

T. PRESTON GILLESPIE, Jr. Vice President Oconee Nuclear Station

Duke Energy ON01VP / 7800 Rochester Hwy. Seneca, SC 29672

**864-873-4478** 864-873-4208 fax T.Gillespie@duke-energy.com

October, 6, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Oconee Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-269 Licensee Event Report 269/2010-02, Revision 0 Problem Investigation Process No.: O-10-6174

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 Sections (a)(1) and (d), attached is Licensee Event Report 269/2010-02, Revision 0, regarding a Unit 1 manual reactor trip due to incorrect indications of increasing reactor coolant pump high vibration.

This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(iv)(A).

This event is considered to be of no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.

There are no regulatory commitments contained in this report.

Cause analysis for this event is not yet complete. Results will be provided in a supplement to this report.

Any questions regarding the content of this report should be directed to Corey Gray, Regulatory Compliance Group at 864-873-6325.

Sincerely,

KILLOSPIE T. Preston Gillespie Jr., Vice President Oconee Nuclear Station

Attachment

www.duke-energy.com

Document Control Desk October 6, 2010 Page 2

cc: Mr. Luis Reyes Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200 Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

> Mr. John Stang Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Andrew Sabisch NRC Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

INPO (Word File via E-mail)

NRC FORM 366       U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION         (9-2007)       APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104       EXPIRES: 08/31/2010         LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)       Expirate burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours.         Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and Fol A/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52).       U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.																	
1. FACI	1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE																
Ocon	Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1								5000-		0269		1 of <b>4</b>				
4. TITLE	E																
Manual Reactor Trip due to 1A1 and 1A2 Reactor Coolant Pump high vibration indication																	
5.	EVENT	DATE	6.	LER NUMBER		7	. REF	PORT	ORT DATE 8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED								
				SEQUENTIAL	REV								DOCKET NUMBER				
MO	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NO	мо							05000				
08	07	2010	2010	- 02	- 00	10		)6	2010		None 05000					UNBER	
		G MODE									REQUIREME		0.055			hat annly)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							20.2203(a)(3)(i) 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) 50.36(c)(2) 50.46(a)(3)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)				50.73(a)(2)(i)(C) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) X 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)				50.73(a)(2)(vii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(x) 73.71(a)(4) 73.71(a)(5) OTHER Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A		
					12. L		SEE (	CON	TACT FOR	THI	S LER					0111 300A	
NAME										TE	ELEPHONE NU	MBER (Inclu	ude Are	ea Co	de)		
Corey	Gra	y, Regula	tory Co	mpliance	Engin	eer						(864	<del>1</del> ) 87	3-6	325		
		1	3. COMP	LETE ONE LI	NE FOR	REACI	н со	MPO	NENT FAI	LUR	E DESCRIBE	D IN THIS	REP	ORT			
CAUS	SE	SYSTEM	COMPO	NENT FACTU	U- RER	REPORT TO E	ABLE PIX		CAUSE		SYSTEM COMPO			MANU- NENT FA CTURER		REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
X		AB	JX			Y											
·				ENTAL REPO					l .		15. EXPE SUBMIS	CTED SION	MOI		DAY	YEAR	
				TED SUBMIS					NO		DATI		1	2	06	2010	
16. ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) On August 7, 2010, at 1130 hours, while operating at 100%, Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS) Unit 1 received statalarm 1SA-9-D2 (Reactor Coolant Pump Vibration High). Operators entered the applicable response procedure that resulted in Unit 1 reducing power. Vibration readings continued to increase. At 1451 hours, with power at 17%, Control Room Operators manually tripped Unit 1 when the indicated vibration readings reached procedural limits. The post trip response was normal, with all major operating parameters remaining within expected limits. Operators took appropriate action to stabilize the unit in Mode 3 (hot standby). While the operators were controlling Pressurizer and Letdown Storage Tank Level (per procedure) the standby 1B High Pressure Injection (HPI) pump auto started due to low Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Flow.																	
failed power supply within the control module.																	
Corrective Actions included replacement of the Unit 1 Control module (500-IM22) and positioning the RCPs vibration equipment Power Supply Selector switches to "Auto".																	
This event is considered to have no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.																	

NRC FORM 366A

ť

## U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LE				3. PAGI	E				
		YEAR S	EQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER							
Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1	05000269	- 10 -	- 02 -	00	2	OF	4				
17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies	s of NRC Form 3	66A)									
EVALUATION:											
BACKGROUND											
The Unit 1 vibration sensor system sens four reactor coolant [AB] pumps (RCP). includes redundant power supplies [JX] which also includes redundant power su Power Supply No.1 position (or up), Pow center). When set in the Auto position a fails	RCPs 1A1 and RCPs 7 upplies. A th wer Supply 1	and 1A2 shar IB1 and 1B2 rree position s No.2 position	re a comn share a se switch in e (or down)	non powe eparate co each powe , or the A	r sourc ommor er sour uto pos	e which power ce can l sition (o	n source be in r				
There are three pumps per unit in the H supply both normal charging to the Rea Coolant Pumps. A second pump (A or I automatically via a non-safety low RCP two HPI pumps will start in response to	ctor Coolant B) is capable seal injectio	System (RC of starting m n flow signal.	S) and se anually v The C H	al injection ia control IPI pump :	n to the room s	é React switch o	or Pr				
This event is reportable per 10CFR 50.7 [JC] actuation, including a reactor [RCT]			valid Rea	ctor Prote	ctive S	System	(RPS)				
Prior to this event ONS Unit 1 was oper progress (testing, surveillance, mainten		le 1 at 100%	power wit	h no signi	ficant a	activities	s in				
At the time of the trip no safety systems this event.	or compone	ents were out-	-of-service	e that wou	ıld hav	e contri	buted to				
EVENT DESCRIPTION											
On August 7, 2010, at 1130 hours, Unit associated with RCPs when 1SA-9-D2 ( room operator referred to the applicable status computer. The operator reported	(RCP Vibrati alarm respo	ion High) stata onse guide ar	alarm [IB] nd dispate	activated	. The perator	Unit 1 c to the l	control RCP				
At 1211 hours the Operations Shift Man appropriate Abnormal Procedure (AP) b the indication on 1A1 RCP, control room vibration on the 1A2 RCP.	ecause of th	ne high vibrati	ion indicat	tion on 1A	1 RCF	P. In ad					
At 1435 hours control room operators h	ogon roducij	na l Init 1 now	or nor pre	andura h	000000	oftha					

At 1435 hours control room operators began reducing Unit 1 power per procedure because of the increasing vibration trend. While station engineering was troubleshooting the cause of the vibration indication, at 1451 hours, the reactor was at approximately 17% power and the vibration readings of

C FORM 366A			U.S. NUCL	EAR REGULATORY COMMISS
	LICENSE	EE EVENT	REPORT (LER)	
1. F	3. PAGE			
			YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVIS	
onee Nuclea	r Station, Unit <b>1</b>	05000269	10 _ 02 _ 00	<b>) 3</b> OF 4
NARRATIVE (If more	space is required, use additional copies	s of NRC Form 3	56A)	
	and 1A2 reached their proc n operators manually tripped			
actuation o (i.e. Emerg Power). A flow. The s	esponse during the down pour r actuation demands occurre ency Core Cooling, Containn second HPI pump automatic econd HPI pump operated for ction to compensate for post-	d related to nent Isolatio ally started o r a short per	emergency feedwater [BA] on [JM], Containment Spray/C lue to a non-safety signal fo iod (per procedure) to maint	or engineered safeguard Cooling, and Emergency r low RCP seal injection tain RCP seal flow. This
	oting results revealed that th system had failed.	e active pov	er supply (power supply No	.2) to the RCP vibration
CAUSAL F	ACTORS			
the RCPs a	l reactor trip was in accordar is required. The most proba with the RCP vibration moni	ble cause fo	the vibration readings was	
corrective a root cause	e evaluation team has been actions to prevent the reoccu evaluation had not been com t to this report.	rrence of thi	s event. At the time of this L	ER submittal, the
CORRECT	IVE ACTIONS			
Immediate				
1. RCPs v	ibration equipment Power S	upply selecto	or switches were set to "Auto	o" on Unit 1.
2. The fail	ed control module containing	the power s	supply was replaced on Unit	1.
Subsequer	t and Planned:			
	mpletion of the root cause ev ia a supplement to this repo		ditional corrective actions ar	e anticipated and will be
SAFETY A	NALYSIS			
The risk sig	nificance of this event has b			

NRC FORM 366A (6-2004)

## U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBER			3. PAG	E
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit <b>1</b>	05000269	10		00	4	OF	4
17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copie					-		
the trip, no safety limits were challenge Consequently this event was not consid No safety limits were challenged and sh on analysis this event has a low impact	lered safety nutdown was	significan as expec	t.		·		
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION							
A determination of whether there were evaluation and a review of the Correctiv submitted in a supplement to this report	ve Action Pro						
Energy Industry Identification System (I	EIIS) codes a	are identif	ied in the text	within br	ackets	[].	
There were no releases of radioactive r this event.	naterials, rac	liation exp	posures or pe	rsonnel ii	njuries	associa	ited with
This event has been reported to the Equ program. The failed component was a r Indikon Company Inc.							
ļ							