523.3	Retensioning	255
IWL-2524	Examination of Tendon Anchorage Areas	255
IWL-2524.1	Visual Examination	255
IWL-2524.2	Free Water Documentation	255
IWL-2525	Examination of Corrosion Protection Medium and Free Water	255
IWL-2525.1	Samples	255
IWL-2525.2	Sample Analysis	256
IWL-2526	Removal and Replacement of Corrosion Protection Medium	256

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 29 of 325 A92

Gi,

Ì

1WL-3000	Acceptance Standards	257
IWL-3100	Preservice Examination	257
IWL-3110	Concrete Surface Condition	257
IWL-3111	Acceptance by Examination,	257
IWL-3112	Acceptance by Evaluation	257
IWL-3113	Acceptance by Repair	257
IWL-3120	Unbonded Post-Tensioning System	257
IWL-3200	Inservice Examination	257
IWL-3210	Concrete Surface Condition	257
IWL-3211	Acceptance by Examination	257
IWL-3212	Acceptance by Evaluation	257
IWL-3213	Acceptance by Repair	257
IWL-3220	Unbonded Post-Tensioning Systems	257
IWL-3221	Acceptance by Examination	257
IWL-3221.1	Tendon Force	257
IWL-3221.2	Tendon Wire or Strand Samples	257
IWL-3221.3	Tendon Anchorage Areas	258
IWL-3221.4	Corrosion Protection Medium	258
IWL-3222	Acceptance by Evaluation	258
IWL-3223	Acceptance by Repair or Replacement	258
IWL-3300	Evaluation	258
IWL-3310	Evaluation Report	258
IWL-3320	Review by Authorities	258
F7177 4000		
1WL-4000	Repair Procedures	259
IWL-4100	General	259
IWL-4110		259
IWL-4120	Repair/Replacement Program	259
IWL-4200	Repair Plan	259
IWL-4210	Concrete Repair	259
IWL-4220	Repair of Reinforcing Steel	259
1WL-4230	Repair of the Post-Tensioning System	259
IWL-4300	Examination	259
IWL-5000	System Pressure Tests	260
IWL-5100	Scope	260
1WL-5200	System Test Requirements	260
IWL-5210	General	260
IWL-5220	Test Pressure	260
IWL-5230	Leakage Test	260
IWL-5240	Schedule of Pressure Test	260
IWL-5250	Test Procedure and Examinations	260
IWL-5260	Corrective Measures	260
IWL-5300	Report	260
IWL-7000	Replacements	261
IWL-7100	General Requirements	261
IWL-7110	Scope	261
TWL-7120	Replacement Program	261
Tables		
TWL-2500-1	Examination Categories	254
TWL-2521-1	Number of Tendons for Examination	255
TWL-2525-1 🗯	Corrosion Protection Medium Analysis	256

A.92

250

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 30 of 325

•

ARTICLE IWL-1000 SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY

IWL-1100 SCOPE

(a) This Subsection provides the rules and requirements for preservice examination, inservice inspection and repair of the reinforced concrete and the posttensioning systems of Class CC components, herein referred to as concrete containments as defined by CC-1000.

(b) The rules and requirements of this Subsection do not apply to the following:

(1) steel portions not backed by concrete;

(2) shell metallic liners;

(3) penetration liners extending the containment liner through the surrounding shell concrete.

IWL-1200 ITEMS SUBJECT TO EXAMINATION

IWL-1210 EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS

The examination requirements of this Subsection shall apply to concrete containments.

IWL-1220 ITEMS EXEMPT FROM EXAMINATION

The following items are exempt from the examination requirements of IWL-2000:

(a) tendon end anchorages that are inaccessible, subject to the requirements of IWL-2521.1;

(b) portions of the concrete surface that are covered by the liner, foundation material, or backfill, or are otherwise obstructed by adjacent structures, components, parts, or appurtenances.

> Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 31 of 325

ARTICLE IWL-2000 EXAMINATION AND INSPECTION

IWL-2100 INSPECTION

Examinations shall be verified by an Inspector.

IWL-2200 PRESERVICE EXAMINATION

Preservice examination shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of IWL-2500.

IWL-2210 EXAMINATION SCHEDULE

Preservice examination shall be completed prior to initial plant startup.

IWL-2220 EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS

IWL-2220.1 Concrete

(a) Preservice examination shall be performed in accordance with IWL-2510.

(b) The preservice examination shall be performed following completion of the containment Structural Integrity Test.

IWL-2220.2 Unbonded Post-Tensioning Systems. The following information shall be documented in the preservice examination records. This information may be extracted from construction records.

(a) Date on which each tendon was tensioned.

(b) Initial seating force in each tendon.

(c) For each tendon anchorage, the location of all missing or broken wires or stands and unseated wires.(d) For each tendon anchorage, the location of all

missing or detached buttonheads or missing wedges. (e) The product designation for the corrosion pro-

tection medium used to fill the tendon duct.

IWL-2230 PRESERVICE EXAMINATION OF REPAIRS AND MODIFICATIONS

(a) When a concrete containment or a portion thereof is repaired or modified during the service lifetime of a plant, the preservice examination requirements shall be met for the repair or modification.

(b) When the repair or modification is performed while the plant is not in service, the preservice examination shall be performed prior to resumption of service.

(c) When the repair or modification is performed while the plant is in service, the preservice examination may be deferred to the next scheduled outage.

IWL-2300 VISUAL EXAMINATION, PERSONNEL QUALIFICATION, AND RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER

IWL-2310 VISUAL EXAMINATION AND PERSONNEL QUALIFICATION

(a) VT-1C visual examinations are conducted to determine concrete deterioration and distress for suspect areas detected by VT-3C, and conditions (e.g., cracks, wear, or corrosion) of tendon anchorage and wires or strands. Minimum illumination, maximum direct examination distance, and maximum procedure demonstration lower case character height shall be as specified in IWA-2210 for VT-1 visual examination.

(b) VT-3C visual examinations are conducted to determine the general structural condition of concrete surfaces of containments by identifying areas of concrete deterioration and distress, such as defined in ACI 201.1 R-68. The minimum illumination, maximum direct examination distance, and maximum procedure demonstration lower case character height shall be as specified in IWA-2210 for VT-3 visual examination.

(c) The Owner's written practice shall define qualification requirements for concrete examination personnel in accordance with IWA-2300. Limited certification in accordance with IWA-2350 may be used for examiners limited to concrete.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 32 of 325

IWL-2320

<u>ت</u>

IWL-2320 RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER

The Responsible Engineer shall be a Registered Professional Engineer experienced in evaluating the inservice condition of structural concrete. The Responsible Engineer shall have knowledge of the design and Construction Codes and other criteria used in design and construction of concrete containments in nuclear power plants.

The Responsible Engineer shall be responsible for the following:

(a) development of plans and procedures for examination of concrete surfaces;

(b) approval, instruction, and training of concrete examination personnel;

(c) evaluation of examination results;

(d) preparation of repair procedures;

(e) submittal of report to the Owner documenting results of examinations and repairs.

IWL-2400 INSERVICE INSPECTION SCHEDULE

IWL-2410 CONCRETE

(a) Concrete shall be examined in accordance with IWL-2510 at 1, 3, and 5 years following the comple-

tion of the containment Structural Integrity Test CC-6000 and every 5 years thereafter.

(b) The 1, 3, and 5 year examinations shall commence not more than 6 months prior to the specified dates and shall be completed not more than 6 months after such dates. If plant operating conditions are such that examination of portions of the concrete cannot be completed within this stated time interval, examination of those portions may be deferred until the next regularly scheduled plant outage.

(c) The 10 year and subsequent examinations shall commence not more than 1 year prior to the specified dates and shall be completed not more than 1 year after such dates.

IWL-2420 UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEMS

(a) Unbonded post-tensioning systems shall be examined in accordance with IWL-2520 at 1, 3, and 5 years following the completion of the containment Structural Integrity Test and every 5 years thereafter.

(b) The 1, 3, and 5 year examinations shall com-

mence not more than 6 months prior to the specified dates and shall be completed not more than 6 months after such dates. If plant operating conditions are such that examination of portions of the post-tensioning system cannot be completed within this stated time interval, examination of those portions may be deferred until the next regularly scheduled plant outage.

(c) The 10 year and subsequent examinations shall commence not more than 1 year prior to the specified dates and shall be completed not more than 1 year after such dates.

IWL-2421 Sites With Two Plants

IWL-2420

(a) For sites with two plants, the examination requirements for the concrete containments may be modified if both containments utilize the same prestressing system and are essentially identical in design, if posttensioning operations for the two containments were completed not more than 2 years apart, and if both containments are similarly exposed to or protected from the outside environment.

(b) When the conditions of IWL-2421(a) are met, the inspection dates and examination requirements may be as follows.

(1) For the containment with the first Structural Integrity Test, all examinations required by IWL-2500 shall be performed at 1, 3, 10, 20, and 30 years. Only the examinations required by IWL-2524 and IWL-2525 need be performed at 5, 15, 25, and 35 years.

(2) For the containment with the second Structural Integrity Test, all examinations required by IWL-2500 shall be performed at 1, 5, 15, 25, and 35 years. Only the examinations required by IWL-2524 and IWL-2525 need be performed at 3, 10, 20, and 30 years.

IWL-2500 EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS

Examination shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of Table IWL-2500-1.

A92 [WL-2510 EXAMINATION OF CONCRETE

(1) Concrete surface areas, including coated areas, except those exempted by IWL-1200(b), shall be VT-3C visual examined for evidence of conditions indicative of damage or degradation, such as defined in ACI

253

201.1 R-68, in accordance with IWL-2310(b). Selected areas, such as those that indicate suspect conditions, shall receive a VT-1C examination in accordance with IWL-2310(a).

(b) The examination shall be performed by, or under the direction of, the Responsible Engineer.

(c) Visual examinations may be performed from floors, roofs, platforms, walkways, ladders, ground surface, or other permanent vantage points, unless temporary close-in access is required by the inspection plan.

IWL-2520 EXAMINATION OF UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEMS

IWL-2521 Tendon Selection

(a) Tendons to be examined during an inspection shall be selected on a random basis except as noted in IWL-2521(b) and (c). The population from which the random sample is drawn shall consist of all tendons which have not been examined during earlier inspections. The number of tendons to be examined during an inspection shall be as specified in Table IWL-2521-1.

(b) One tendon of each type (as defined in Table IWL-2521-1) shall be selected from the first year inspection sample and designated as a common tendon. Each common tendon shall be examined during each inspection. A common tendon shall not be detensioned unless required by IWL-3300. If a common tendon is detensioned, another common tendon of the same type shall be selected from the first year inspection sample.

(c) If a containment with a stranded post-tensioning system is constructed with a predesignated number of detensionable tendons, one tendon of each type shall be selected from among those which are detensionable. The remaining tendons shall be selected from among those which cannot be detensioned.

IWL-2521.1 Exemptions. The following requirements shall apply to tendon anchorages that are not accessible for examination because of safety or radiological hazards or because of structural obstructions. (a) After the process of randomly selecting tendons to be examined, any inaccessible tendons shall be designated as exempt and removed from the sample. (b) Substitute tendons shall be selected for all tendons designated as exempt. Each substitute tendon shall be selected so that it is located as close as possible to

> Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 34 of 325

A92

Item No.

L1.10

L1.11

L1.12

Suspect Areas

	TAB Examin	LE IWL-2500-1 ATION CATEGORIES	کـــــــ			
	EXAMINATION	CATEGORY L-A, CON	CRETE			
Parts Examined	Test or Examination Requirement	Test or Examination Method	Acceptance Standard	Extent of Examination	Frequency of Examination	Deferral of Examination
Concrete Surface	a de la companya de l Na companya de la comp					
All Areas	1WL-2510	Visual, VT-3C	1WL-3210	IWL-2510	1WL-2410	NA

IWL-3210

IWL-2510

IWL-2410

Ę

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 35 of 325

FYAMINATION CATEGORY L.B. UNRONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEM

Visual, VT-1C

IWL-2510

	EA	ANIMATION CATEGORT L	-B, UNBUNDED PUST-TE	INSTONTING STST			
lter No	n Parts Examined	Test or Examination Requirement	Test or Examination Method	Acceptance Standard	Extent of Examination	Frequency of Examination	Deferral of Examination
L2.1	0 Tendon	IWL-2522	IWL-2522	IWL-3221.1	IWL-2521	IWL-2420	NA
L2.2	0 Wire or Strand	IWL-2523	IWL-2523.2	IWL-3221.2	IWL-2523.1	IWL-2420	, NA
L2.3	0 Anchorage Hardware and Surrounding Concrete	IWL-2524	Visual, VT-1 and VT-1C	IWL-3221.3	IWL-2524.1	IWL-2420	NA
L2.4	0 Corrosion Protection Medium	IWL-2525	IWL-2525.2(a)	IWL-3221.4	IWL-2525.1(a)	IWL-2420	NA
L2.5	0 Free Water	IWL-2525	IWL-2525.2(b)	I	IWL-2525.1(b)	IWL-2420	NA

٠

Ċ, 2

ŇA

	TABLE IWL-2521-1										
NUMBER	0F	TENDONS	FOR	EXAMINATION							

Inspection Period	Percentage ^{1,2} of all Tendons of Each Type ³	Required Minimum ¹ Number of Each Type	Maximum Required Number of Each Type
lst year	4	4	10
3rd year	. 4	4	10
5th year	4	4	10
10th year	2	3	5
15th year	2	3	5
20th year	2	3	5
25th year	2	3	5
30th year	2	3	5
35th year	2	3	5

NOTES:

- (1) Fractional tendon numbers shall be rounded to the next higher integer. Actual number examined shall not be less than the minimum required number and need not be more than the maximum required number.
- (2) The reduced sample size listed for the 10th year and subsequent inspections is applicable only if the acceptance criteria of IWL-3221.1 are met during each of the earlier inspections.
- (3) A tendon type is defined by its geometry and position in the containment; e.g., hoop, vertical, dome, helical, and inverted U.

the exempted tendon, and shall be examined in accordance with IWL-2520.

(c) Each exempted tendon shall be examined in accordance with IWL-2524 and IWL-2525 to the extent that the end anchorages of the exempt tendon are accessible either during operation or at an outage.

IWL-2522 Tendon Force Measurements

(a) The prestressing force in all inspection sample tendons shall be measured by lift-off or an equivalent test.

(b) Equipment used to measure tendon force shall be calibrated in accordance with a calibration procedure prior to the first tendon force measurement and following the final tendon force measurement of the inspection period. Accuracy of the calibration shall be within 1.5% of the specified minimum ultimate strength of the tendon. If the post-test calibration differs from the pretest calibration by more than the specified accuracy tolerance, the results of the examination shall be evaluated.

IWL-2523 Tendon Wire and Strand Sample Examination and Testing

IWL-2523.1 Tendon Detensioning and Sample temoval. One sample tendon of each type shall be completely detensioned. A single wire or strand shall be removed from each detensioned tendon.

IWL-2523.2 Sample Examination and Testing

(a) Each removed wire or strand shall be examined over its entire length for corrosion and mechanical damage. The examination shall determine the location of most severe corrosion, if any. Strand wires shall be examined for wedge slippage marks.

(b) Tension tests shall be performed on each removed wire or strand: one at each end, one at midlength, and one in the location of the most corroded area, if any. The following information shall be obtained from each test:

- (1) yield strength
- (2) ultimate tensile strength
- (3) elongation

IWL-2523.3 Retensioning. Tendons that have been detensioned shall be retensioned to at least the force predicted for the tendon at the time of the test. However, the retensioning force shall not exceed 70% of the specified minimum ultimate tensile strength of the tendon based on the number of effective wires or strands in the tendon at the time of retensioning.

IWL-2524 Examination of Tendon Anchorage Areas 2 2 1

IWL-2524.1 Visual Examination. A VT 1 visual examination in accordance with IWA 2411 shall be performed on the tendon anchorage hardware, including bearing plates, anchorheads, wedges, buttonheads, shims, and the concrete extending outward a distance of 2 ft from the edge of the bearing plate. The following shall be documented:

(a) concrete cracks having widths greater than 0.01 in.;

(b) corrosion, broken or protruding wires, missing buttonheads, broken strands, and cracks in tendon anchorage hardware;

(c) broken wires or strands, protruding wires and detached buttonheads following retensioning of tendons which have been detensioned.

IWL-2524.2 Free Water Documentation. The quantity of free water contained in the anchorage end cap as well as any which drains from the tendon during the examination process shall be documented.

IWL-2525 Examination of Corrosion Protection Medium and Free Water

IWL-2525.1 Samples

(a) Samples of the corrosion protection medium shall

255

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 36 of 325

TABLE IWL-2525-1 CORROSION PROTECTION MEDIUM ANALYSIS

Characteristic	Test Method	Acceptance Limit
Water content	ASTM D 95	In course of preparation
Water soluble chlorides	ASTM D 512 [Note (1)]	10 ppm maximum
Water soluble nitrates	ASTM D 992 [Note (1)]	10 ppm maximum
Water soluble sulfides	APHA 427 [Note (1)] (Methylene blue)	10 ppm maximum
Reserve alkalinity (Base number)	ASTM D 974 Modified [Note (2)]	[Note (3)]

NOTES:

(1) Water Soluble Ion Tests. The inside (bottom and sides) of a one (1) liter beaker, approx. OD 105 mm, height 145 mm, is thoroughly coated with 100 \pm 10 grams of the sample. The coated beaker is filled with approximately 900 ml of distilled water and heated in an oven at a controlled temperature of 100°F (37.8°C) \pm 2°F for 4 hours. The water extraction is tested by the noted test procedures for the appropriate water soluble ions. Results are reported as PPM in the extracted water.

(2) ASTM D 974 Modified. Place 10 g of sample in a 500 ml Erlenmeyer flask. Add 10 cc isopropyl alcohol and 5 cc toluene. Heat until sample goes into solution. Add 90 cc distilled water and 20 cc 1NH₂SO₄. Place solution on a steam bath for ½ hour. Stir well. Add a few drops of indicator (1% phenolphthalein) and titrate with 1NNaOH until the lower layer just turns pink. If acid or base solutions are not exactly 1N, the exact normalities should be used when calculating the base number. The Total Base Number (TBN), expressed as milligrams of KOH per gram of sample, is calculated as follows:

$$TBN = \frac{[(20) (N_A) - (B) (N_B)] 56.1}{W}$$

where

B=milliliters NaOH

 N_4 = normality of H₂SO₄ solution

 N_{g} = normality of NaOH solution

W = weight of sample in grams

(3) The base number shall be at least 50% of the as-installed value, unless the as-installed value is 5 or less, in which case the base number shall be no less than zero. If the tendon duct is filled with a mixture of materials having various as-installed base numbers, the lowest number shall govern acceptance.

be taken from each end of each tendon examined. Free water shall not be included in the samples.

(b) Samples of free water shall be taken where water is present in quantities sufficient for laboratory analysis.

IWL-2525.2 Sample Analysis

(a) Corrosion protection medium samples shall be thoroughly mixed and analyzed for reserve alkalinity, water content, and concentrations of water soluble chlorides, nitrates, and sulfides. Analyses shall be performed in accordance with the procedures specified in Table IWL-2525-1. (b) Free water samples shall be analyzed to determine pH.

IWL-2526 Removal and Replacement of Corrosion Protection Medium

The amount of corrosion protection medium removed at each anchorage shall be measured and the total amount removed from each tendon (two anchorages) shall be recorded. The total amount replaced in each tendon shall be recorded and differences between amount removed and amount replaced shall be documented.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 37 of 325

ARTICLE IWL-3000 ACCEPTANCE STANDARDS

IWL-3100 PRESERVICE EXAMINATION

IWL-3110 CONCRETE SURFACE CONDITION

TWL-3111 Acceptance by Examination

Ż

The condition of the surface is acceptable if the Responsible Engineer determines that there is no evidence of damage or degradation sufficient to warrant further evaluation or repair.

IWL-3112 Acceptance by Evaluation

Items with examination results that do not meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3111 shall be evaluated as required by IWL-3300.

IWL-3113 Acceptance by Repair

Repairs required to reestablish acceptability of an item shall be completed as required by IWL-3300. Acceptable completion of the repair shall constitute acceptability of the item.

IWL-3120 UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEM

The condition of the unbonded post-tensioning system is acceptable if it met the requirements of the construction specification at the time of installation.

IWL-3200 INSERVICE EXAMINATION

IWL-3210 CONCRETE SURFACE CONDITION

IWL-3211 Acceptance by Examination

The condition of the concrete surface is acceptable if the Responsible Engineer determines that there is no evidence of damage or degradation sufficient to warrant further evaluation or repair.

IWL-3212 Acceptance by Evaluation

Items with examination results that do not meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3211 shall be evaluated as required by IWL-3300.

IWL-3213 Acceptance by Repair

Repairs to reestablish the acceptability of an item shall be completed as required by IWL-3300. Acceptable completion of the repair shall constitute acceptability of the item.

IWL-3220 UNBONDED POST-TENSIONING SYSTEMS

IWL-3221 Acceptance by Examination

IWL-3221.1 Tendon Force. Tendon forces are acceptable if:

(a) the average of all measured tendon forces, including those measured in IWL-3221.1(b)(2), for each type of tendon is equal to or greater than the minimum required prestress specified at the anchorage for that type of tendon;

(b) the measured force in each individual tendon is not less than 95% of the predicted force unless the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) the measured force in not more than one tendon is between 90% and 95% of the predicted force;

(2) the measured forces in two tendons located adjacent to the tendon in IWL-3221.1(b)(1) are not less than 95% of the predicted forces; and

(3) the measured forces in all the remaining sample tendons are not less than 95% of the predicted force.

IWL-3221.2 Tendon Wire or Strand Samples. The condition of wire or strand samples is acceptable if: (a) samples are free of physical damage;

(b) sample ultimate tensile strength and elongation be not less than minimum specified values.

IWL-3221.3 Tendon Anchorage Areas. The condition of tendon anchorage areas is acceptable if:

(a) there is no evidence of cracking in anchor heads, shims, or bearing plates;

(b) there is no evidence of active corrosion;

(c) broken or unseated wires, broken strands, and detached buttonheads were documented and accepted during a preservice examination or during a previous inservice examination;

(d) cracks in the concrete adjacent to the bearing plates do not exceed 0.01 in. in width.

IWL-3221.4 Corrosion Protection Medium. Corrosion protection medium is acceptable when the reserve alkalinity, water content, and soluble ion concentrations of all samples are within the limits specified in Table IWL-2525-1.

IWL-3222 Acceptance by Evaluation

Items with examination results that do not meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3221 shall be evaluated as required by IWL-3300.

IWL-3223 Acceptance by Repair or Replacement

Repairs or replacements to reestablish acceptability the condition of an item shall be completed as reared by IWL-3300. Acceptable completion of the repair or replacement shall constitute acceptability of the item.

IWL-3300 EVALUATION

IWL-3310 EVALUATION REPORT

Items with examination results that do not meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3100 or IWL-3200 shall be evaluated by the Owner. The Owner shall be responsible for preparation of an Engineering Evaluation Report stating the following:

(a) the cause of the condition which does not meet the acceptance standards;

(b) the acceptability of the concrete containment without repair of the item;

(c) whether or not repair or replacement is required and, if required, the extent, method, and completion date for the repair or replacement;

(d) extent, nature, and frequency of additional examinations.

IWL-3320 REVIEW BY AUTHORITIES

The Engineering Evaluation Report shall be subject to review by the regulatory and enforcement authorities having jurisdiction at the plant site.

> Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 39 of 325

ARTICLE IWL-4000 REPAIR PROCEDURES

IWL-4100 GENERAL

IWL-4110 SCOPE

This Article provides rules and requirements for repair of concrete containments.

IWL-4120 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

(a) Repairs shall be performed in accordance with the Repair/Replacement Program required by IWA-4140.

(b) Repairs shall be completed in accordance with the Repair Plan of IWL-4200.

(c) The Repair/Replacement Program shall address concrete material control.

IWL-4200 REPAIR PLAN

The Repair Plan shall be developed under the direction of a Responsible Engineer (IWL-2500).

IWL-4210 CONCRETE REPAIR

(a) The Repair Plan shall specify requirements for removal of defective material.

(b) The affected area shall be visually examined to assure proper surface preparation of concrete and reinforcing steel prior to placement of repair material.

(c) When removal of defective material exposes reinforcing steel, the reinforcing steel shall receive a VT-1 visual examination. Reinforcing steel is acceptable when the Responsible Engineer determines that there is no evidence of damage or degradation sufficient to warrant further evaluation or repair. When required, reinforcing steel shall be repaired in accordance with IWL-4220. Repair of exposed-end anchors of the post-tensioning system shall be in accordance with IWL-4230.

(d) Repair material shall be chemically, mechanically, and physically compatible with existing concrete.

(e) When detensioning of prestressing tendons is required for repair of the concrete surface adjacent to the tendon, the Repair Plan shall require the following:

(1) selection of repair material to minimize stress and strain incompatibilities between repair material and existing concrete;

(2) procedures for application of repair material;

(3) procedures for detensioning and retensioning of prestressing tendons.

(f) The Repair Plan shall specify requirements for in-process sampling and testing of repair material.

IWL-4220 REPAIR OF REINFORCING STEEL

Damaged reinforcing steel shall be repaired by any method permitted in the original Construction Code or in Section III, Division 2, with or without removal of the damaged reinforcing steel.

IWL-4230 REPAIR OF THE POST-TENSIONING SYSTEM

(a) Weld repair of bearing plates and shim plates of the post-tensioning system shall meet the applicable requirements of IWA-4000. The corrosion protection medium shall be restored following the repair.

(b) Procedures for detensioning and retensioning of prestressing tendons shall be specified in the Repair Plan.

IWL-4300 EXAMINATION

The repaired area shall be examined in accordance with TWL-2000 to establish a new preservice record and shall meet the acceptance standards of IWL-3000.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 40 of 325

ARTICLE IWL-5000 SYSTEM PRESSURE TESTS

IWL-5100 SCOPE

A92

This Article provides requirements for pressure testing concrete containments following repair or replacement.

IWL-5200 SYSTEM TEST REQUIREMENTS

IWL-5210 GENERAL

A containment pressure test shall be performed following repair or replacement unless any of the following conditions exist:

(a) The Engineering Evaluation Report (IWL-3310) demonstrates that the structural integrity of containment in the existing unrepaired condition has not been reduced below that required by the original design criteria.

(b) The repair or replacement affects only the cover concrete external to the outermost layer of structural reinforcing steel or post-tensioning tendons.

(c) The repair or replacement involves only exchange of post-tensioning tendons, tendon anchorage hardware, shims, or corrosion protection medium.

IWL-5220 TEST PRESSURE

The pressure test shall be conducted at the design basis accident pressure, P_a .

IWL-5230 LEAKAGE TEST

If the repair or replacement penetrated the containment metallic liner, or otherwise breached containment leak-tight integrity, a leakage rate test shall be conducted as required by IWE-5000.

IWL-5240 SCHEDULE OF PRESSURE TEST

If the repair or replacement is performed with the plant shutdown, the pressure test shall be conducted prior to resumption of operation. If the repair or replacement is performed with the plant in operation, the pressure test may be deferred until the next scheduled integrated leak-rate test.

IWL-5250 TEST PROCEDURE AND EXAMINATIONS

The pressure test shall be conducted in accordance with a detailed procedure prepared under the direction of the Responsible Engineer. The surface of all containment concrete placed during repair or replacement operations shall be examined by VT-1 examination prior to start of pressurization, at test pressure, and following completion of depressurization. Extended surface examinations, additional examinations during pressurization, other examinations, and measurements of structural response to pressure shall be conducted as specified by the Responsible Engineer.

IWL-5260 CORRECTIVE MEASURES

If the surface examinations of IWL-5250 cannot satisfy the requirements specified by the Responsible Engineer, the area shall be examined to the extent necessary to establish requirements for corrective action. Repairs shall be performed in accordance with IWL-4000, and pressure testing shall be repeated in accordance with IWL-5200, prior to returning the containment to service.

IWL-5300 REPORT

A pressure test report shall be prepared under the direction of the Responsible Engineer. This report may be an addition to a previously-prepared Engineering Evaluation Report (IWL-3310). The report shall describe pressure test procedures and examination results and shall state whether or not the repair or replacement is acceptable. If the repair or replacement is not acceptable, the report shall specify corrective measures.

Calculation S07-0033 Revision 0 Attachment 2 Page 41 of 325

ARTICLE IWL-7000 REPLACEMENTS

IWL-7100 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS IWL-7110 SCOPE

(a) This Article provides rules and requirements for reinstallation and replacement of post-tensioning system items for concrete containments.

(b) Grease caps and installation screws are exempt from the requirements of this Article.

IWL-7120 REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

The following items, as applicable, shall be contained in the Replacement Plan: (a) requirements for removal of items that are to be replaced;

(b) surface preparation required prior to installation of replacement items;

(c) examinations required prior to installation of replacement items;

(d) detensioning and retensioning requirements for tendons affected by installation of replacement items;

(e) requirements and procedures applicable to installation of replacement items;

(f) in-process sampling and testing requirements to be performed during installation of replacement items.

	-ivi 8.4		л 2								
NGG Store	r Non			Visı	ual Exami	nation o	f IWL (VT-1)			
Site/Unit:	CR3	1	3		Proced	ure:	NAP-02	Outa	ge No.:	RF	D16-IWE
Summary No.:		L1.11.0	015		Procedure R	ev.:	3	Repo	ort No.:	νт	-09-197
Workscope:		ISI			Work Order I	No.:	1378246		Page:	1	of _1
Code: ASME Sect.	XI 2001	Ed/200)3 Add	Cat./Iten	n: L-A/L1	.11 Lo	ocation:	EL. 119	' to EL.	267' 6'	17
Drawing No.:	s	-425-0(Description	Concrete S	urface				
System ID: Co	ncrete C	ontain	ment		·						
Component ID: RB	CN-001	5		-	· · ·						
Limitations: BE	TWEEN	BUTTR	ESSE	S 3 AND	4 ONLY		<u> </u>				
Resolution: Case	Charac	ter Car	d NDE-	-022		Surface Co	ondition: AS FOUN	ID		к	
Visual Equipment//	\ids: 6"	SCALE	., FLAS	SHLIGHT	, BINOCULARS	S, TAPE MEA	SURE				
Inspected From:		Outsi	de Con	itainment	Galler	y/Pits ()	Both ()				
Light Meter Mfg.:		N/A	<u>، </u>		Serial No.:	N/A	L	Illuminati	ion:	(N/A
Light Verification T	mes: C	al In 🔽		0815		1200	/ 1545	Cal (Dut 🔽		1645
Visual Examination	r:	Direct	/Remo	te					<u> </u>		
					-4 0						
				vei	it system or c	ontainment	Surfaces				
Coated Areas					See	Non-Coa	ited Areas 🗶				See
	A	ccept	Reject	N/A C	omments			Accept	Reject	N/A	Comm
1) Spalling					X	12) Reinforci	ing Bar Corrosion				X
2) Cracking		<u></u>			X	13) Rust Blee	eding	X	<u> </u>	. —	
3) Delaminations	;	X				14) Tendon S	Surface Cracking	X	<u> </u>	—	_
4) Honeycomb		<u> </u>	—	—	X	15) Effloresc	ense Deteb Dend	X			
6) Chemical Lea	.aye china	A V	—	—	—	17) Voide	s Patch Bond	<u> </u>			Y
7) Popouts	ching	Δ	—	—	x	18) Erosion		A X			—
8) Deflection		x			Δ.	19) Pitting		X			_
-,		X	_		—	20) Abrasion	1	X			
9) Staining					x	21) Segregat	tion	v			
9) Staining 10) Discoloration						zi) Seyreya		~			

. .



Supplemental Report

Antikaar 🖉						Report No.:	VT	09-1	97
						Page:	2	of .	16
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015								
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	<u> </u>	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:		
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	- 11	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:	. •	
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:		

Comments:

THE EXAMINATION AREA BETWEEN BUTTRESSES 3 AND 4 EXHIBIT MULTIPLE CRACKS, BUG HOLES, POPOUTS, SCALING AND DISCOLORATION. LISTED BELOW ARE AREAS OF NEW CONCERN OR AREAS THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED BUT APPEAR TO HAVE GROWN IN SIZE. REFERENCE PAGE 1 OF THIS REPORT FOR CONDITIONS

ITEM #1 - CONDITION 1: 2 SPALLED AREAS (1) 10" LONG X 5" WIDE X 1/2" DEEP (2) 26" LONG X 20" WIDE X 1" DEEP. REFERENCE PAGE 3 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 4 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #2 - CONDITION 1: SPALL 24" X 18" X 1/2" DEEP. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 4 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #3 - CONDITION 1 AND 2: SPALL AREA 14" LONG X 3" WIDE X 1/4" DEEP AND CRACK 16" LONG X 1/8" WIDE X 1/4" DEEP. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 5 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #4 - CONDITION 7: POPOUT 3" DIAMETER X 1" DEEP. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 6 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #5 - CONDITION 2: CRACK RUNNING THE LENGTH OF THE HORIZONTAL CONSTRUCTION JOINT. 1 1/2" DEEP AT MAXIMUM EXTENDING DOWN 86" AT THE JUNCTION OF BUTTRESS 4 AND THE FACE OF CONTAINMENT CONCRETE. RANDOM AREAS OF SPALLING WERE NOTED IN THE 86" VERTICAL CRACK. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 7 & 8 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #6 - CONDITION 2: CRACK 5' 4" LONG X 1/2" DEEP. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 9 & 10 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #7 - CONDITION 7: POPOUT 4" X 2" X 3/4" DEEP. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 11 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #8 - CONDITION 1, 2 AND 10: SPALL CRACK AND DISCOLORATION. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 12 & 13 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #9 - CONDITION 1: CRACK 6" LONG IN CONSTRUCTION JOINT. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 14 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #10 - CONDITION 1 AND 12: SPALL AND REINFORCING BAR CORROSION. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 15 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH.

ITEM #11 - CONDITION 2: CRACK. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 16 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH .

ITEM #12 - CONDITION 2: CRACK. REFERENCE PAGE 3 OF 16 FOR LOCATION AND PAGE 16 OF 16 FOR PHOTOGRAPH





Report No.: VT-09-197 Page: 3 of 16

Summary No.: L1.11.0015

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-0.jpg





Supplemental Report

						Report No .:	VT-0	09-19	7
						Page:		of	16
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015								
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	1	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:		
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	<u> </u>	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:		
Other:	N/A	Level:	<u>N/A</u>	ANII Review:	Mari Larramore		Date:		

Comments: ITEM #1 (LEFT) ITEM #2 (RIGHT)

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-1.jpg

L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-2.jpg





Comments: ITEM #3

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-3.jpg



RIDON	Supplemental Report									
NGG	eneration roup					Report No.:	V	-09-1	97	
						Page:	6	of	16	
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015									
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	<u> </u>	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:			
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	<u> </u>	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:			
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:			

Comments: ITEM #4

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-4.jpg





Supplemental Report

						Report No .:	VT-09-197		
						Page:	7	of <u>16</u>	
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015								
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	<u>II</u>	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:		
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	11	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:		
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:		

Comments: ITEM #5 SHT #1 (LEFT) ITEM #5 SHT #2 (RIGHT)

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-5-1.jpg

L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-5-2.jpg





Supplemental Report

						Report No.:		VT-09-197		
						Page:	8	of	16	
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015	a hii sa wata in interna in sa sa sa sa sa								
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	11	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:			
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	11	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:			
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:			

Comments: ITEM #5 SHT #3 (LEFT) ITEM #5 SHT #4 (RIGHT)

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-5-3.jpg L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-5-4.jpg



NGG Seneration Group		Supplemental Report										
						Report No.:	V	-09-1	97			
- •						Page:	9	of	16			
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015											
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:		Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:					
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:		Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:					
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:					

Comments: ITEM #6 SHT #1

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-6-1.jpg



NGG Nuclear Generation Group		Supplemental Report											
						Report No.:	VT	-09-1	97				
•						Page:	10	of	16				
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015												
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	<u> </u>	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:						
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	<u> </u>	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:						
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date: _						

Comments: ITEM #6 SHT #2

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-6-2.jpg



NGG Nuclear Generation Group		Supplemental Report											
						Report No.:	רע	-09-1	97				
						Page:	11	of	16				
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015												
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	- 11	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:						
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:		Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:						
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:						
								too a second					

Comments: ITEM #7

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-7.jpg



NGG Nuclear Generation Group		Sup	Supplemental Report											
						Report No.:	V	-09-1	97					
Man. •						Page:	12	of	16					
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015													
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	<u>II</u>	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:							
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:		Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:							
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:							

Comments: ITEM #8 SHT #1

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-8-1.jpg



RICO Nuclear		Sup	Supplemental Report								
INGG	Generation Group					Report No.:	T	-09-1	97		
						Page:	13	of	16		
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015										
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:		Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:				
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:		Site Review:	Rick Portmann	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date:				
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:				

Comments: ITEM #8 SHT # 2

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-8-2.jpg



NGG Nuclear Generation Group		Sup	Supplemental Report										
						Report No.:	т	-09-1	97				
						Page:	14	of	16				
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015												
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:		Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:						
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:		Site Review:	Rick Portmann	···	Date:						
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:						

Comments: ITEM #9

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-9.jpg





Supplemental Report

INUU	Group					Report No.:	ort No.: VT-09		97
-						Page:	15	of	16
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015								
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:		Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:		
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	<u> </u>	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:		
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore		Date:		

Comments: ITEM #10

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-10.jpg





Supplemental Report

						Report No.:		09-19	7
					Page:	16	of	16	
Summary No.:	L1.11.0015								
Examiner:	Antill, Johnny S.	Level:	11	Reviewer:	Joseph A. Lese		Date:		
Examiner:	Shepard, Rena	Level:	11	Site Review:	Rick Portmann		Date:		
Other:	N/A	Level:	N/A	ANII Review:	Marl Larramore	u da angel e senera a sere antes e sere a sere a sere a se E de sere a s	Date:		
- <u>1911</u>									

Comments: ITEM #11 (LEFT) ITEM# 12 (RIGHT)

Sketch or Photo: L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-11.jpg L:\Engineering\Tech Services\ISI IWE & IWL\Outage Info\RFO16 - IWE-IWL Info\Core Sample\BUTTRESS 3-4\VT-09-197-12.jpg



