

<b>ARB SUMMARY</b>		<b>Responsible Branch</b>	<b>PSB/ RPBA</b>	<b>RIV-2005-A-0089</b>
Facility Name	Columbia	ARB Date:	August 15, 2005	
Docket Number	050/397	OI Case No.:	N/A	
<b>ARB DECISION</b>				
Purpose of ARB	Initial			
Previous Decisions	N/A			
Today's Decision	ARB agreed to close, not enough information to proceed.			
Basis for Another ARB				
<b>REFERRAL</b>				
Refer to:		Criteria Reviewed?		
Referral Rationale				
<b>OI INVESTIGATION</b>				
Priority Rationale				
DOL Deferral Rationale				
<b>ARB PARTICIPANTS (* denotes ARB Chairman Approval)</b>				
CJohnson	TPruett	KFuller	MVasquez	LGersey
HFreeman	AHowell*	KKennedy	DWhite	MShannon

C/3

# ALLEGATION RECEIPT FORM

Page 1

Received By:	Zachary Dunham	Receipt Date:	8/5/05
Receipt Method (meeting, phone call, letter, etc.)	Anonymous Letter		

## FACILITY

Facility Name	Columbia Generating Station
Location	Richland, WA
Docket(s)	50-397

## CONCERN

Summary the of Concerns (be brief)

1. The anonymous letter describes general concerns regarding chilled environment, discrimination, and safety within the security department at Columbia. This letter was received via the inter-office mail system at Columbia. The letter contains no identifying information, is unsigned, and was received in an inter-office mailer with no identifying information as to who the sender is.

Obtain concern specifics. What is the concern, when did it occur, who was involved, etc. If the concern involves discrimination, fill in the last section of the form.

What is the potential safety impact? Is this an ongoing concern?

Reduced security effectiveness? The letter infers that the issue is ongoing.

What requirement/regulation governs this concern?

Potentially 10CFR73, Security order, 10CFR50.7

What records should the NRC review?

Unknown.

What other individuals could the NRC contact for information?

Unknown.

How did the individual find out about the concern?

Unknown.

Was the concern brought to management's attention? If so, what actions have been taken, if not, why not?

Unknown.

Why was the concern brought to the NRC's attention?

Unknown. However, given the historical and ongoing tension between the security force and Energy Northwest over labor negotiations the individual probably believes that security management would not properly address the concerns.

# ALLEGATION RECEIPT FORM

## ALLEGER INFORMATION

Full Name	Unknown.	Employer	Unknown.
Mailing Address (Home)	Unknown.	Occupation	Unknown.
Telephone (Daytime) (Home) (Other)	Unknown.	Relationship to facility	Unknown.
Preference for method and time of contact	Was the individual advised of identity protection		
Referral	Explain that if the concerns are referred to the licensee, that allexer's identity will not be revealed and that the NRC will review and evaluate the thoroughness and adequacy of the licensee's response. If the concerns are an agreement state issue or the jurisdiction of another agency, explain that we will refer the concern to the appropriate agency, and if the allexer agrees, we will provide the allexer's identity for followup.		
Does the individual object to the referral?	Does the individual object to releasing their identity?		
Regulations prohibit NRC licensees (including contractors and subcontractors) from discriminating against individuals who engage in protected activities (alleging violations of regulatory requirements, refusing to engage in practices made unlawful by statues, etc.).			
Does the concern involve discrimination?	Was the individual advised of the DOL process?		
What was the protected activity?			
What adverse actions have been taken? When?			
Why does the individual believe the actions were taken as a result of engaging in a protected activity?			